



## **SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN PUBLIC/TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

REGULAR MEETING

**Thursday June 19, 2025**

**1:00 pm – 3:00 pm**

Online Meeting

Zoom

### **A G E N D A**

ITEMS
<b>1. WELCOME – 1:00 – 1:05pm</b>
<b>2. AGENDA – 1:05 – 1:10pm</b>
<b>3. MEETING NOTES –1:10– 1:15pm</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>May 8, 2025</b> (Attachment 1)</li><li>• <b>April 10, 2025 Updated</b> (Attachment 2)</li></ul>
<b>4. ACTION/STANDING ITEMS – 1:15 - 1:20pm</b> <b>4.1 Action tracker</b> (Attachment 3)
<b>5. DISCUSSION ITEMS</b>
<b>5.1 Solid Waste Management Plan Update:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Updated Waste Hierarchy – 1:20-1:30pm</b> <i>For feedback</i> <i>Designated speaker: Terry Fulton, Senior Project Engineer, Solid Waste Services</i></li><li>• <b>Draft Regulatory Strategy – 1:30 – 1:40pm</b> <i>For input</i> <i>Small group discussions and report back – 1:40 – 2:00pm</i> <i>Designated speaker: Terry Fulton, Senior Project Engineer, Solid Waste Services</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Is anything missing or that should be changed?</li><li>○ Any other comments?</li></ul></li><li>• <b>Idea Generation Engagement Summary – 2:00 – 2:05pm</b> <i>For information</i> <i>Discussion– 2:05-2:10pm</i></li></ul>

*Designated Speaker: Stephanie Liu, Program Manager, Community Engagement, Solid Waste Services*

## **5.2 Draft Recycling and Waste Centre Strategy Development – 2:10-2:20pm**

*For input*

*Plenary discussion – 2:20 - 2:30pm*

*Designated speaker: Paul Henderson, General Manager, Solid Waste Services*

- Is there anything missing or that should be changed in the draft priorities for the strategy?
- Are there any comments on the possible elements for the development of the strategy?

## **6. INFORMATION ITEMS**

### **6.1 Zero Waste Committee and Other Updates – 2:30 – 2:35pm**

*For information*

*Designated Speaker: Paul Henderson, General Manager, Solid Waste Services*

## **7. OTHER BUSINESS – 2:35-2:45pm**

**7.1 Additional Information on Multi-Family Solid Waste Generation** (Attachment 4)

**7.2 Metro Vancouver Reuse and Repair Initiatives** (Attachment 5)

**7.3 Zero Waste Conference – November 27, 2025**

**7.4 Potential Invited Presentation from Oceana – feedback from members**

## **8. ADDITIONAL ITEMS – 2:45-3:00pm**

**8.1 Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated 2025 Work Plan** (Attachment 6)

**8.2 Regional Waste Flows May 2025** (Attachment 7)

**8.3 Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities Review** (Attachment 8)

Attachment 1: Draft Meeting Notes – May 8, 2025 – Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee

Attachment 2: Updated April 10, 2025 – Meeting Notes

Attachment 3: Action Tracker

Attachment 4: Additional Information on Multi-Family Solid Waste Generation

Attachment 5: Zero Waste Committee – Reuse, Repair, and Food Recovery Program – January 9, 2025

Attachment 6: Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated 2025 Work Plan

Attachment 7: Regional Waste Flows May 2025

Attachment 8: Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities Review

## SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN PUBLIC/TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Thursday, May 8, 2025

Online Meeting

1:00 pm – 3:30 pm

### Meeting Notes

**Attendees:** Director Sarah Kirby-Yung, Chair; Director Craig Hodge, Vice-Chair; Adelyn Chan, Allen Lynch, Amika Watari, Ben Liegey, Bill Chan, Brianne De Man, Christoph Schultz, Cody Irwin, Daniel Rotman, Daryl Foster, Doug Schell, Jake Turek, Jennifer Henry, Kevin Huang, Komal Fatima, Louise Schwarz, Marcelle Moreira dos Santos, Michael Zarbl, Sarah Scanlan, Sean Miles, Sue Maxwell, Tara Immell, William Selten.

**Absent:** Brenda Martens, Cassidy vander Ros, Christian Dietrich, Gil Yaron, Grant Hankins, Jamie Kaminski, Jaye-Jay Berggren, John Doherty, Lori Bryan (IAC Chair), Lyndsay Poaps, Matthew Morin, Raman Johal, Sara Larter, Stephanie Voysey, Ulwiana Mehta-Malhotra, Yasmin Abraham.

**Metro Vancouver Staff:** Brooke Atkinson, Chris Underwood, Chris Allan, Karen Storry, Paul Henderson, Sahar Ahmadvand, Stephanie Liu, Terry Fulton, Samantha Joy, Zack Ha, Allen Jensen.

	<b>INTRODUCTIONS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meeting started at 1:00 pm</li> </ul>	Chair
1.	<b>Welcome from the Chair</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Director Kirby-Yung welcomed Committee members</li> <li>Territorial acknowledgement</li> </ul>	
2.	<b>AGENDA</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviewed the <a href="#">May 8, 2025 meeting agenda</a>.</li> </ul>	Chair
3.	<b>MEETING MINUTES – April 10, 2025</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviewed the <a href="#">April 10, 2025 meeting notes</a> and members requested revisions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On the Draft Climate 2050 Solid Waste Road Map - there was a question on where the statistics for a chart on the Vancouver Landfill were from – staff noted they are working on a fulsome response and will update the notes</li> <li>Record committee comments on including embodied emissions</li> <li>On the draft hierarchy request emphasis on ‘recycle as energy’ category, noting strong opposition</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Chair

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff advised that committee members can email to request any additional edits</li> </ul>	
<b>4.</b>	<b>ACTION/STANDING ITEMS</b>	Chair
	<p><b>4.1 Action tracker</b></p> <p>The action tracker was reviewed, and updates were provided.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pending action items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Breakdown for organic material versus recyclable materials generated in the multi-family sector will be presented at the June PTAC meeting</li> <li>○ Full list of ideas from ideas generation engagement will be provided prior to options analysis feedback session</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Completed action item: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Additional PTAC meeting, held today May 8</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Brooke Atkinson
<b>5.</b>	<b>New PTAC Member Introduction</b>	
	<p><b>5.1 New PTAC Member Introduction</b></p> <p>Introduction: Sarah Scanlan, Regional Sustainability Consultant, Energy and Environmental Sustainability, Vancouver Coastal Health.</p>	
<b>6.</b>	<b>DISCUSSION ITEMS</b>	
	<p><b>6.1 Solid Waste Management Plan Update</b></p> <p><b>Draft Options Analysis Criteria</b></p> <p>Karen Storry, Senior Engineer, Solid Waste Services</p> <p>Discussion summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Question if the greenhouse gas emission reductions criteria is taking into account direct and indirect emissions. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff confirmed that there is not enough data currently to apply embodied carbon to each evaluation criteria, but circularity is planned to be included in the evaluation criteria. Ideas which improve circularity are also likely to reduce embodied carbon.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Circularity and waste reduction are also cross cutting, in that they should foster a local economy, social component, and improve affordability</li> <li>• Other criteria may also be seen as cross-cutting</li> <li>• Are the criteria weighted? For example, will economic be weighted more heavily than a social item?</li> </ul>	



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff clarified, there is no plan to weigh criteria, rather consider the criteria to assess if an action meets multiple of these criteria and supports the guiding principles</li> <li>• After the ideas are evaluated members request that the results be provided so they can review how the criteria was applied</li> <li>• Consider not using the word ‘evaluation’ if no scoring rubric is being used</li> <li>• Staff noted the “improve convenience” category could be broadened if members have suggestions</li> </ul> <p>Members had small group discussions on the proposed options evaluation criteria. A summary of these discussions is included as Attachment 1.</p> <p><b>Updated Draft Hierarchy, Goals, and Metrics Following April 10 Meeting</b></p> <p>Terry Fulton, Senior Project Engineer, Solid Waste Services</p> <p>Discussion summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create fossil fuel substitute should not be in the ‘recycle’ category <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff will be considering and revising the fossil fuel substitute item</li> <li>○ Staff confirmed any updates/changes to the draft hierarchy will be circulated to PTAC members in advance of it being considered at the Zero Waste Committee and Board.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Canadian Standards Association Plastics Recycling Standard is clear that recycling is processing plastic waste materials to produce secondary material from which new products are made</li> <li>• Recommend Zero Waste Canada’s Zero Waste Hierarchy and European definitions of recycling be considered</li> <li>• Food donation at ‘reduce’ does not show the difference between food waste prevention and food donation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff to consider where food waste prevention and donation should be included in the hierarchy</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Clarity is required for the meaning of various terms in the heirarchy? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff will provide a definition of terms used in the hierarchy</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Suggestion that the different sectors in waste management need their own hierarchies</li> <li>• Suggestion that capture rate should be a metric in addition to recycle rate. Capture rate being the amount recyclable materials that are collected and doesn’t account for portion of that material that is disposed of. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff noted efforts and continued work to add clarity to recycling rate measure</li> </ul> </li> <li>• ‘Make it easier to recycle effectively’: Are materials being recycled effectively? Just increasing recycling rates is not enough, note stewardship of the material not just the usability of the program</li> </ul>	
--	--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ‘Minimise waste generation’ should be focused on reducing the material use</li> <li>• Add sixth goal to ‘stop burning waste’</li> <li>• Enable circular economy – look at partnerships</li> <li>• ‘Create fossil fuel substitute’ – as a member of the public are items from your blue bin used for this? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff clarified that no, this category would primarily include construction and demolition waste used as fuel</li> </ul> </li> <li>• ‘Rethink’ should add ‘track waste generated’ metric</li> <li>• The public can rethink, reduce, reuse, dispose, but cannot actually take action to recycle. An option from the European Union hierarchy is ‘preparing for recycling’. Make it clear how a citizen can partake.</li> <li>• Economics of recycling, can a dollar value of the budget be assigned to each level of the hierarchy? Proportionality of the different levels and where resources are focused</li> </ul>	
<b>7.</b>	<b>INFORMATION ITEMS</b>	
	<p><b>7.1 Zero Waste Committee and Other Updates</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May Zero Waste Committee meeting was cancelled</li> <li>• Staff confirmed any information shared via email with the Zero Waste Committee members is included in the next months Manager’s Report if the meeting was cancelled.</li> <li>• Question regarding the allocation for land purchase in the 5 year Solid Waste Services capital plan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff clarified that land purchases would likely support the development of future recycling and waste centres based on increased growth and demand. Items included in the five year financial plan beyond those items in the immediate next year, are for the purpose of forecasting and establishing a plan, and are not approved to proceed with.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Paul Henderson
<b>8.</b>	<b>OTHER BUSINESS</b>	
	<p><b>8.1 Additional PTAC Meeting in October</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interest to tour a recycling facility</li> <li>• Staff will poll members on their preference for the October 3 meeting to be in-person or online, as well as the preferred facility for the PTAC tour</li> <li>• Residuals Waste Management Options review will be circulated ahead of the June PTAC meeting included in the agenda package</li> <li>• Staff asked whether there was an interest in a Sharepoint site where committee members could provide information to be updated, and choose which communications to receive notifications for to reduce the number of emails sent between committee members. Following discussion, no</li> </ul>	Brooke Atkinson

	Sharepoint is contemplated for now, but members suggested it would be important for members to consider email etiquette if emailing the entire committee.	
9.	<b>ADDITIONAL ITEMS</b>	
	<b>9.1 Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated 2025 Work Plan</b> <b>9.2 Regional Waste Flows April 2025</b>	
	<b>ADJOURNMENT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Adjourned at 3:13 pm</li></ul>	Chair

Attachment 1: Small Group Discussion Summary – Solid Waste Management Plan Update Draft Options Analysis  
Criteria - PTAC May 8 2025 Meeting

Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Meeting

Small Group Discussion Summary – Solid Waste Management Plan Update Draft Options Analysis  
Criteria

May 8, 2025

At the May 8, 2025, Public/Technical Advisory Committee (PTAC) meeting, Metro Vancouver sought input/feedback from members on the Draft Options Analysis Criteria related to the solid waste management plan update.

PTAC members broke into small groups to have in depth discussion and provide their input/feedback on the draft criteria for idea evaluation.

DRAFT IDEA EVALUATION CRITERIA

For developing options

Cross cutting		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practicality of Implementation</li><li>• Accountability</li><li>• Transparency</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Consistency/ harmonization</li><li>• Collaboration</li><li>• Resilience</li></ul>
Economic	Environment	Social
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Affordability</li><li>• Economic prosperity</li><li>• Innovation</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Circularity</li><li>• Waste reduction</li><li>• Greenhouse gas emissions reduction</li><li>• Environmental stewardship</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Inclusion</li><li>• Convenience</li><li>• Community participation</li><li>• Supports waste prevention habits and actions</li></ul>

## WHAT WE HEARD

The table below summarizes themes that emerged through the small group discussions. All feedback will be taken into consideration as Metro Vancouver finalizes the solid waste management plan update options analysis criteria.

<b>Draft Options Analysis Criteria</b> <i>Is there anything missing from the draft criteria?</i>  <i>Is there anything that should be removed or changed?</i>  <i>Any other feedback?</i>	
<b>Theme</b>	<b>What We Heard</b>
Goal / use of the criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where is the waste hierarchy in these criteria? The hierarchy should be reflected more specifically</li> <li>Very visionary and looks good on paper, but wondering how practical this criteria is and how much execution/implementation ability there is</li> <li>What value are we receiving and how are we measuring that value? This needs to be the driver of every criteria we chose</li> <li>Need to understand what the true cost options are and this should somehow be reflected as an overarching criterion</li> <li>Questions on who will be using the criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Metro Vancouver will be documenting how strategies and actions are selected to be recommended in the draft plan – to demonstrate how they were chosen, and then spark discussion with committees, public, and others</li> </ul> </li> <li>How do we ensure that the interpretation is consistently applied and matches our understanding</li> <li>How do we make sure the criteria is applicable to more remote areas of the region</li> <li>Support for setting the plan bar high</li> <li>Many of these criteria can be seen as cross cutting</li> </ul>
Missing from the criteria / definitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Definitions of the terms in the draft criteria as an appendix could help understand the specific use of each term</li> <li>Add: Reuse, there is nothing specifically about <u>reuse</u> currently</li> <li>With respect to Consistency/Harmonization/ Collaboration – what about “synergies”? something that reflects mutually beneficial relationships</li> <li>Synergy, harmonization, how to communicate why we are doing a specific action</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Innovation, accountability, and transparency in leadership, some are not fully understood or defined</li> <li>• Consider adding the word “value” to reflect options that offer benefits in multiple areas</li> <li>• “Circularity” could be under “Economy” or in a cross-cutting theme</li> <li>• One item that should be included is “impactful”, or “bold” – if we want to be global leader in waste reduction. “Innovation” is related to this. Maybe “bold innovation” – not just fixing small things</li> <li>• Environment: suggest “waste prevention” rather than “waste reduction” which may include recycling</li> <li>• Behaviour change is missing – need systemic changes that can influence behaviour</li> <li>• Focus on consumer awareness for reduction/reuse/prevention/education process</li> <li>• Missing: Extended producer responsibility – which column would that fall under? Environmental stewardship is part of that, but not the whole picture <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Could tie into community participation or other social pieces</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Avoiding contamination or protection of natural spaces</li> <li>• Missing is the protection of human health and the environment</li> <li>• Caution on convenience: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Can lead to increased contamination (e.g. putting everything into one bin)</li> <li>○ It can take effort to change behaviour and properly recycle</li> <li>○ Standardization versus ease</li> <li>○ Convenience can be related to infrastructure</li> <li>○ Can be looked at with respect to innovation</li> <li>○ Relates to infrastructure/logistics/accessibility</li> <li>○ Relates to participation/signage/labeling</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Consider practicality of proposed solution versus convenience</li> <li>• Missing competitiveness</li> <li>• Economic prosperity – Have a clear set of definitions of the criteria for those who are using them to filter out actions</li> <li>• Missing in economic section: cost to benefit ratio</li> <li>• Economic prosperity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Does recycling add to GDP?</li> <li>○ Adding legislated recycled content can create a market and increase value of a material</li> <li>○ Incineration can take up resources that could be used on innovation in the green economy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
--	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ If things are going to incineration, how do we make sure it's not just due to convenience</li> <li>▪ Making things less convenient can help in other areas</li> <li>○ Could be "creating economic value of recycled material", value added for recyclable material</li> <li>○ There's a connection between prosperity and innovation</li> <li>• Missing is indigenous impact or indigenous inclusion</li> </ul>
Social / inclusion / accessibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rather than inclusion, perhaps accessibility could be considered to include alternative languages and comprehension of the system</li> <li>• Challenges in terms accessibility and accountability/responsibility by whom</li> <li>• Add: Accessibility to the Social category – Accessibility should not just be implied in convenience or another criteria</li> <li>• Social – inclusion is not same as diversity. Suggest adding "Diversity, equity, and inclusion"</li> <li>• Underrepresented groups such as immigrants and ethnic communities, have different ways of stewarding the environment but not necessarily through recycling but more reuse</li> <li>• People without vehicles don't have access to depots</li> <li>• Making sure to bring diverse voices along and incorporate into the plan</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
Communicating the criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What about comprehension or "buy-in", shared understanding, actionability?</li> <li>• The social, economic, and environmental buckets are helpful but an alternative way to visualize could be helpful that shows how one criteria (word) may fit into different buckets</li> <li>• A Venn diagram or alternative visual could be considered to show the linkages between the terms</li> <li>• Specific reference to leadership or forward thinking – avoid "innovation" or other buzz words that may be overused and diluted with diffused definitions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Embodied leadership</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GHG reduction, good to have, but there should be reference to "life-cycle" thinking – think more economically: What is the life cycle cost? (services, process, materials) Life cycle thinking – intentionality.</li> <li>• For GHG emissions reduction – if plan is to focus on scope 1 and 2, does that mean that you would prioritize items that</li> </ul>

	reduce direct emissions v.s. indirect emissions. Scope 3 emissions should be included.
Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Consistency, harmonization, collaboration, innovation:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Harmonizing ICI use of the built infrastructure in BC. A lot has been built because of Recycle BC EPR Program</li><li>○ Would like harmonization on private waste haulers and materials they are willing to accept, and bringing them to same facilities that the Recycle BC material goes to</li><li>○ Comprehensive recycling is not available in ICI in the same way it is for residents. Regulation can address this gap by harmonizing what haulers accept from ICI</li></ul></li><li>• Are there risk assessments being completed? Are there alternatives being considered?</li><li>• Accountability – good to consider Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) to be accountable to international frameworks. Last meeting when KPIs were shared there was nothing on SDG 12.3</li></ul>



## SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN PUBLIC/TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Thursday, April 10, 2025  
In-person Meeting  
Metro Vancouver Head Office – Room 2911  
1:00 pm – 4:00 pm

### Meeting Notes Revised May 14, 2025

**Attendees:** Director Sarah Kirby-Yung, Chair; Director Craig Hodge, Vice-Chair; Adelyn Chan, Allen Lynch, Amika Watari, Ben Liegey, Bill Chan, Brenda Martens, Cassidy vander Ros, Christoph Schultz, Cody Irwin, Daryl Foster, Gil Yaron, Jamie Kaminski, Jennifer Henry, Komal Fatima, Louise Schwarz, Marcelle Moreira dos Santos, Raman Johal, Sean Miles, Stephanie Voysey, Sue Maxwell, Tara Immell, William Selten.

**Absent:** Brianne De Man, Christian Dietrich, Daniel Rotman, Doug Schell, Grant Hankins, Jake Turek, Jaye-Jay Berggren, John Doherty, Kevin Huang, Lori Bryan (IAC Chair), Lyndsay Poaps, Matthew Morin, Michael Zarbl, Sara Larter, Ulwiana Mehta-Malhotra, Yasmin Abraham.

**Metro Vancouver Staff:** Brooke Atkinson, Chris Underwood, Chris Clarke, Karen Storry, Paul Henderson, Sahar Ahmadvand, Stephanie Liu, Terry Fulton, Samantha Joy.

	<b>INTRODUCTIONS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meeting started at 1:00 pm</li> </ul>	Chair
1.	<b>Welcome from the Chair</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Director Kirby-Yung welcomed Committee members</li> <li>Territorial acknowledgement</li> </ul>	
2.	<b>AGENDA</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviewed the <a href="#">April 10, 2025 meeting agenda</a>.</li> <li>A member suggested making more time to reach group consensus on discussed items and to bring new members up to speed; proposed additional meetings and/or postponing certain items to future meetings if necessary.</li> </ul>	Chair
3.	<b>MEETING MINUTES – November 21, 2024</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviewed the <a href="#">Nov 21, 2024 meeting notes</a> and members did not note any errors or omissions.</li> </ul>	Chair
4.	<b>ACTION/STANDING ITEMS</b>	Chair

	<b>4.1 Action tracker</b> The action tracker was reviewed, and updates were provided. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complete action items include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Staff provided Notice of Bylaw Violation information to PTAC members after the February 21, 2025 meeting</li> <li>Staff sent the invitation for the Zero Waste Conference on November 27, 2025 to PTAC members</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<b>5.</b>	<b>Invited presentation</b>	
	<b>5.1 Indigenous Zero Waste Technical Advisory Group</b> Lucinda Phillips, Executive Director, Indigenous Zero Waste Technical Advisory Group (IZWTAG) provided a presentation:  Discussion summary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IZWTAG’s current scope doesn’t include managing Construction &amp; Demolition materials</li> <li>Current focus of IZWTAG on food waste management is reactive management of food scraps, not prevention/reduction</li> <li>IZWTAG gets its metrics from product stewards, and collaborates with communities by providing them with data</li> <li>Challenge: most First Nations rely on purchasing from large retail chains, and don’t have enough resources for recycling and reuse options</li> </ul>	Guest Speaker – Lucinda Phillips
<b>6.</b>	<b>DISCUSSION ITEMS</b>	
	<b>6.1 Draft Climate 2050 Solid Waste Road Map</b> Sahar Ahmadvand, Assistant Project Engineer, Solid Waste Services  Discussion summary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Member’s suggestion of wording: “direct emission” rather than “emission”</li> <li>The Road Map’s scope includes emissions directly from disposal (landfill and waste to energy), and aligns with the Board’s direction for the region on climate action.</li> <li>A member asked the source of the data for landfill greenhouse gas emissions. Staff advised that the data would be checked and information provided to the member around the source and detail of the data.</li> <li>Staff repeated the importance of moving up the waste hierarchy in reducing emissions, including embodied carbon.</li> <li>Suggestion to include embodied emissions as part of the calculations greenhouse gas implications.</li> </ul>	

- A member raised a question on the Waste-to-Energy District Energy project; How were the GHG emission reductions calculated for the project? The calculations are based on a comparison to heating with natural gas.

## **6.2 Solid Waste Management Plan Update:**

### **6.2.1 Overview and Timeline Update**

Stephanie Liu, Program Manager, Community Engagement, Solid Waste Services, provided a timeline update on the solid waste management plan update.

There was no discussion on this item.

### **6.2.2 Draft Hierarchy and Goals**

Terry Fulton, Senior Project Engineer, Solid Waste Services, provided an update on the draft Hierarchy and Goals for the solid waste management plan.

There were a number of questions/comments on the split of recycle into material and energy recycling. Some members noted that the terminology was confusing. Other members noted that they were strongly opposed to the inclusion of recycling as energy in the recycling category.

Members had small group discussions on this item. A summary of these discussions is included as Attachment 1.

### **6.2.3 Draft Metrics**

Lindsay Seidel-Wassenaar, Sonnevera, provided an update on draft metrics for the solid waste management plan. Sonnevera is part of Stantec's solid waste management plan update consulting team.

Discussion summary:

- Per-capita metrics and combinations of relevant metrics are recommended as they can help normalize fluctuations due to population increase and other socio-economic changes in the region over time.
- The current focus of measuring greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) for the region is on disposal-related emissions only. Upstream GHG emissions captured within other activities such as reuse and recycling are more challenging to measure, but moving material up the waste hierarchy also reduces upstream and embodied emissions.
- Waste moving out of the region: current data only available for garbage, not recyclable materials. Metro Vancouver is researching best practices to capture this data and is gradually improving on measuring data related to reuse and repair.
- Comment from members about importance of metrics related to the guiding principles, some of which are difficult to quantify – such as economic opportunities and circular economy. Metro Vancouver staff are reviewing

	<p>metrics used by other regions/countries, and welcomes additional feedback from members.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A member suggested considering metrics which are difficult to measure at present but may become relevant in the future.</li> <li>• Question if metrics from community level have been considered. Certain metrics related to initiatives funded by Metro Vancouver can be included as secondary metrics to indicate progress in a specific area (e.g. food recovery, recycling and waste centre reuse programs).</li> <li>• Metro Vancouver encouraged members to email additional feedback, and there will be additional meetings on this subject to discuss in further detail.</li> </ul> <p><b>6.2.4 Draft Options Analysis Criteria</b></p> <p>Karen Storry, Senior Engineer, Solid Waste Services</p> <p>This item was deferred to the May 8, 2025 meeting. This is an additional PTAC meeting that members agreed was important to hold to continue discussions on the draft hierarchy, goals, metrics, and options analysis criteria for the solid waste management plan update.</p>	
<b>7.</b>	<b>INFORMATION ITEMS</b>	
	<b>7.1 Zero Waste Committee and Other Updates</b>	Paul Henderson
<b>8.</b>	<b>OTHER BUSINESS</b>	
	<b>8.1 PTAC Vancouver Landfill Tour Reminder – September 18, 2025</b>	Brooke Atkinson
<b>9.</b>	<b>ADDITIONAL ITEMS</b>	
	<b>9.1 Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated 2025 Work Plan</b> <b>9.2 Regional Waste Flows March 2025</b>	
	<b>ADJOURNMENT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adjourned at 4:00 pm</li> </ul>	Chair

## Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Meeting

### Small Group Discussion Summary – Solid Waste Management Plan Update Draft Hierarchy and Goals

April 10, 2025


**Revised** May 14, 2025

At the April 10, 2025 Public/Technical Advisory Committee (PTAC) meeting, Metro Vancouver sought input/feedback from members on the following items related to the solid waste management plan update:

- Draft Hierarchy
- Draft Goals

PTAC members broke into small groups to have in depth discussion and provide their input/feedback. The draft hierarchy and goals were presented to members:

### Draft Hierarchy



Draft Goals



WHAT WE HEARD

The table below summarizes themes that emerged through the small group discussions. All feedback will be taken into consideration as Metro Vancouver finalizes the solid waste management plan update hierarchy and goals.

<p><b>Draft Hierarchy</b></p> <p><i>Is there anything missing?</i></p> <p><i>Anything that should be changed or removed?</i></p> <p><i>Other feedback?</i></p>	
Theme	What We Heard
Hierarchy design/audience	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• What is this hierarchy for? This graphic is not clear</li><li>• Consider other designs and graphics, other than a triangle</li><li>• Consider making it circular like the Ellen Macarthur Foundation butterfly diagram</li><li>• Consider incorporating a hierarchy and a cycle in one diagram</li><li>• The font should be larger in the upper categories of the hierarchy</li><li>• It is useful to have different hierarchies for different sectors and audiences<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Include as many as 9 Rs for the manufacturing and business audience depending on their industry and sector</li><li>○ Consider using similar structure as the City of Victoria hierarchy in the middle sections, meaning repurpose, repair and reuse would be separate rungs of the hierarchy</li><li>○ Zero Waste (international alliance) hierarchy for the public</li></ul></li></ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>City of Victoria graphic is better for the public (specifically multifamily) but it was noted (instead of recycle the rest it should say upcycle the rest)</li> </ul>
Reduce and higher levels of the hierarchy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Think about using 'avoid' – so that instead of reduce people would think about avoiding</li> <li>Consider including "rethink", "redesign" or "reimagine" before prevent and reduce</li> <li>Under 'reduce' have wording on how this is tracked. The top of the hierarchy is where we have the least data because it's not getting weighed</li> <li>Reduce: add amended rungs to include preserve resources, make less, and buy less</li> <li>'Prevent' and 'use less' is part of 'reduce', but BEFORE that, we should focus on sharing resources. "Share" is also more positive language than 'prevent'</li> <li>Prevent" should simply be "use less" – an idea that the prevention should apply to businesses and use less should apply to residents</li> </ul>
Reuse	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What is reuse really meaning in the hierarchy?</li> <li>Consider adding "share" under reuse (or even under reduce)</li> <li>Could track how many items people buy versus accessing lending libraries, versus disposing – difficult to track</li> <li>Donation should be incorporated somehow</li> <li>Unclear whether food waste recovery fits under reuse</li> <li>Consider adding disassembly in reuse, referring to taking something apart and using the parts</li> <li>What is the difference between reuse for original purpose and repair – aren't they linked?</li> <li>Reduce and reuse could potentially be linked to show circularity</li> </ul>
'Recycling as material' and 'Recycle as energy' category	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Opposition to the 'recycle as energy' category as a recycling category</b></li> <li>Recycling and highest value – in past, the word diversion is more appropriate than recycle – need to be specific on what is diverted versus what is recycled as new material</li> <li>Recycle as energy is not clear <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The recycling should be only for materials at their highest value</li> <li>Inclusion of "Recycle as energy" or fuel suggests a constant demand and would ultimately discourage active participation in the top of hierarchy</li> </ul> </li> <li>Consider changing 'Recycle as energy' to "Recycle as Dedicated Fuel"</li> <li>Materials to fuel – could be an option for the recycle as category</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Recycle as energy” is more appropriate in disposal; should be “disposal as energy”</li> <li>• Suggest ‘recycle’ as material level (category) – have sub group, ‘recycle for highest value’, then have different sub levels – focus on the value of products recycled and the materials needed to recycle</li> </ul>
‘Dispose’ category	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be differentiation between waste to energy disposal (materials burned and energy not used) versus recycled for energy</li> <li>• Waste to energy should be included above landfill, since it still has a beneficial component</li> <li>• Why is dispose not divided into two different categories? Landfill versus waste to energy?</li> <li>• Residuals management might be more clear than “dispose”</li> </ul>
Budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There needs to be transparency around the budget plan on this hierarchy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Focus efforts and budget on the top of hierarchy rather than investing in the incinerator</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Push the budget where the priorities are such as grants for C&amp;D, invest higher up the hierarchy</li> <li>• Should allocate serious budget to education programs/campaigns, or to support community organizations who are already doing the work</li> <li>• Focus on education on circular economy, donut economics, etc. for students and youth, who can lead others</li> </ul>
Compatibility of hierarchy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The hierarchy doesn’t quite work for food as we don’t recycle or reuse food – we donate, recycle as feed, and recycle for compost</li> <li>• For Food: Prevent → donate → recycle as feed → recycle as energy → dispose</li> <li>• Hierarchy – food waste prevention at the reuse/donate stage. At first look at hierarchy couldn’t see food in there. “Reuse for original purpose” is important (feed people)</li> <li>• The proposed hierarchy does not show where composting fits; believe that it should be a distinct “rung” in hierarchy</li> <li>• Consider representing “source separation” in the hierarchy</li> <li>• There needs to be clear definitions for all levels of the hierarchy to prevent greenwashing or confusion</li> <li>• The Government of BC solid waste management planning guidance directs to and asks for prioritization of prevention and reduction; however, reduction and prevention seems to be out of scope when GHG emissions come up during meetings. The higher levels of hierarchy have great potential but are not getting enough attention and resources.</li> </ul>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consider changing “Waste Hierarchy” to focus more on resource prevention</li> </ul>
How to inspire action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Focus on convenience, and socializing the practice of sharing etc.</li> <li>People will self-educate if they believe in something</li> <li>Factors: convenience, social pressure, and money</li> </ul>

<b>Draft Goals</b> <i>Is there anything missing?</i>  <i>Anything that should be changed or removed?</i>  <i>Other feedback?</i>	
Theme	What We Heard
Presentation of the goals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Goals could be in a shape – to better visually align with how they fit into the hierarchy</li> </ul>
Language / definitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear definitions are needed for every goal</li> <li>Focus on positive language. (e.g. ‘maximize efficiencies’ rather than ‘reduce waste’)</li> <li>Get rid of the words “garbage” and “waste” and use the word “materials” or “resource”</li> </ul>
Goal 1 – Prioritize waste prevention	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Needs more clarity/to be defined</li> <li>This is very broad compared to other goals – maybe break out into 1) education on waste prevention, 2) implement actions to prevent waste, etc.</li> <li>One area is best before dates – need more education for households on this. Very few items have an expiry date, they’re just guides</li> <li>Should focus more on the top of the hierarchy; need more clarity to what extent this goal applies in the context of solid waste system/management plan</li> </ul>
Goal 2 – Keep materials in use as long as possible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Focus should be keeping products in highest use for as long as possible</li> <li>Could be “Keep materials and their value” in use</li> </ul>
Goal 3 – Make it easier to recycle effectively	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What do we mean by “effective” in recycling? Need to better define what is meant in this goal (i.e., who and how market needs to adapt)</li> <li>Could we take out effectively, if you are applying the hierarchy – you would be as effective as possible</li> <li>There are clean tech/deep tech companies out there with robots for sorting recycling more effectively</li> <li>Is there anything about recycle at end-of-life instead of just recycle?</li> <li>Producer Responsibility to reduce; debate about applicability</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Extended Producer Responsibility – holding Recycle BC more accountable to pay instead of municipalities for collection and recycling of soft plastic and glass</li> <li>“Effective and efficient” may be better than simply “effective”</li> <li>How do we align our metrics with the goal we’re trying to achieve with recycling?</li> <li>Can we reflect that innovation may increase what is considered recyclable?</li> <li>“Make it easy” could be “easy to understand” or “convenient”</li> </ul>
Goal 4 – Dispose of remaining garbage responsibly	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Why use the word garbage? Is it because its more digestible for audiences? Does it really target the right audience for this hierarchy and goals?</li> <li>Resource management, not waste management</li> <li>What about future mining of old landfills? Do we prepare by separating garbage in landfills for ease of material extraction later?</li> <li>Innovation could be incorporated into this goal</li> </ul>
Missing from the draft goals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed goals (except for the third one) are not inspiring and are very similar to the previous plan goals</li> <li>Design products to prevent waste</li> <li>Maximize resource value</li> <li>Goal to prevent as much disposal as responsible seems missing</li> <li>‘Accessibility’ is missing both in goals and Guiding Principles. One of the proposed goals refers to “easier” recycling, but ease is not the same as accessibility</li> <li>Inclusivity and affordability are not adequately reflected in the goals</li> <li>Goals have to be bold and per capita such as “shutting down the incinerator” and spending the saving per capita on top of hierarchy to reduce waste such that an incinerator is not needed in future</li> <li>Bans for problematic materials have been successful tools in the past, where do they fit within the proposed goals and which other materials could be banned?</li> <li>Circular economy is not called out enough and is so important – need a singular distinctive goal that supports reuse economy</li> <li>Priority that prevention should start with those actually manufacturing the waste</li> <li>The goals should be more far reaching, global</li> <li>What about “Prioritize waste production at the source”?</li> <li>“Community” is missing</li> <li>Should be more focus on prevention. Right now we have same number of goals as the waste hierarchy. But if we have more goals related to the higher end of the waste hierarchy, it emphasizes the top of the waste hierarchy</li> <li>Invest in fostering businesses that can use the recyclables and make use of reusable materials</li> </ul>

## 2025 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN PUBLIC/TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACTION TRACKER

To be updated after every Public/Technical Advisory Committee (PTAC) meeting to keep track of the actions or tasks that Metro Vancouver staff are responsible for.

Meeting Date	Requested Action/Item	Assigned Staff	Status
September 7, 2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Metro Vancouver to share lessons learned from innovative Metro Vancouver repair and reuse initiatives with PTAC</li> </ul>	Karen Storry	In-progress
February 21, 2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide PTAC information on:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The governance framework of the solid waste management plan update</li> <li>Detail of PTAC's role, provincial requirements, and legislative authority for the plan update</li> <li>Details on the Board decision regarding zero waste initiatives and behaviour change campaigns</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Terry Fulton / Stephanie Liu	Complete
February 21, 2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide information on the breakdown for organic materials versus recyclable materials in the multi-family sector</li> </ul>	Terry Fulton	In-progress
February 21, 2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide a full list of plan update ideas from Idea Generation phase of engagement</li> </ul>	Terry Fulton	Pending

# 2023 Multi-Family Solid Waste Generation


## Total Generation: 435,662 tonnes

Material	Recycled (tonnes)	Disposed (tonnes)
Organics	47,762	113,157
Recyclables	101,996	119,546
Other Material	Unknown	53,201

**Organics** means food, yard trimmings, and clean wood

**Recyclables** means items accepted through BC extended producer responsibility programs or other items typically accepted either curbside or at Recycling and Waste Centres, or items that can be reused or recycled but may require return to retail or participation in specific small-scale programs

**Other Material** means items not suitable for recycling



To: Zero Waste Committee

From: Alec Wu, Assistant Project Engineer, Solid Waste Service

Date: December 16, 2024 Meeting Date: January 9, 2025

Subject: **Regional Reuse, Repair, and Food Recovery Programs**

---

## RECOMMENDATION

That the Zero Waste Committee receive for information the report dated December 16, 2024, titled “Regional Reuse, Repair, and Food Recovery Programs”.

---

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Work is underway to continue to scale up reuse and repair through the expansion of a variety of initiatives including increasing reuse collection at recycling and waste centres, supporting more member jurisdiction repair cafés, and growing the regional food recovery network. Metrics from these reuse and repair initiatives show that they are effective in encouraging the public to practice waste reduction. Participants in repair events and the food recovery network note the value of the programs not only in reducing waste, but also increasing access to free repairs and supporting increased demand for donated and low-cost food.

## PURPOSE

To update the committee on work completed in 2024 towards increasing reuse and repair programs in the region and plans underway in 2025 to further expand this work.

## BACKGROUND

Reuse and repair are a priority for the region through initiatives such as scaling up reuse days at recycling and waste centres, repair events, reuse at offices and events, bike repair in schools, and the regional food recovery network.

## SCALING UP REUSE AND REPAIR

Reusing and repairing items locally has lower carbon impacts than producing new goods from virgin materials. Metro Vancouver continues to work collaboratively with local reuse partners, member jurisdictions, and others to increase reuse in the region. Three programs being enhanced are reuse collection at recycling and waste centres, co-funding for repair cafés throughout the region, and support for a regional food recovery network.

### Reuse Days Event Pilot at North Shore Recycling and Waste Centre

The overall goal of the reuse day events is to educate recycling and waste centre customers of the community and environmental benefits of prioritizing reuse over recycling and disposal. The long-term goal of these events is to encourage behavior change toward considering reuse of materials before recycling and disposal, through education and easy access to convenient options for reuse drop-off at regional facilities. In 2024, Metro Vancouver piloted an expansion of reuse events at the North Shore Recycling and Waste Centre in collaboration with the not-for-profit organization Urban

Repurpose. Thirty-four events were held from June to November, which is almost three times the 12 events piloted in 2023. Additional improvements in 2024 included weekday events in addition to weekend events, increased advertising, and new promotional materials such as signage and website updates to increase public awareness. In total, Urban Repurpose collected 27,000 kg of material for reuse that was either donated or sold to residents at their local storefront.

In addition to reuse day events, which provide drop-off options for a wider variety of materials, Metro Vancouver recycling and waste centres continue to have established programs for the collection of clothing and books. In recent years, a bike reuse and recycling program was added to the North Shore and United Boulevard recycling and waste centres.

### **Scaling Reuse**

In 2023, Metro Vancouver engaged the Share Reuse Repair Initiative to review options for scaling reuse to all of the recycling and waste centres, and to provide insights into how to further expand existing reuse options. As part of the project, Share Reuse Repair Initiative identified a number of potential community partners that may be a good fit for increasing reuse at recycling and waste centres. Share Reuse Repair Initiative's report titled "Scaling Reuse Study" was publicized in October 2024.

Using the learnings from Share Reuse Repair Initiatives' work, staff engaged some of the potential partners to agree on the optimal method for expanding reuse drop off to the United Boulevard Recycling and Waste Centre. Metro Vancouver contracted with Big Brothers of Greater Vancouver to provide the reuse service, which began on November 25. Screening and collection of reusable materials would be done for eight hours per day during every day that the recycling and waste centre is open. The program began with the collection of small household goods for reuse, and Metro Vancouver will work with Big Brothers to expand the scope of collected items.

The Share Reuse Repair Initiative also authored a report for Metro Vancouver titled "Reuse and Recycling of Bulky Household Items." Research was conducted on existing reuse and recycling practices of bulky items collected through illegal dumping cleanup programs. A workshop was also held for member jurisdictions involving a presentation about best practices outside of the region, and group discussions which captured ideas to enhance the reuse and recycling of bulky items in the region.

### **Ocean Ambassadors Canada Reuse at Offices and Events**

Metro Vancouver is in the final year of a two-year engagement with the not-for-profit organization, Ocean Ambassadors Canada, with a focus on reducing single-use items at offices and public events. In 2024 they delivered 26 in-person or webinar "lunch and learn" presentations to office groups to encourage behavior change by employees. Companies can use Ocean Ambassadors' expertise to connect them with a suitable reusable cup and container sharing platform.

Outreach and education was provided by Ocean Ambassadors Canada at 15 different community events across the region. Ocean Ambassadors gauged public interest in reuse and educated the public through games, displays, and handouts. Their focus in 2024 was to work with previously engaged event organizers to implement a reusable food and beverage container service at their

events. Thousands of people attended the 2024 Hyack Festival, which was a successful event using reusable food service ware. Ocean Ambassadors Canada provided support in planning the initiative and was in attendance to provide education to residents and assist with properly sorting the reusable cups and containers.

### **Reuse at Metro Vancouver Head Office Cafeteria**

A new program planned for 2025 is a reusable cup and container sharing platform pilot at the Metro Tower III head office cafeteria, through the local reusable food service ware company ShareWares. The procurement phase has ended, and Metro Vancouver is now working to obtain authorization from Fraser Health Authority. ShareWares is experienced with the steps required to implement these types of programs, and this pilot is an opportunity to help other food service providers learn how to switch to reusable alternatives.

### **Repair Café Funding**

Repair cafés are events where residents bring items such as broken electronics and clothes in need of repair, to receive free repair services from community volunteers. Several member jurisdictions host these events to support their waste reduction goals. To encourage more events, Metro Vancouver offers a contribution agreement to co-fund member jurisdiction repair cafés. Member jurisdictions can receive up to 50 percent reimbursement for repair events they host. In 2024, four member jurisdictions signed on to contribution agreements, and 26 co-funded repair café events were held, serving over 1,600 residents and successfully repairing over 1,100 items. Resident feedback has been positive, and while not all items can be repaired, residents appreciate the effort and insights from the repair volunteers. Successful repairs help residents save money by not buying replacement items and reduce their environmental impact. To further increase the number of events across the region, Metro Vancouver is connecting repair café organizers who have capacity to expand with municipal contacts interested in hosting such events. Metro Vancouver is also exploring the option to directly contract with repair event services providers as another option to increase the number of repair events in the region.

Insights from the repair café initiatives were included in a letter from the chairs of the Metro Vancouver Zero Waste Committee and the National Zero Waste Council responding to Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada's Right to Repair Consultation. The letter advocated for a coordinated approach to right-to-repair, improvements in access to and affordability of repair, and design changes for the targeted consumer electronics and appliances, to improve repairability, interoperability and durability.

### **Pilot Bike Repair Education in Schools (Our Community Bikes)**

Metro Vancouver contracted with Our Community Bikes to provide repair sessions at schools throughout the region for the 2024/2025 school year. Support from Metro Vancouver will allow them to expand the services provided to schools in the region. Through this program, schools can apply to have Our Community Bike staff attend their school to provide basic repairs to student's bikes. The program is only able to provide services to a set number of schools so the program will be provided on a first come first serve basis. The aim of the program is to provide an opportunity for students to learn about bike maintenance and repair, thereby extending the lifespan of bikes. Our Community Bikes will also provide information about additional Metro Vancouver school

programs that teachers can access to learn more about other waste reduction and recycling programs, as well as Metro Vancouver school programs available for other service areas.

### **Regional Food Recovery Network**

In September 2021, Metro Vancouver entered into a three-year contract with FoodMesh to increase food recovery in the region. The Food Recovery Network project helps Metro Vancouver-based food businesses divert their unsold food from going to waste or compost in a safe, cost-effective and convenient way.

Over the project's three years, about 11,800 tonnes of edible food destined for the green bin or disposal were diverted to feed people and to farmers to feed animals, avoiding over 30,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions (summarized in Table 1), and creating 69.5 jobs and 951 volunteer positions.

Due to the success of the three-year FoodMesh project, the contract has been extended for an additional two years, to August 2026. The City of Richmond and the Fraser Valley Regional District (FVRD) were some of the first communities to work with FoodMesh to build local food recovery networks in BC. Metro Vancouver continue supporting food recovery efforts in the region by working with FoodMesh and expanding the regional food recovery network.

Table 1 – Summary of the Regional Food Recovery Network Benefits

	<b>Year 1 (Sept 2021-Aug 2022)</b>	<b>Year 2 (Sept 2022-Aug 2023)</b>	<b>Year 3 (Sept 2023-Aug 2024)</b>	<b>Total</b>
Food for people (kg)	3,500,000	3,700,000	3,700,000	10,900,000
Equivalent Meals	6,400,000	6,700,000	6,800,000	19,900,000
Food for animals (kg)	300,000	300,000	320,000	920,000
GHG Savings (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e)	9,800,000	10,200,000	10,300,000	30,300,000

### **ALTERNATIVES**

This is an information report. No alternatives are presented.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Reuse, repair and food recovery programs are included in the 2024 and 2025 budget.

### **CONCLUSION**

Scaling up reuse, repair, and food recovery activities is a key focus for Metro Vancouver to achieve waste reduction goals. Metro Vancouver works collaboratively with member jurisdictions, community reuse partners, and other stakeholders to expand reuse and repair in the region. Reuse at recycling and waste centres, repair cafes, bike repair in schools, and food recovery are a few examples of the many initiatives underway.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Presentation re: 2024 Regional Reuse, Repair, and Food Recovery Programs.



## Attachment 1



Reuse Day at North Shore Recycling and Waste Centre

## Regional Reuse, Repair, and Food Recovery Programs

Alec Wu, EIT

Assistant Project Engineer - Zero Waste Implementation

Zero Waste Committee, January 9, 2025

**metro**vancouver

1

## PRESENTATION OUTLINE

Reuse, Repair, and Recovery Initiatives

1. Reuse Days at North Shore Recycling and Waste Centre
2. Regional Expansion of Reuse Days
3. Single-use Item Reduction at Offices and Events
4. Repair Event Funding
5. Food Recovery Network



**metro**vancouver

2

2





3




## REUSE DAYS RESULTS

Urban Repurpose Pilot

- Convenient drop-off for reusable items
- Several optimizations made to the program
- 34 events in 2024 compared to 12 in 2023
- Over 27,000 kg reused

4


4



**metro**vancouver

United Boulevard Recycling and Waste Centre

5



Reuse signage at the United Boulevard Recycling and Waste Centre

**metro**vancouver

# UNITED BOULEVARD

12-month Pilot Began Nov 25

- Contracted with Big Brothers
- Area to collect and temporarily store materials
- Everyday on-site service from 9am to 5pm
- Focused on small household goods

6

6





7

Reusables containers

**metro**vancouver

## REUSE AT OFFICES

- Delivered 26 lunch and learn sessions from January to December 2024.

8

8



## COMMUNITY EVENTS

### Educational Booth

- Ocean Ambassadors attended 15 public events in 2024

metrovancover

9

9



Maple Ridge Repair Cafe

Repair Event  
Funding

10





Coquitlam Repair Cafe

metrovancover

## 2024 REPAIR EVENTS

2024 Results up to December:

- 26 events funded
- 1,600+ residents attended
- 1,100+ items repaired

11

11



Food  
Recovery

Breakfast of food rescue champions

12

## REGIONAL FOOD RECOVERY

FoodMesh Network

- Rescue and redistribute surplus food to highest end-use
- First two years were focused on diversion. Third year on data tracking and waste prevention



metrovancover

13

13

## FOOD RECOVERY HIGHLIGHTS

Three-year Results

	Year 1 2021 - 2022	Year 2 2022 - 2023	Year 3 2023 - 2024	Total
Food for people (kg)	3,500,000	3,700,000	3,700,000	10,900,000
Equivalent meals	6,400,000	6,700,000	6,800,000	19,900,000
Food for animals (kg)	300,000	300,000	320,000	920,000
GHG savings (kg CO2e)	9,800,000	10,200,000	10,300,000	30,300,000

metrovancover

14

14



Metro Vancouver skyline

Questions?

metrovancover

15



## Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee

### 2025 Annual Work Plan – Updated Draft

Meeting Date: June 19, 2025

*An annual work plan for the committee will be developed by Metro Vancouver staff based on deliverables in the solid waste management plan development. The work plan will be reviewed annually by the committee, and will guide development of meeting agendas.*

Priorities		
Item	Status	Proposed Meeting Date
Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated Terms of Reference	Complete	February 21, 2025
2023 Solid Waste and Recycling Statistics	Complete	February 21, 2025
Solid waste management plan update:		
• Introducing Goals and Options Analysis Criteria	Complete	February 21, 2025
• Climate 2050 Solid Waste Primer	Complete	April 10, 2025
• Draft Hierarchy, Goals, and Performance Metrics Feedback Session	Complete	April 10 and May 8, 2025
• Options Analysis Criteria	Complete	May 8, 2025
• Regulatory Framework	Pending	June 19, 2025
• Idea Generation Engagement Summary Report	Pending	June 19, 2025
• Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities Review	Pending	June 19, 2025
• Recycling and Waste Centre Strategy	Pending	June 19, 2025
• Residual Waste Management Options Review	Pending	September 18, 2025
• Facility Tour	Pending	September 18, 2025
• Options Analysis Feedback Session	Pending	October 3, 2025
• Targets	Pending	November 20, 2025

#### 2025 Meeting Dates:

February 21, 2025

April 10, 2025 (In-person)

May 8, 2025

June 19, 2025

September 18, 2025 (Vancouver Landfill Tour and workshop)

October 3, 2025




**metro**vancouver

SERVICES AND SOLUTIONS FOR A LIVABLE REGION

---

November 20, 2025 (In-person)

DRAFT




# City of Vancouver Waste Quantities\*

2023 - 2025

(Demo garbage not included)

YTD % Change: 0%




\*Vancouver Landfill & Vancouver South Transfer Station

# Metro Vancouver and City of Vancouver Waste Quantities

2023 - 2025\*

(Demo garbage not included)

YTD % Change: 2%



\*Metro Vancouver data reconciled to Dec 2024

# **Metro Vancouver Solid Waste Management Plan – Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities Review**

Final Draft Report

June 3, 2025

Prepared for:

Solid Waste Services, Metro Vancouver  
Metrotower III, 4515 Central Boulevard  
Burnaby, BC V5H 0C6

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Ltd.  
Suite 310, 4321 Still Creek Drive  
Burnaby, BC V5C 6S7

Project/File:

133800068

## Limitations and Sign-off

The conclusions in the Report titled Metro Vancouver Solid Waste Management Plan – Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities Review are Stantec's professional opinion, as of the time of the Report, and concerning the scope described in the Report. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the scope of work was conducted and do not take into account any subsequent changes. The Report relates solely to the specific project for which Stantec was retained and the stated purpose for which the Report was prepared. The Report is not to be used or relied on for any variation or extension of the project, or for any other project or purpose, and any unauthorized use or reliance is at the recipient's own risk.

Stantec has assumed all information received from Solid Waste Services, Metro Vancouver Metrotower III, 4515 Central Boulevard Burnaby, BC V5H 0C6 (the "Client") and third parties in the preparation of the Report to be correct. While Stantec has exercised a customary level of judgment or due diligence in the use of such information, Stantec assumes no responsibility for the consequences of any error or omission contained therein.

This Report is intended solely for use by the Client in accordance with Stantec's contract with the Client. While the Report may be provided to applicable authorities having jurisdiction and others for whom the Client is responsible, Stantec does not warrant the services to any third party. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express written consent of Stantec, which may be withheld at Stantec's discretion.

Prepared by: (insert digital signature here, delete this line of text before creating pdf)  
Signature

(insert name and title)  
Printed Name and Title

Reviewed by: (insert digital signature here, delete this line of text before creating pdf)  
Signature

(insert name and title)  
Printed Name and Title

Approved by: (insert digital signature here, delete this line of text before creating pdf)  
Signature

(insert name and title)  
Printed Name and Title



## **Executive Summary**

Metro Vancouver is updating the region's Solid Waste Management Plan. As part of this process, opportunities to reduce waste and increase recycling are being identified and assessed through research and engagement. This report focuses on exploring opportunities to increase the recycling of concrete and asphalt in the region. Metro Vancouver estimates that in 2021, 1.16 million tonnes of asphalt and concrete were recycled in the region. Yet suppliers have increasingly large stockpiles of these materials and face difficulties finding sufficient demand to remain financially sustainable. This locally available, under-utilized resource presents significant opportunities for increased recycling and reducing pressures on natural resources.

A review and comparison of six sources of standards and best practices that are applicable to Metro Vancouver and their member jurisdictions identified opportunities for increased recycling of concrete and asphalt. They include opportunities such as updating the specifications in the Master Municipal Construction Documents for adoption and alignment by Metro Vancouver and member jurisdictions, eliminating alternative or supplementary construction specifications that hinder recycling of concrete and asphalt, and establishing standard contract provisions supporting the use of recycled aggregates.

Engagement through interviews and a webinar were undertaken during this review. The interviewees included twenty regional stakeholders in the public and private sectors, and nine consultants within Stantec working across North America. They expressed their experiences and perceived challenges of recycling concrete and asphalt, and suggested ideas and actions that may address them. The identified ideas and actions included establishing clearer, context specific quality requirements, clarifying environmental regulations, increasing the number of contexts in which the use of recycled aggregates is permitted, increasing the quantity of recycled asphalt pavement incorporated in new asphalt, and reducing the reliance on contract administrator approval for its use.

The webinar was hosted by Metro Vancouver in January 2025 and was titled "Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Options" and attended by over 100 participants. It was also open to the public but oriented to public and private sector recycled aggregate stakeholders with an objective to share knowledge on current and future applications for recycled aggregates and to receive feedback from participants.

A review of best practices and experiences in jurisdictions outside of British Columbia was also conducted. Findings include the actions and/or experience of jurisdictions who have established a 25% recycling target for concrete and asphalt, a recycling facility approval process to ensure the production of quality recycled concrete aggregates, use-specific requirements for materials containing recycled aggregates, restrictions relating to proximity to waterbodies, and examples of jurisdictions that have updated their regulations and/or procurement contracts to promote and/or require the use of recycled aggregates.

Then lastly, the environmental and financial opportunities were explored, highlighting the potential for greenhouse gas emissions reduction, increasing circular economy, and cost savings. The risks and mitigation measures of recycled aggregate leachate were also summarized.





## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background .....	1
1.2	Scope of Work.....	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Literature Review: Local Regulatory &amp; Contractual Framework .....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Recycled Aggregates Definitions .....	3
2.2	Uses .....	3
2.3	Sourcing .....	4
2.4	Quality Control .....	5
2.5	Mix Design .....	6
2.6	Contractual Framework.....	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Current Status of Concrete and Asphalt Recycling in Metro Vancouver .....</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Quantities Recycled .....	7
3.2	Interviews .....	8
3.2.1	Quality Challenges and Opportunities .....	8
3.2.2	Environmental Challenges and Opportunities .....	10
3.2.3	Operational and Regulatory Challenges and Opportunities .....	11
3.2.4	Change Management Challenges and Opportunities.....	11
<b>4</b>	<b>Best Practices &amp; Experience in Other Jurisdictions .....</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1	Review of Best Practices & Experience in Other Jurisdictions .....	13
4.1.1	Edmonton.....	13
4.1.2	Kelowna .....	13
4.1.3	Washington State.....	14
4.1.4	Halifax .....	16
4.1.5	Quebec.....	16
4.1.6	International .....	23
4.2	Summary of Experiences within Stantec .....	23
4.3	Assessment of Current Practices in Metro Vancouver .....	24
4.4	Opportunities Identified for the Metro Vancouver Region.....	25
<b>5</b>	<b>Webinar Summary.....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Strategic Opportunities .....</b>	<b>28</b>
6.1	Environmental .....	28
6.1.1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions .....	28
6.1.2	Recycled Aggregate Leachate .....	29
6.2	Circular Economy .....	30
6.3	Financial.....	31
6.3.1	Material & Transportation Costs .....	31
6.3.2	Tipping & Disposal Fees .....	32
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>References.....</b>	<b>35</b>



List of Tables

Table 1	Type of Recycled Aggregates Addressed per Entity .....	2
Table 2	Categories of Residual Granular Materials According to Regulation Respecting the Reclamation of Residual Materials, Article 26) .....	17
Table 3	Permitted use of Categories 1-4 residual granular materials in Québec, according to the Regulation respecting the reclamation of residual materials, Section 27. ....	19

List of Figures

Figure 1	Quantities of Asphalt and Concrete Recycled in Metro Vancouver between 2015 and 2021 (Metro Vancouver, 2024) .....	7
Figure 2	Maximum allowable percent of recycled materials as per Washinton State Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for road, bridge, and municipal construction. ....	15
Figure 3	Eco-Agg Concrete Recycling's Disposal Fees at its Langley Location (Eco-Agg Concrete Recycling, 2025).....	33

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Scope of Work
Appendix B	Literature Review Findings
Appendix C	Pre-Webinar Summary



## Acronyms / Abbreviations

AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
AC	Asphalt Cement
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BC	British Columbia
BNQ	Bureau de Normalisation du Québec
CB	Clay Brick Recycled Material
CDW	Construction and Demolition Waste. CSA subcategory of RCA
CERIEC	Centre for Intersectoral Studies and Research in Circular Economy
CIP	Cold in Place
CO <sub>2</sub> eq.	Carbon dioxide Equivalent
CSA	Canadian Standards Association
DLC	Demolition, Land Clearing, and Construction
DNV	District of North Vancouver
EA	FDR with Expanded Asphalt
ÉTS	École de Technologie Supérieure
FDR	Full Depth Recycling
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
HMA	Hot-Mix Asphalt
kg	Kilogram
kms	Kilometers
LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (a green building rate system)
LCMB	Laboratory for Pavement and Bituminous Materials
mg/kg	Milligram per kilogram
MMCD	Master Municipal Construction Documents
MOTT	Ministry of Transportation and Transit (formerly Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure – MOTI)
MTMD	(Quebec) Ministry of Transport and Sustainable Mobility
NAPA	National Asphalt Pavement Association
NCHRP	National Cooperative Highway Research Program



QC	Quality Control
RAB	Recycled Aggregate Base. Term used by the ASTM for mixes of concrete and asphalt, who also indicates that it may also be called Recycled Asphalt Pavement (RAP) and Recycled Concrete Aggregate (RCA).
RAP	Recycled (or reclaimed) Asphalt Pavement. Term used by Member jurisdictions, MMCD, MOTT and TAC for defining asphalt concrete or pavement materials composed of asphalt and aggregates.
RCA	Reclaimed (or recycled) Concrete Aggregate. Term used by CSA for recycled concrete.
RCA	Recycled Concrete and Asphalt. Term used by MMCD and member municipalities using MMCD contract documents for mixes of concrete and asphalt.
RCAM	Recycled Concrete Aggregate Material. Term used by MOTT defined as a blended material which may be comprised of Recycled Concrete Aggregate, Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement, Recycled Granular Base Aggregate, and virgin granular materials.
RCM	Reclaimed Concrete Material. Term used by TAC for recycled concrete.
RCM	Term used by CSA for subcategory of RCA.
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RHC	Returned Hardened Concrete
RM	Recycled Materials Aggregates
RPRT	(Quebec) Regulation on Respecting the Protection and Rehabilitation of Land
TAC	Transportation Association of Canada
UBC	University of British Columbia
% w/w	Percentage by Weight
WMA	Warm-Mix Asphalt
WSA	Water Sustainability Act
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation
YVR	Vancouver International Airport



# **1 Introduction**

## **1.1 Background**

As part of updating its solid waste management plan, Metro Vancouver has identified the need to review opportunities and challenges for concrete and asphalt recycling. The organisation estimates that 1.16 million tonnes of asphalt and concrete were recycled in the region in 2021, making up almost 50% of all recycled material in 2021. This highlights the opportunity for innovative solutions for recycling of a material stream that is in steady supply.

Production and transportation of concrete and asphalt is an energy-intensive process. Hence, recycling the materials can result in significant financial and environmental benefits through avoided greenhouse gas emissions, minimized landfill consumption, and offsetting the need for use of virgin materials.

Metro Vancouver is looking to identify and assess potential new opportunities for concrete and asphalt recycling in support of efforts to maximize waste diversion and account for them in the new solid waste management plan.

## **1.2 Scope of Work**

The scope of work for this concrete and asphalt recycling opportunities review is as follows:

- Identify options to reduce challenges and barriers.
- Evaluate new recycling opportunities for recycled concrete and asphalt (RCA) and recycled asphalt pavement (RAP).
- Determine best practices and leading-edge opportunities.
- Assess environmental and financial opportunities.



## 2 Literature Review: Local Regulatory & Contractual Framework

The following section is a preliminary review of local regulatory and contractual frameworks on recycled concrete and asphalt that apply to Metro Vancouver’s member jurisdictions. Their similarities, differences and gaps can help inform opportunities and challenges for expanded and accepted concrete and asphalt recycling options. The review focuses on the following standards and best practices:

- Supplementary construction specifications, bylaws, and reports established by member jurisdictions. (City of Burnaby, 2021) (City of Coquitlam, 2022) (City of Langley, 2022) (City of Maple Ridge, 2023) (City of Pitt Meadows) (City of Richmond, 2016) (City of Surrey, 2020) (City of Vancouver, 2019) (Jackson, 2022)
- Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD). (Master Municipal Construction Documents Association, 2019)
- Ministry of Transportation and Transit’s (MOTT) specifications and guidance. (British Columbia Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure, 2020)
- Ministry of Transportation and Transit’s (MOTT) draft RCAM specifications and guidance. (not published, under review)
- Transportation Association of Canada’s (TAC) guidance. (Transportation Association of Canada, 2013)
- National Standard of Canada’s (CSA Group) standards. (CSA Group, 2019)
- ASTM International’s standards. (ASTM International, 2022)

The findings of the review are detailed in Appendix B and presents text extracts and paraphrased information from the entities listed above, organized by subject matter and recycled aggregate type. The following sub-sections are also organised by subject matter to summarize and interpret the findings.

The type of recycled aggregates covered by the reviewed standards and best practices are as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1 Type of Recycled Aggregates Addressed Per Entity**

	Recycled Asphalt	Recycled Concrete	Recycled Asphalt and Concrete (mix)
<b>Member Jurisdictions</b>	✓	✓	✓
<b>MMCD</b>	✓	✓	✓
<b>MOTT</b>	✓	✓	✓
<b>TAC</b>	✓	✓	✓
<b>CSA</b>		✓	
<b>ASTM</b>			✓



## **2.1 Recycled Aggregates Definitions**

Recycled asphalt is commonly referred to as Recycled (or reclaimed) Asphalt Pavement (RAP). Member jurisdictions, MMCD, MOTT and TAC's descriptions of RAP are similar, defining it as asphalt concrete or pavement materials composed of asphalt and aggregates.

Recycled concrete is known as Reclaimed Concrete Material (RCM) by TAC and as Reclaimed (or recycled) Concrete Aggregate (RCA) by the CSA. The CSA further sub-categorizes RCA into three categories: RCM, Construction and Demolition Waste (CDW), and Returned Hardened Concrete (RHC).

A mix of recycled concrete and asphalt is known as Recycled Concrete and Asphalt (RCA) by member jurisdictions and the MMCD, as Recycled Crushed Aggregates (RCAs) by Toronto and Area Road Builders Association, as Recycled Concrete Aggregate Material (RCAM) by MOTT and as Recycled Aggregate Base (RAB) by the ASTM, who indicates that it may also be called RAP and Recycled Concrete Aggregate (RCA). The City of Vancouver has established a standardized recycled aggregates mix that specifies gradation and several physical, mechanical, and environmental properties.

A review of definitions and commonly used acronyms presents the following opportunities for member jurisdictions:

- Consider a standard application for the acronym RCA for consistency. It currently has several meanings, reclaimed concrete aggregate, recycled concrete and asphalt and recycled crushed aggregates, which can lead to confusion and misunderstandings.
- Distinguish recycled concrete from recycled concrete and asphalt, to create new recycling opportunities.

## **2.2 Uses**

The MMCD, member jurisdictions, MOTT and TAC recommend incorporating RAP into the material mix used to build roadways. The MMCD allows for the use of RAP in warm-mix asphalt and hot-mix asphalt for Marshall and Superpave mix designs and the MOTT allows for the use of RAP in hot-mix asphalt for top and lower lifts of category A and B roads. TAC indicates that although RAP is used for embankment base or fill material, this is downcycling and an emphasis should be put on maximising the use of RAP in pavement mix designs. The City of Coquitlam allows asphalt millings to be used as pit run gravel. The City of Richmond's Supplementary Specifications and Detail Drawings doesn't allow recycled asphalt to be used as pipe bedding and surround material. This is primarily due to the nature of the City's subsoil and pea gravel or sand is the typical industry standard for uniform structural support required for pipe bedding. The recycled asphalt may sluff in the sedimentary deposits, providing insufficient structural support to the pipe. (City of Richmond, 2025).

The MMCD currently allows recycled concrete aggregates to be used as pit run gravel and as pipe bedding and surround material, although the proposed updated specifications are planning to not include pipe bedding as an option. In terms of geotechnical performance, due to the angular nature and water absorption of recycled concrete aggregates, it can be challenging to achieve optimal compaction and



uniform support for the pipe without creating point loads on the pipe which could potentially lead to stress concentrations, especially for thinner-walled or more flexible pipes. The cities of Surrey, Maple Ridge and Pitt Meadows also do not permit its use as pipe bedding and surround material. The City of Surrey added this stipulation in 2018 to avoid using recycled concrete aggregates that contained other undesirable materials, such as glass. The City of Surrey does frequently approve the use of recycle concrete aggregates for this use, but on a case-by-case basis. (City of Surrey, 2025) The MOTT allows recycled concrete aggregates to be used in concrete for minor works. CSA notes that recycled concrete aggregates are typically used for “unbound road base” applications; however, it may also be used as coarse aggregate in the concrete for non-structural applications, some pavements or concrete base, unshrinkable fill and other low risk applications.

The MMCD allows recycled concrete and asphalt aggregate material to be used as backfill for embankment fill if they are approved by the contract administrator. Some member jurisdictions have more specific recommendations, such as allowing its use for urban nature trails (City of Langley), as backfill material for sanitary and storm sewers (City of Vancouver), as granular base and granular subbase for sidewalks and multi-use pathways where leachates would not cause harm to the environment (City of Burnaby). The City of Coquitlam requires City approval for the use of aggregates containing recycled material, in addition to the contract administrator. TAC points out that mixing these materials should be avoided unless they're unusable in a bound application such as new pavement. Mixing materials does not use the asphalt cement binder or recover the energy invested in their production, hence materials are not used to their highest reuse potential.

Our review of material uses, presents the following opportunities for Metro Vancouver and member jurisdictions:

- Encourage the use of RAP in asphalt paving mixes.
- Look into permitting the use of recycled concrete aggregates into new concrete for non-structural applications.
- Only combine asphalt and concrete aggregates if not effectively able to keep separate.
- Monitor concrete recycling management and construction process controls to ensure environmental risks are minimized.

## **2.3 Sourcing**

The sources of RAP prescribed by the MMCD (asphalt removal, surplus generated during plant start-up, transition between mixes, plant clean out, or excess mix produced that could not be placed) are aligned with those recommended by the MOTT and TAC. The MOTT also distinguishes between RAP sourced exclusively from its roadways and RAP from other sources.

MMCD does not specify sources of recycled concrete and asphalt. TAC notes that RAP and RCM are typically produced during roadway projects and ASTM indicates that the sources of recycled aggregates include asphalt or concrete pavement or structural concrete. The City of Vancouver requires that sources of recycled concrete and asphalt be pre-approved by the City Engineer.





Our review of material sourcing, presents the following opportunity for Metro Vancouver and member jurisdictions:

- Ensure that suppliers of recycled concrete and asphalt have quality control procedures that identifies:
  - How they receive, collect, separate, and sort concrete and asphalt materials based on project requirements.
  - How they remove various deleterious materials from recycled concrete and asphalt.
  - The frequency of their sampling and testing of processed recycled concrete and asphalt for quality assurance.

## **2.4 Quality Control**

The MMCD references the National Asphalt Pavement Association's (NAPA) guide for best practices for RAP and RAS [reclaimed asphalt shingles] management for guidance on handling and storing RAP, and to the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 452 for processing, quality and use requirements. The guidance from the MOTT covers handling, processing, stockpiling, sampling and testing and TAC reviews existing processing practices and how the quality of the source material can impact the resulting product. The City of Surrey notes that when removing RAP for reuse, measures should be taken to avoid contamination by (or the incorporation of) the base aggregates.

For recycled concrete, the CSA recommends frequent controls of the concrete being brought to the recycling facility, suggests testing parameters and a framework for a contractor/supplier quality control plan. TAC notes that the presence of sulphates/sulphides, chlorides and alkali reactive aggregates can affect the concrete's recyclability.

The MMCD's testing requirements for recycled concrete and asphalt are currently limited to the California Bearing Ratio. The City of Vancouver has a more comprehensive testing program. ASTM provides guidance on sampling, quality control and quality assurance measures, and requirements for the finished product. Clear guidelines on testing also helps manage and reduce the risks tied to replacing virgin materials with less homogenous recycled ones and will help ensure a consistent, quality product.

Since April 2024, the MMCD Civil Committee comprised of stakeholders involved in road construction and transportation infrastructure, including representatives from consultants, contractors, suppliers, local government, and Metro Vancouver have been meeting to thoroughly review and prepare recommended updates to the MMCD specifications for recycled concrete and asphalt in 2025.

Our review presents the following opportunities for MMCD:

- Investigate aligning existing RAP quality control testing requirements with those of the MOTT.
- Investigate incorporating CSA's guidance on recycle concrete quality control and quality assurance measures.



- Investigate incorporating ASTM's guidance on recycled concrete and asphalt quality control and quality assurance measures.
- Collaborate with suppliers and the construction industry to set quality control and quality assurance measures.
- Establish environmental standards for the use of recycled aggregates.

## **2.5 Mix Design**

The MMCD provides two mix design guidelines, one for Marshall mixes and another for Superpave mixes for both warm-mix asphalt (WMA) and hot-mix asphalt (HMA). For Marshall mixes, up to 15% recycled asphalt cement (AC) replacement may be used without changing binder grade. As for Superpave mixes, the MMCD allows up to 25% RAP in the lower course and up to 15% in the upper course of pavement. The guidance from member jurisdictions varies, capping the incorporation of RAP between 10 to 30%. The MOTT also has guidelines with regards to HMA mix design, allowing up to 30% RAP AC replacement

MOTT leaves the design mix of minor concrete works that use recycled concrete aggregates up to the contractor, as long as they meet sulphate exposure criteria. CSA cautions against certain deleterious substances and materials, and TAC notes that recycled concrete aggregates may absorb more water and their fines may decrease the fresh concrete's workability.

Our review presents the following opportunities for MMCD:

- Investigate aligning RAP mix design requirements with those of the MOTT.
- Incorporate the guidance for concrete mix design from TAC, MOTT and CSA.
- Consider increasing the maximum % of RAP asphalt cement (AC) replacement.
- Consider increasing the maximum % RAP by weight/mass of total mix.
- Consider establishing a concrete mix design for non-structural work such as walkways, concrete collars around electrical service boxes in boulevard areas and other low load detailing works.

## **2.6 Contractual Framework**

The use of recycled aggregate is primarily left up to the discretion of contractors and contract administrators.

The MOTT indicates that contract special provisions need to specify RAP use to ensure its reuse by projects at desired locations.

Our review presents the following opportunities for Metro Vancouver and member jurisdictions:

- Consider incentivising, mandating and/or providing guidance on the use of recycled aggregates in contract provisions in the procurement of public projects.
- Establish standard contract provisions and guidance documents on the use of recycled aggregates.




### 3 Current Status of Concrete and Asphalt Recycling in Metro Vancouver

This section analyses the status of existing management practices for concrete and asphalt recycling in the region of Metro Vancouver. The information presented in the following section was obtained through data published by Metro Vancouver and twenty interviews with regional stakeholders in the public and private sectors.

#### 3.1 Quantities Recycled

Metro Vancouver publishes annual reports on the status of the region's recycling and solid waste management. The reports include data on the quantities of asphalt and concrete recycled, presented in Figure 1. It's sourced in part through voluntary reporting by unlicensed private recycling facilities. Metro Vancouver strives to determine the quantities of concrete and asphalt generated by construction and demolition activity, which are typically considered municipal solid waste, and to exclude material originating from road construction; however, determining the origin of the material is challenging. (Metro Vancouver, 2024)

**Figure 1 Quantities of Asphalt and Concrete Recycled in Metro Vancouver between 2015 and 2021 (Metro Vancouver, 2024)**



The data indicates that the quantities of asphalt and concrete recycled in the region have been increasing over the years.



## 3.2 Interviews

To gain insights into the status, challenges and opportunities surrounding the use of recycled concrete and asphalt in Metro Vancouver, stakeholder interviews were conducted in the summer of 2024. The interviewees included 20 representatives from local concrete and asphalt recycling service providers, member jurisdictions within the Metro Vancouver region, municipal and industrial associations, the MOTT, and engineering and laboratory consultants.

Key questions addressed during the interviews included:

- Where do you see opportunities in expanding the use of recycled concrete and asphalt?
- What are the most common/challenging barriers to recycling concrete and/or asphalt? (e.g., quality, permitting, planning, financial, legal, etc.)
- What are potential solutions to remove or reduce barriers?
- How have risks and barriers prevented you, and others in the industry, from incorporating recycled aggregates in projects?
- What data should be collected and tracked to better understand and encourage the use of recycled concrete and asphalt?
- Any other comments or insights?

From the interviews, several themes emerged, offering insights into the current challenges and opportunities for expanding concrete and asphalt recycling in Metro Vancouver. The themes included quality management, environmental and operational considerations, regulatory standards, and change management.

Adding recycled asphalt aggregates into new asphalt paving mixes seems to be widely accepted although mix design requirements vary. There is significantly less consensus on the requirements to use recycled concrete and asphalt aggregates mixes or only recycled concrete aggregates, therefore these aggregates will be the focus of the following sub-sections unless otherwise specified.

### 3.2.1 Quality Challenges and Opportunities

Feedback on quality can be summarized as follows:

- **Quality Of Recycled Aggregates and Their Final Products are Inconsistent**

Interviewees mentioned this could be addressed with existing testing standards, although some felt the existing testing and quality requirements weren't sufficiently clear and should be context specific depending on loading and/or structural requirements.



- **Testing Limitations Need to be Considered**

Interviewees flagged that excessive testing requirements can result in the use of recycled aggregates being prohibitively expensive compared to virgin aggregates. Some felt there was no need to have distinctive or additional testing for final products that contain recycled aggregates as compared to those that only contain virgin aggregates. Some noted that there are characteristics that cannot be accurately tested for and should be avoided, such as the percentage of recycled aggregates in a final product. Interviewees also noted that products tested in the field resulted in reduced infiltration rates and aggregate size when compared to laboratory results, likely due to greater compaction.

- **Source Can Impact Quality**

Interviewees mentioned that recycled aggregates sourced for road projects were generally of better or more consistent quality than those sourced from building demolition projects, in part due to additives and/or contamination. It was suggested that the aggregates be sorted by source and/or quality to improve the consistency of the final product.

- **Stockpile Management Practices Affect Quality**

It was mentioned that recycled aggregates tend to bind together, either due to concrete aggregates absorbing water and/or asphalt aggregates adhering to one another over time, making old stockpiles harder to utilize. The need for large stockpile areas was also mentioned as a concern, especially if one wished to segregate the aggregates by type and quality resulting in a greater number of stockpiles. One interviewee mentioned being open to centralising the stockpiling and processing of recycled aggregates produced by jurisdictions. Another mentioned that disposal fees are a strong incentive for the industry to sort aggregates at their source for recycling.

- **Presence Of Contaminants**

Aggregate contaminants may include polymers, asbestos, engine oil, metal slag, etc., and interviewees mentioned they may also impact the quality of end products. Testing for the contaminants known to be of concern in Metro Vancouver could address this issue.

Establishing a quality assurance program to pre-qualify aggregate sources, recyclers, and/or end products was also discussed. The consensus seemed against such a system, due to the cost to put in place, the additional cost to suppliers, and/or due to doubts on its effectiveness.

Interviewees noted that institutions such as the University of British Columbia (UBC) and Vancouver International Airport (YVR) are already reusing concrete and asphalt aggregates on-site and could share their data, results and best practices to support the broader adoption of recycled materials across Metro Vancouver.

The MMCD also plans to publish new specifications for recycled aggregates in 2025, which is expected to provide clearer guidelines and support the increased use of recycled materials. Some jurisdictions have already successfully reused large volumes of recycled aggregates in infrastructure projects, but the data on the performance of these materials is not publicly available.



In Ontario, recycled concrete and asphalt aggregates are used as a complete replacement for virgin aggregates in road construction with promising results. Other regions that have developed recycled aggregate specifications including Quebec, Edmonton, Washington State, Halifax, and Kelowna, and could serve as examples for Metro Vancouver member jurisdictions to follow as it expands its recycling efforts.

### **3.2.2 Environmental Challenges and Opportunities**

Feedback on environmental aspects can be summarized as follows:

- **Leachate Management**

Interviewees mentioned that recycled concrete aggregates can raise the pH of contact water, especially when fines are present, posing a risk to sensitive ecosystems and waterways. One interviewee also indicated that concrete aggregates have also been shown to leach other pollutants such as chromium and sulfate. They indicated that these risks could be managed by placing recycled materials under impermeable layers to reduce the quantity of leachate produced.

A few interviewees mentioned that leachate from recycled asphalt aggregates had little to no environmental impact.

- **Broad Environmental Regulations**

A source of concern is also a lack of clarity in regulatory frameworks, such as the Water Sustainability Act (WSA), which contributes to hesitancy in adopting recycled materials. Interviewees pointed to the broad definition of waterways under the WSA that creates uncertainty for environmental consultants and contractors.

An interviewee also mentioned that it was unclear how recycled aggregates were to be handled if used for example as a road base than dug up later during maintenance activities of underground utilities. Project owners may face expensive disposal fees if the recycling requirements of such materials aren't clear.

- **Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

Interviewees mentioned that the use of recycled materials can help reduce carbon dioxide emissions in construction projects, promoting sustainability and reducing the carbon footprint. In addition, recycled aggregates can be processed on-site for large-scale demolition or construction projects, reducing the need for transportation and promoting the efficient use of materials.

- **Circular Economy and Net-Zero Waste**

Interviewees pointed out the opportunities of a "net-zero" approach, where jurisdictions could use the quantity of recycled materials they produce, encouraging a circular economy, reducing the reliance on virgin materials and reducing waste. Some have suggested that more or earlier involvement of environmental and sustainability departments in project planning can ensure that recycled concrete and asphalt environmental targets are established and met, fostering greater collaboration and promoting the use of recycled materials in construction.



### 3.2.3 Operational and Regulatory Challenges and Opportunities

Feedback on operational and regulatory aspects can be summarized as follows:

- **Proximity to Urban Centers**

Recyclers highlighted the high land costs and zoning restrictions, particularly near urban areas, increasing the expense of accepting, storing, and selling recycled concrete aggregates and RAP. In regions where land is cheaper, recyclers struggle to compete with virgin aggregates due to the proximity of quarries. Favorable zoning requirements would help recyclers establish themselves closer to urban areas where demand for recycling aggregates is greatest and would help reduce trucking distances and its associated costs.

- **Recycled RAP Content**

Interviewees mentioned that increased use of RAP in road construction projects has been tested, with some trials showing promising results when using 30 to 40% RAP. Increasing the quantity of RAP in base and top asphalt layers could provide significant cost and environmental savings, provided that the materials meet quality and performance standards.

- **Alignment With Environmental Plans and Objectives**

It was noted that governments and organizations should implement green procurement standards that require a minimum percentage of recycled content in construction projects, thereby creating demand for recycled concrete aggregates and RAP and reducing the reliance on virgin materials. Furthermore, jurisdictions could standardise the use of recycled concrete aggregates or RAP in low-risk applications, making its use more routine and widespread without the need for special approvals.

- **Updating Existing Standards and Specifications**

As flagged by numerous interviewees, there exists an opportunity to establish clearer and more thorough standards for recycled concrete aggregates and RAP, which would encourage broader use of recycled aggregates. For example, updating the Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) more frequently to provide modern, standardized guidelines for recycled materials across jurisdictions would simplify the approval process. This improvement would reduce delays, foster confidence among contractors, and promote the seamless integration of recycled concrete aggregates and RAP into construction projects.

### 3.2.4 Change Management Challenges and Opportunities

Another challenge mentioned by numerous interviewees is resistance to change. Contract administrators, consultants and engineers seem generally unfamiliar with the use of recycled aggregates, and therefore hesitant to approve their use into their projects. It seems that for many decisions makers, the environmental gains and potential cost savings don't currently outweigh the perceived technical risk. Interviewees mentioned that this could be addressed by:

- Increased knowledge sharing and education of stakeholders.



- Supporting research on the use of recycled aggregates, including their potential environmental impacts.
- Sharing the results of existing and future studies and test trials.
- Increased collaboration between stakeholders to exchange ideas and build trust.
- Increased transparency from industrial partners.
- Promoting the use of the existing RAP toolkit.
- Reducing the reliance on contract administrator approval.
- Establishing concrete and asphalt recycling targets and procurement requirements.
- Encourage economic development and innovation opportunities.





## **4 Best Practices & Experience in Other Jurisdictions**

### **4.1 Review of Best Practices & Experience in Other Jurisdictions**

This section explores best practices and experiences from the City of Edmonton, City of Kelowna, Washington State, City of Halifax, and Province of Quebec, communities identified through interviews with stakeholders and subject matter experts, and research. The information was gathered through a review of publicly available resources.

#### **4.1.1 Edmonton**

The City's construction specifications include the standards to (City of Edmonton, 2023):

- Reclaim roadway base courses: the specifications include quality assurance and quality control measures, definitions, products specifications for materials and equipment, and execution measures.
- Include RAP in hot-mix asphalt: the mix design can contain up to 25% RAP (by mass of total mix) in base asphalt, up to 20% RAP in surface asphalt for low traffic conditions, and up to 10% RAP in surface asphalt for high traffic conditions.

The City of Edmonton used to operate an aggregate recycling program that processed concrete and asphalt from various sources, including household renovations and construction projects. The program offered products such as 63mm (2.5 inches) recycled crushed concrete and ballast (dolostone) and 6mm-38mm (¼ inch-1 ½ inches), suitable for applications like residential driveways, walkways, patios, and commercial bases for parking lots, roads, pipe laying, and erosion control structures. The recycled concrete aggregate typically comprises 65% concrete, 25% asphalt, and 10% other materials. (City of Edmonton, 2024) However, the program was not profitable and has been ended.

#### **4.1.2 Kelowna**

The City of Kelowna permits the use of recycled materials in the following conditions: (City of Kelowna, 2024)

- Type 1 granular pipe bedding and surround materials may contain up to 30% RAP by weight, and hot-mix asphalt may contain up to 20% RAP without changing binder grade, provided that the properties of RAP material are considered in the trial mix design.
- Recycled concrete and asphalt (RCA) may be used as a subbase or base within the pavement structure and can be used as fill in the subgrade if certain restrictions are met. RCA may contain up to 30% RAP by weight. Recycled concrete may not be used as pipe bedding.



The Glenmore Landfill, operated by the City of Kelowna, plans to offer recycled concrete and asphalt for sale at \$8 per tonne starting in spring 2025. (City of Kelowna, 2024)

### **4.1.3 Washington State**

In 2015, Washington State enacted Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 70.95.805, mandating the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) to develop strategies for reusing construction aggregates and recycled concrete materials. The legislation set a target for WSDOT projects to utilize 25% recycled materials annually, provided they are available and cost-effective. (Washington State Department of Transportation, 2018)

Between November 2019 and December 2020, WSDOT received 87 recycled materials reports from contractors. The reports are based on a standardized form established by WSDOT to report the quantity in tons of each type of recycled material used per contract. Contractors reported using 157 tons of recycled concrete aggregate out of a potential 361,479 tons of eligible material (listed in Table 3). Between 2017 and 2020, a total of 12,141 tons of recycled concrete aggregate has been utilized on WSDOT projects. (Washington State Department of Transportation, 2021) Hence the target of 25% recycling has not yet been achieved.

The primary barrier to achieving the 25% usage target has been cost. Contractors have indicated that the expenses associated with recycled concrete aggregate often exceed those of native materials, hindering broader adoption. (Washington State Department of Transportation, 2021)

WSDOT's standard practice specifications for road, bridge, and municipal construction include:

- WSDOT Standard Practice QC 9: Standard Practice for Approval of Recycled Materials Facilities of WSDOT Recycled Concrete and Returned Concrete. (Washington State Department of Transportation, 2024)
  - It specifies processes for approving the Recycled Materials Facilities that produce/supply RCA from either returned concrete and/or WSDOT concrete, including the requirement for a Quality Control Plan for the facilities. The approval process determines if the Plan ensures production of non-contaminated quality RCA.
- WSDOT Standard Practice QC 10: Standard Practice for Approval of Recycled Materials Facilities from Stockpiles of Unknown Sources. (Washington State Department of Transportation, 2024)
  - It specifies the processes for approving recycling materials facilities that produce/supply recycled materials from unknown sources.
- WSDOT Standard Specifications for road, bridge, and municipal construction specifies requirements and restrictions for recycled materials and uses as shown in Figure 2 below. (Washington State Department of Transportation, 2025)

WSDOT's Construction Manual indicates that projects that require water quality monitoring as per their permit, the "water impacted by pH modifying sources must be characterized and, if authorized, must be



neutralized prior to discharge to ensure it is within the range 6.5 to 8.5". (Washington State Department of Transportation, 2024).

**Figure 2 Maximum allowable percent of recycled materials as per Washinton State Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for road, bridge, and municipal construction.**

9-03.21(1)F Table on Maximum Allowable percent (By Weight) of Recycled Material

Maximum Allowable percent (by weight) of Recycled Material					
		Recycled Asphalt Pavement	Recycled Concrete Aggregate	Recycled Glass (glass cullet)	Steel Slag
Fine Aggregate for Concrete	9-03.1(2)	0	0	0	0
Coarse Aggregates for Concrete	9-03.1(4)	0	0	0	0
Coarse Aggregate for Concrete Pavement	9-03.1(4)	0	100	0	0
Coarse Aggregate for Commercial Concrete and Class 3000 Concrete	9-03.1(4)	0	100	0	0
Aggregates for Hot Mix Asphalt	9-03.8	See 5-04.2	0	0	20
Ballast	9-03.9(1)	25	100	20	20
Permeable Ballast	9-03.9(2)	25	100	20	20
Crushed Surfacing	9-03.9(3)	25	100	20	20
Aggregate for Gravel Base	9-03.10	25	100	20	20
Gravel Backfill for Foundations – Class A	9-03.12(1)A	25	100	20	20
Gravel Backfill for Foundations – Class B	9-03.12(1)B	25	100	20	20
Gravel Backfill for Walls	9-03.12(2)	0	100	20	20
Gravel Backfill for Pipe Zone Bedding	9-03.12(3)	0	100	20	20
Gravel Backfill for Drains	9-03.12(4)	0	0	20	0
Gravel Backfill for Drywells	9-03.12(5)	0	0	20	0
Backfill for Sand Drains	9-03.13	0	0	20	0
Sand Drainage Blanket	9-03.13(1)	0	0	20	0
Gravel Borrow	9-03.14(1)	25	100	20	20
Select Borrow	9-03.14(2)	25	100	20	20
Select Borrow (greater than 3 feet below Subgrade and side slopes)	9-03.14(2)	100	100	20	20
Common Borrow	9-03.14(3)	25	100	20	20
Common Borrow (greater than 3 feet below Subgrade and side slopes)	9-03.14(3)	100	100	20	20
Foundation Material Class A and Class B	9-03.17	0	100	20	20
Foundation Material Class C	9-03.18	0	100	20	20
Bank Run Gravel for Trench Backfill	9-03.19	25	100	20	20



#### **4.1.4 Halifax**

The Halifax Regional Municipality's specifications for hot mix asphalt allow for up to 20% RAP in each lift for new construction works, subject to a few conditions, and the mix is to be designed according to the Ontario Ministry of Transportation Design Procedure for Recycled Hot Mix Asphalt. (Halifax Regional Municipality, 2024)

The municipality also mentions the use of recycled aggregates in its pavement maintenance & rehabilitation strategies where existing roads are fully or partially milled, screened, and the millings reused in the asphalt mix. (Halifax Regional Municipality, 2024)

#### **4.1.5 Quebec**

In Quebec, the provincial regulatory framework was updated in the last two years and includes detailed requirements which allow the use of recycled granular materials in various projects. In theory, the recycled content could be as high as 100%, but no target has been set in the regulatory framework. However, most contracting authorities like the municipalities or major institutions have restrictions in using such recycled materials, regardless of their compliance with the provincial regulation and standards. Some of them have conducted pilot projects and changed their specifications to increase the allowable content of recycled materials. The use of recycled aggregates is not mandated by the province. It has established a list of requirements to allow the use of recycled aggregate without the need for Ministry approval.

The *Bureau de Normalisation du Québec* (Standard BNQ 2560-600, 2024) pertains to the classification and characteristics of recycled materials produced from concrete, hot mix asphalt, and brick residues. It outlines the physical, chemical, and intrinsic properties of these recycled materials (RM) which are made from concrete, bituminous coatings and clay bricks coming from construction or demolition sites, construction or demolition waste sorting centres or other suppliers of residual granular materials, ensuring they meet specific requirements for their use in construction projects. The standard includes:

- classifications and designations (RM (as aggregates) type recycled materials and CB (clay bricks) type recycled materials);
- general requirements (composition of recycled materials);
- specific requirements for RM-1 to RM-7 recycled materials (sampling, classification, granularity, intrinsic characteristics, fabrication characteristics, complementary characteristics); and
- special requirements for CB recycled materials.

BNQ 2560-600 links the different Québec standards and guidelines which regulate the use of recycled aggregates, including the regulation respecting the reclamation of residual materials, handbooks and guidelines from the Ministry of Transport and Sustainable Mobility (MTMD), American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), ASTM, and CSA.

The province's *regulation on respecting the reclamation of residual materials* defines four categories and their specific cases of residual granular materials in Section 26 based on their maximum concentrations



of certain contaminants (Table 2). (Government of Quebec, 2024) Categories 1 to 3 apply to residual granular materials that will be reused on-site or off-site. Category 4 applies only to residual granular materials that are generated and reused on the same site. The four categories are divided into cases and five criteria. These criteria are based on the material's concentration of metals, metalloids and other inorganic parameters, petroleum hydrocarbons (C10-C50), organic compounds, leachates, and impurities. Section 19 lists specific cases that don't require a characterization depending on the origin of residual granular materials and/or its asphalt content.

**Table 2 Categories of Residual Granular Materials According to Regulation Respecting the Reclamation of Residual Materials, Article 26)**

**CATEGORY 1**

**Case 1** The residual granular material contains 1% or less of asphalt and is covered under subparagraph 1 or 2 of the second paragraph of section 19.

**Case 2** The residual granular material contains 1% or less of asphalt and meets the following requirements:

Concentration of metals, metalloids and other inorganic parameters	Concentration of petroleum hydrocarbons (C10-C50)	Concentration of organic compounds	Leachates	Impurities content
Less than or equal to the concentration of the second column of Table 1 of Schedule I	Less than or equal to 100 mg/kg	Less than or equal to the concentration of the second column of Table 2 of Schedule I	N/A	Less than or equal to 1% (w/w) and 0.1% (w/w) for light materials

**CATEGORY 2**

**Case 1** The residual granular material contains 1% or less of asphalt and is covered under subparagraph 3 of the second paragraph of section 19.

**Case 2** The residual granular material contains 1% or less of asphalt and meets the following requirements:

Concentration of metals, metalloids and other inorganic parameters	Concentration of petroleum hydrocarbons (C10-C50)	Concentration of organic compounds	Leachates	Impurities content
Greater than the concentration of the second column and less than or equal to the level of the third column of Table 1 of Schedule I	Less than or equal to 100 mg/kg	Less than or equal to the concentration of the second column of Table 2 of Schedule I	Leachates do not exceed the maximum concentration of Table 1 of Schedule I, where applicable	Less than or equal to 1% (w/w) and 0.1% (w/w) for light materials



### CATEGORY 3

**Case 1** The residual granular material is from road infrastructures covered under subparagraph 4 of the second paragraph of section 19 or contains more than 1% of asphalt and is covered under the second paragraph of section 19.

**Case 2** The residual granular material is composed of a mixture of category 1 or 2 residual granular materials and more than 1% of asphalt.

**Case 3** The residual granular material meets the following requirements:

Concentration of metals, metalloids and other inorganic parameters	Concentration of petroleum hydrocarbons (C10-C50)	Concentration of organic compounds	Leachates	Impurities content
Less than or equal to the concentration of the third column of Table 1 of Schedule I, except in the case of asphalt containing slag from steel mills	Greater than 100 mg/kg but less than or equal to 3,500 mg/kg, except asphalt	Less than or equal to the concentration of the third column of Table 2 of Schedule I, except asphalt	Leachates do not exceed the maximum concentration of Table 1 of Schedule I, where applicable	Less than or equal to 1% (w/w) and 0.1% (w/w) for light materials

### CATEGORY 4

The residual granular material is reclaimed on the land where the material was excavated and meets the following conditions:

- (1) it has an impurities content less than or equal to 1% (w/w) and 0.1% (w/w) for light materials;
- (2) it has a concentration of contaminants less than or equal to the limit values prescribed in Schedule I to the Land Protection and Rehabilitation Regulation ([chapter Q-2, r. 37](#)) or in Schedule II of that Regulation for land with the following uses:
  - (a) land on which, under a municipal zoning by-law, industrial, commercial or institutional uses are authorized, except
    - i. land where totally or partially residential buildings are built;
    - ii. land where elementary-level or secondary-level educational institutions, childcare centres, day care centres, hospital centres, residential and long-term care centres, rehabilitation centres, child and youth protection centres, or correctional facilities are built;
  - (b) land constituting, or intended to constitute, the site of a roadway within the meaning of the Highway Safety Code ([chapter C-24.2](#)) or a sidewalk bordering a roadway, a bicycle path or a municipal park, except play areas for which the limit values provided for in Schedule I to the Land Protection and Rehabilitation Regulation remain applicable for a depth of at least 1 m.

According to Section 18, the residual materials must be conditioned to a maximum particle size of 300 mm in the case of roadway backfilling, except for work undertaken to stabilize a slope in a structure or build a noise-abatement wall, in which case the maximum particle size is determined in the plans and specifications signed and sealed by an engineer, and 112 mm in other cases.



Article 27 includes a table that summarises permitted uses for recycled aggregates (refer to Table 3 below). (Government of Quebec, 2024)

**Table 3 Permitted use of Categories 1-4 residual granular materials in Québec, according to the Regulation respecting the reclamation of residual materials, Section 27.**

Type of use	Categories			
	1	2	3	4
<b>Miscellaneous Activities</b>				
Grading down or raising up of ground level using crushed stone	X			X
Road abrasives – crushed stone and cuttings and tailings from the dimension stone sector only	X			
Construction on residential or agricultural land, an elementary-level or secondary-level educational institution, a childcare centre or a day care centre	X			X
Parking area – asphalt or non-asphalt – on residential land	X			X
Mulching, rockfill, landscaping – crushed stone, brick and cuttings and tailings from the dimension stone sector only	X			
Backfilling areas excavated during a demolition	X			X
Construction on institutional, commercial or industrial land, including municipal land	X	X		X
Recreation and tourism facilities (bicycle path, park, etc.)	X	X		X
Access road, farm road	X	X		X
Noise-abatement embankment and visual screen	X	X		X
Construction and rehabilitation of a snow disposal site	X	X		X
Concrete manufacturing	X	X		
Hot-mix or cold-mix asphalt	X	X	X	X
Storage area on industrial land	X	X	X	X
Parking area and traffic lanes of industrial or commercial establishments	X	X	X	X
Bedding, surrounding soil and backfilling for pipes on residential land	X			



Type of use	Categories			
	1	2	3	4
Bedding, surrounding soil and backfilling for pipes (other than waterworks and sewers)	X	X	X	X
Bedding and surrounding soil for pipes (waterworks and sewers) – crushed stone or cuttings and tailings from the dimension stone sector only	X			
Backfilling for pipes (waterworks and sewers) less than 1 m from the pipes – crushed stone or cuttings and tailings from the dimension stone sector only	X			
Backfilling for pipes 1 m or more from the pipes (waterworks and sewers)	X	X	X	
<i>Construction or repair of highways and streets, including those in residential, municipal and agricultural sectors</i>				
Filtering layer – crushed stone or cuttings and tailings from the dimension stone sector only	X	X		
Mineral filler	X	X		
Roadbed – asphalt or non-asphalt	X	X	X	X
Road shoulder – asphalt or non-asphalt	X	X	X	X
Cushion	X	X	X	X
Anti-contaminant layer	X	X	X	X
Screenings	X	X	X	X
Surface treatment	X	X	X	X
Granulates for sealing grout	X	X	X	X
Encasing for culverts	X	X	X	X
Roadway backfilling	X	X	X	X
Road underbed	X	X	X	X

Recycled aggregates must respect regulatory requirements regarding size, environmental and geotechnical aspects, as well as restrictions relating to proximity to waterbodies. They may not be used in wetlands, nor within 10 m of a lakeshore or 15 m of a riverbank, as measured from a waterbody's edge,





the top of a continuous slope greater than 30% leading to the waterbody, or from the top of a bank higher than 5 m, whichever is greatest (Gouvernement of Québec, 2020). This can be done with no request of authorization. If the works are located in a riverbank, a request for authorization is required.

In addition, the transformation and storage of recycled aggregates (not their usage) must be done outside a buffer zone (60 m or more from a watercourse or lake and 30 m or more from a wetland).

### **Gilmour Vert, City of Lévis**

The City of Lévis has changed their requirements in 2017 (Service du génie, Ville de Lévis, 2017), to promote the use of recycled materials in the following applications:

- Granular surface layer (for unpaved roads)
- Sub-base and transition layers
- Anti-contaminant layer
- Backfill

The materials used must have a conformity certificate according to the BNQ 2560-114 standard for the intended use. Additionally, the material must meet the requirements of the BNQ 2560-600 standard.

The City of Lévis does not allow the use of recycled granular materials in concrete and asphalt mixtures.

As an example, in 2019, the City of Lévis initiated the demolition of the Gilmour water treatment plant, an inactive facility built in 1920. (Ville de Lévis, 2019) In alignment with its Waste Management Plan, the City chose a sustainable approach by implementing a green construction site for this project. This strategy involved on-site decontamination of the demolition materials, with a focus on minimizing environmental impact. The primary material, concrete, was decontaminated and reused directly on site as backfill, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, transportation costs, and landfill waste.

Overall, 98.8% of the demolition materials were recovered, including 2,881 tonnes of clean, decontaminated concrete that were reused on-site as backfill.

Had the site followed conventional demolition practices, all lead-contaminated concrete would have been disposed of, lowering the waste recovery rate to 86.6% instead of the achieved 98.8%. This green approach allowed the diversion of an additional 428 tonnes of materials from landfills: only 36 tonnes went to landfills instead of 464 tonnes if it was managed with conventional demolition practices.

### **Highway 20, South of Montreal**

The Ministère des Transports et de la Mobilité durable (MTMD) is currently leading a construction project to widen Highway 20 from Sainte-Julie to Beloeil over a stretch of approximately 13 km within the existing right-of-way (MTMD, 2025). The construction contract includes a clause requiring the contractor to crush the removed concrete slabs on site, in order to reuse around 12,000 tonnes of concrete as granular materials in the sub-base of the new construction.



MTMD is expecting the use of recycled aggregates for sub-base will generate cost savings, eliminating the need to transport and dispose of the demolished concrete as well as the need to purchase virgin materials.

### **Chemin du Lac Bleu, Municipality of Saint-Hippolyte**

This project (Lachance-Tremblay, Ramirez Cardona, Bérubé, & Lamothe , 2023) is aligned with the mission of the Laboratory for Pavements and Bituminous Materials (LCMB) at the École de Technologie Supérieure (ÉTS), which focuses on developing and promoting sustainable and innovative technological solutions for road construction and maintenance in Canada. The project was carried out and funded through the Construction Lab of the Centre for Intersectoral Studies and Research in Circular Economy (CERIEC) at ÉTS, which aims to accelerate the transition to a circular economy in the construction sector, in collaboration with the Municipality of Saint-Hippolyte.

For this project, the targeted case study was a section of Chemin du Lac Bleu in Saint-Hippolyte. The section under study is 400 meters long and had numerous surface defects such as longitudinal cracking, alligator cracking, and raveling. The selected rehabilitation technique was pulverization also known as full-depth reclamation. The new pavement obtained following the pulverization process consisted of the following layers:

- Surface Layer: Composed of a high-content RAP (Recycled Aggregates Pavement – 30% by mass) asphalt mix.
- Base Layer: Made of GB-20 type asphalt (RAP 20% by mass).
- New Pulverized Foundation: Created from the pulverized existing materials.
- Existing Foundation.

The observations and lessons learned from the project indicate that the following aspects need to be addressed to successfully generalize the use of RAP in municipal roadworks in Quebec:

- Continue Research: Investigate the impact of RAP on properties related to stripping resistance, water resistance, and freeze-thaw resistance.
- Develop Training Strategies by enhancing industry skills in:
  - Preparing tender documents that include performance tests.
  - Available performance tests for RAP asphalt mixes.
  - Formulating high-content RAP asphalt mixes.
- Standardize RAP Incorporation Rates: Propose standard incorporation rates for pavement materials to be used in municipal road projects in Quebec to avoid confusion among different project owners.
- Establish Standard Procedures: Create a standard procedure for technical verification and validation of materials and their implementation in a pilot road project including at least 30% RAP. This procedure should include not only the verification of asphalt performance but also the verification of the actual RAP dosage.



This study also mentioned that the neighboring U.S. states of Vermont and Maine allow RAP incorporation rates of 50% and 30%, respectively, across their territories and outlined that New York City requires a minimum of 30% RAP in asphalt mixes for its municipal projects.

#### **4.1.6 International**

Europe is well advanced in the reuse of recycled aggregates in building and road constructions. Several countries, including Germany, the Netherlands, Denmark, Norway, and Switzerland, have already implemented the use of recycled aggregates in structural concrete. The primary drivers for Europe in the reuse of recycled aggregates in building and road constructions are:

- The cost of materials is one of the main expenses for construction because of the lack of raw materials,
- Incentives to GHG savings or restrictions to GHG emissions;
- Landfilling costs are much higher because of the lack of space for the development of additional landfill capacity;
- The European regulations (“directives”) are applicable to all European countries.

In France, the RECYBETON project (RECYBETON, 2019) has contributed to advancing research on the incorporation of recycled aggregates and sands in concrete. The findings of the program suggest new incorporation rates ranging from 5% to 60% by mass for recycled aggregates, but mainly between 20% and 40%. For recycled sand, the incorporation rates vary between 5% and 30%. These variations are determined by the exposure class of the concrete.

### **4.2 Summary of Experiences within Stantec**

Our team engaged with colleagues across North America resulting in 9 interviews. All but one of the interviewees were based in Canada and a majority were working in the transportation sector, and the others work in the energy, mining, buildings or environmental services sectors.

Many of the challenges discussed in sections 2 and 3 were raised, as well as the seasonal nature of construction which impacts recycled material flows, seasonal variations that impact temperature and moisture sensitive recycling processes, and the often-lengthy regulatory approval processes faced by new recycling methods.

The interviewees also provided insights into potential opportunities to increase the use of recycled concrete and asphalt. They included the importance of establishing and implementing quality control standards, the environmental benefits (including reducing greenhouse gas emissions, conserving resources, and lowering demand for virgin materials), and the potential cost savings due to reduced trucking and landfilling. Additional potential opportunities that were mentioned included:

- Quality, Operation and Regulations:
  - Encouraging the re-use of recycled aggregates at their source / site of production.



- Focusing on increased use in lower traffic loading, non-structural, and/or low-strength contexts.
- Supporting additional research and development that encourages collaborations, develops case studies, initiates pilot projects, and improves the quality of the final products in different contexts and environments.
- Support collecting and evaluating data, such as the performance of RAP, structural performance metrics, durability, environmental impact, particle shape and stability, case study results, and cost-benefit analyses.
- Pre-qualifying contractors to ensure they have sufficient experience with recycled aggregates.
- Environmental:
  - Establishing protocols to screen for contaminated aggregates.
- Change management:
  - Supporting training and investments in recycling methods and equipment.
  - Increasing regulatory support to help new recycling methods comply with standards.
  - Offer financial subsidies or incentives to help recycled aggregates be more competitive.
  - Learn from the experience of other jurisdictions

### **4.3 Assessment of Current Practices in Metro Vancouver**

Current concrete and asphalt recycling practices in Metro Vancouver were explored in sections 2 and 3 through a review of local best practices, guidelines, standards and regulations, and twenty interviews of local stakeholders.

The key findings can be summarized as follows:

- Overview
  - Recycling asphalt aggregates into new asphalt is generally accepted, although with varying standards.
  - Recycling concrete aggregates into new concrete is generally not practiced, although technically possible for some contexts.
  - Using a mix of concrete and asphalt aggregates to supplement or replace virgin aggregates as a base or fill varies significantly between jurisdictions in both its regulation and the application of the regulation.
- Strengths
  - Numerous pilot projects in the Metro Vancouver region have used recycled aggregates.
  - Numerous jurisdictions used recycled concrete and asphalt aggregates produced by their own projects and have in-house experience that could be studied and shared.



- Weaknesses
  - Varying regulations and best practices between jurisdictions are challenging and time consuming for contractors to manage, reducing the likelihood that recycled aggregates get used.
  - Requiring the contract administrator to approve the use of recycled aggregates, as required by MMCD specifications, is proving to be a disincentive for both the contract administrator and contractors. The former does not want to assume a real or perceived additional liability, and the latter is reluctant to invest time and energy into producing quality products that contain recycled aggregates that are perceived to be frequently disregarded or dismissed.
  - Data from pilot projects is underutilised and insufficiently shared.
  - The results of recent studies regarding leachate pH from recycled concrete aggregates should be reviewed to be better understood by stakeholders. In some cases, additional research or analysis may be required for new or untested recycled concrete aggregate applications.
  - There is a reluctance in most jurisdictions to allow the use of third-party recycled aggregates, even though some jurisdictions do use those produced from their own projects.
  - Best practices and standards should be updated more regularly to reflect and keep pace with improving technologies and recycling methods.
  - Recyclers struggle to provide competitive pricing relative to virgin materials due to restrictive zoning bylaws that keep their processing and storage facilities relatively far from urban areas and due to the cost of land.
  - There doesn't seem to be strategies, actions, or targets on increasing the use of recycled aggregates in municipal or regional plans (such as those that address climate change and/or circular economy).

## **4.4 Opportunities Identified for the Metro Vancouver Region**

Based on the findings in sections 2 to 4, a list was developed to increase concrete and asphalt recycling. It identifies opportunities within the region of Metro Vancouver for the public and/or private sectors. This list is not exhaustive.

The opportunities for the Metro Vancouver region include:

- Permit the use of recycled concrete aggregates in new concrete for minor works and low risk applications.
- Look into increasing the number of contexts in which the use of recycled aggregates is permitted.
- Streamline the process of approving the use of recycled aggregates.



- Establish clearer and context specific quality requirements.
- Clarify environmental regulations with respect to using recycled aggregates.
- Standardize and regularly update regulations on recycled aggregate use across member jurisdictions.
- Reduce the reliance on contract administrator approval.
- Set municipal and/or regional aggregate recycling targets.



## 5 Webinar Summary

Metro Vancouver hosted a webinar on January 22, 2025, titled “Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Options Webinar”, attended by a total of 107 unique viewers. The event was open to the public but oriented to public and private sector recycled aggregate stakeholders. Invitations were sent to government staff in the environmental, transportation, street design and public works sectors, professionals in the construction and civil engineering industries, including contractors, suppliers, construction materials testing labs and consultants and industry associations and stakeholders involved in road construction and transportation infrastructure. The event’s pre-webinar summary is provided in Appendix C. The objectives of the event were:

1. **Share** findings related to barriers, opportunities, and actions to support the use of concrete and asphalt recycling applications that increase benefit, and to share knowledge on proven and future applications for recycling concrete and asphalt aggregates.
2. **Receive feedback** from participants on potential opportunities to recycled concrete and asphalt aggregates, and on potential actions that could be undertaken by Metro Vancouver and interested parties to recycle concrete and asphalt.

The webinar presenters and their topics included:

- **Metro Vancouver:** an introduction, a review of potential actions to support the use of concrete and asphalt recycling applications that maximize its benefits, and a review of next steps for sharing insights learned from this review and the webinar.
- **Stantec:** a summary of the review and findings presented in this report.
- **BA Blacktop:** a presentation on current and future opportunities for recycled asphalt.
- **Lafarge:** a presentation on current and future opportunities for concrete and asphalt recycling.
- **MMCD:** a presentation on the roadblocks to the use of recycled concrete and asphalt.
- **District of North Vancouver (DNV):** a presentation on the DNV’s use of recycled aggregates.
- **Ontario Good Roads:** a presentation on Ontario’s experience with recycling concrete and asphalt.

The webinar also included an online poll during a break mid-event, a question & answer panel session with the presenters, and a post-webinar survey.



## 6 Strategic Opportunities

There are significant opportunities to use recycled concrete and asphalt aggregates in technical applications, as identified in previous sections. The following section summarizes the potential environmental and financial benefits, and the applications and contexts that are particularly well suited for these materials.

This section is meant to support decision-makers in their assessment of these aggregates. It is anticipated that regulatory uncertainty faced by some decision-makers regarding these materials, their applications, and testing requirements will be predominantly addressed by the MMCD specifications update planned for this year (2025) and the anticipated adoption and alignment by Metro Vancouver and member jurisdictions. It is also assumed that the replacement rates of products that contain recycled aggregates are equivalent to those that do not.

### 6.1 Environmental

This sub-section highlights potential environmental benefits and risks of using recycled aggregates, with a focus on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and recycled aggregate leachate. Additional potential environmental benefits from reduced mining of virgin aggregates, reduced trucking, and reduced waste are also important, but for the most part less readily quantifiable and were not included in this study.

#### 6.1.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Reduced GHG emissions for recycled aggregates results from generally shorter transportation distances. The aggregates are for the most part generated in urban areas and processed onsite or in nearby plants. Virgin aggregates sources tend to be located increasingly further away from their markets as nearby sources are depleted and closed.

Studies regarding the GHG emissions related to recycled aggregates include the following:

- The Ontario Chamber of Commerce estimated that far-from-market aggregate sources located about 75kms further away than close-to-market sources (located about 35 kms from markets) would result in emitting an additional 130,000 metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions annually in Ontario, more than triple the current amount (the close-to-market scenario).
- A study showed that VINCI Construction's standard hot-mix asphalt mix used across the Americas, which contains 15% RAP, produces about 53.9 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq. per ton produced. When compared to this standard mix, the estimated GHG emissions varied as follows for other mixes: (BA Blacktop, 2025)
  - 11% increase when using no RAP.
  - 11% decrease when using 30% RAP.
  - 24% decrease when using 50% RAP.





- 24.5% decrease when using a cold asphalt mix.
- Based on 2017 data from LaFarge, for every 10 tonnes of RAP used on a project, 1 tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> eq. emissions were avoided.
- A study published by the Canadian Technical Asphalt Association found a reduction of approximately 7% in carbon emissions for every 10% increase in RAP content. (Ghahremani, Mah, Juhasz, & Croteau, 2023).

As an example, the MOTT 2024-2025 Preservation Program Projects issued January 19, 2024, (Ministry of Transportation and Transit, 2024) lists anticipated road resurfacing projects for the 2024-2025 construction season. The anticipated use of asphalt is listed for each project and totals to 2,500,000 tonnes in BC. Assuming the maximum allowed use of RAP is used for the anticipated projects<sup>1</sup>, over 400,000 tonnes of RAP will be used. A small increase of 2% of RAP in the design mix would increase the virgin material offset by approximately 50,000 tonnes.

Based on the GHG emission offset information listed above, an overall 2% increase of RAP content in the BC MOTT 2024-2025 preservation projects, assuming maximum allowed is applied, would have the potential to contribute to 5,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> eq. emissions avoided. If instead 30% RAP was used in all 2024-2025 resurfacing projects, the potential for GHG would increase to approximately 15,000 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub> eq.

### **6.1.2 Recycled Aggregate Leachate**

The risks associated with leachate generation from the use of recycled aggregates in road base and other non-bound applications, was brought up during stakeholder interviews (c.f. section 3.2). Background information on the leaching process and the challenges it can pose are summarized as follows, as described by a literature review on recycled concrete aggregate leachate conducted by the Department of Ecology of the State of Washington: (Eric, 2022)

- “Leachate is a solution obtained by exposing a solid to a liquid, resulting in the solid-liquid partitioning between the solid material and the aqueous phase.”
- The process of carbonation on RCA results in “the release of calcium hydroxide and its transformation to calcium carbonate, which consequently reduces the leachate pH.”
- “The degree of carbonation the concrete material has experienced is critical to determining the leachate pH, as a highly carbonated concrete produces a near-neutral leachate pH.”
- “The release of chemical, inorganic, and organic pollutants of concern from the exposed material surface depends heavily on the leachate pH, electrical conductivity, and concentration gradients between the solid and liquid phases. In addition, the partitioning into the leachate from the material depends on the physical and chemical properties of the material being leached.”

---

<sup>1</sup> 15% Category A roads (Numbered highways), 30% Category B roads (Other roads)



- “Chemical, inorganic, and organic constituents in RCA leachate reported in the reviewed literature were compared to the Washington State water quality criteria.” It was found that “RCA regularly releases antimony, arsenic, chromium (VI), copper, nickel, and selenium in excess” of the criteria.
- “pH-impacted water has been shown to discharge from RCA for at least the first-year in field scenarios.”

It was concluded in the report that an investigation of region-specific RCA leachate was needed, with a focus on its composition and its acute and chronic effluent toxicity on region-specific target organisms. (Eric, 2022)

Authors of another study indicated a similar timeline: “Long-term highway field studies of RCA leachate illustrate that an initially high leachate pH approaches neutral within approximately one to two years of construction” (Sanger, Madras Natarajan, Wang, Edil, & Ginder-Vogel, 2020)

Measures shown to decrease the impact of leachate from unbound RCA and RAP include minimizing water percolation and groundwater seepage through these materials through compaction (Quoc Hung, et al., 2024), placing unbound recycled aggregates above the maximum elevation of the water table, and placing them under an impermeable layer.

To protect the environment, testing of unbound recycled aggregates prior to its use is recommended. A review on leaching tests of recycled concrete aggregates concluded that: (Margarida Braga Maia, de Brito, Martins, & Dinis Silvestre, 2018)

- “Considering RCA’s heterogeneity, it is important to analyse their eluates and check whether they are appropriate for the intended use and are in conformity with existing legislation.”
- “Leaching test results depend on the recycled concrete aggregates’ source, size, and previous exposure, and from the liquid-to-solid ratio test used.”
- “The pH value of the leachant affects directly anion and cation releases behaviour.”
- “Some common critical compounds of recycled concrete aggregates are sulphate, chromium, antimony and selenium.”
- “Taking into account leaching tests currently used in RCA, it is important to define the purpose of the intended study prior to the definition of the test to be applied.”

## **6.2 Circular Economy**

Recycling of asphalt and concrete should not only focus on keeping the materials out of landfills but also avoid down-cycling.

At Vancouver Landfill, recycled concrete and asphalt are used as construction materials for temporary access roads on the active areas of the landfill. In 2023, approximately 56,600 tonnes of clean concrete and asphalt crushed on-site, and 33,680 tonnes of purchased aggregate (concrete & rock) were used for this purpose. (City of Vancouver, 2024) Although used for the landfill’s operations and in replacement of virgin materials, these materials are ultimately landfilled.



Currently, down-cycling of recycled aggregates is common practice. A study on construction waste in Canada using LEED™ certified project data found that while the studied projects achieved an 88% diversion rate, the excess materials were for the most part down-cycled, such as turning structural concrete into aggregates for roadwork and fill. (Martens, 2021)

Downcycling is in part due to the lack of end markets for the materials. For example, LaFarge Canada sells both virgin and recycled aggregates in BC. In 2024, recycled concrete and asphalt aggregates accounted for only 3% by volume of total aggregate volume sales in BC, even though the supply exists to the point that their facility is running out of space to store recycled aggregates. This issue is not specific to BC. Ontario Good Roads estimates that in 2023 approximately 3,274,166 tonnes of RAP were available, of which only about 163,360 tonnes were used by Ontario municipalities in their projects. (Mneina, Smith, & Ambaiowei, 2024)

## **6.3 Financial**

The following section focuses on potential cost savings, including those resulting from a change in material (virgin to recycled aggregates), reduced transportation, and avoided tipping/disposal fees. It is assumed here that any avoided processing costs associated with mining and processing virgin aggregates are accounted for in the cost of recycled aggregates.

### **6.3.1 Material & Transportation Costs**

Potential financial opportunities in the Lower Mainland, particularly those furthest away from existing sources of virgin aggregates, are as follows:

- According to LaFarge, cost savings can be as high as 30% per tonne of recycled concrete aggregates compared to virgin aggregates in the Metro Vancouver region. (LaFarge Canada, 2025)
- According to Glen Barker, cost savings range between \$3 – 5\$ per tonne, if not higher, against current virgin material cost in the Metro Vancouver region. (MMCD presentation, Glen Barker)
- A study of four projects located in the greater Toronto and Hamilton area found that the average savings from using RCA as opposed to virgin aggregate varied between \$7 to \$9 per tonne. (Assadi, 2022)
- A study published by the Canadian Technical Asphalt Association found that, based on general conditions for highway projects in Alberta, “for every 10% increase in RAP content, cost savings of approximately 7 percent can be achieved”, in large part due to the reduction in binder usage. (Ghahremani, Mah, Juhasz, & Croteau, 2023)

The District of North Vancouver (DNV) has been recycling their own RCA for over 20 years, a practice that has been historically driven by cost savings. It has been mainly used by the DNV’s utilities department as cost-effective trench backfill. The DNV has found that the economic incentives are lower on other types of projects, although they do on occasion use good quality RCA sourced from local third-party recyclers for a good price. (Sanford, 2025)



There are also foreseeable increases in the cost of virgin aggregates. The Ontario Chamber of Commerce studied the impact of distance between the source of virgin aggregates and its markets in Ontario. They estimated that for close-to-market areas (about 35 kms from markets), the average transportation cost represented 46% of total costs per tonne of sand and gravel. In the far-from-market scenario (an addition 75 kms away from markets), transportation is anticipated to represent 65% of the total cost per tonne of sand and gravel, due to a more than doubling of transportation costs. (Ontario Chamber of Commerce, 2022) As virgin aggregate come from increasingly further away, as is the case in BC, the transportation cost will also increase. This cost could be further increased by the price on carbon for fuel.

### **6.3.2 Tipping & Disposal Fees**

Per the City of Vancouver's bylaw no. 8417 (City of Vancouver, 2025), the following requirements for acceptance of concrete and asphalt aggregates and applicable tipping fees at the Vancouver Landfill are:

- \$185 per tonne for concrete and asphalt aggregate accepted as construction and demolition waste and for loads over 50 m<sup>3</sup> using a tandem (two axle) or tridem (three axle) trailer.
- Application can be made for loads of clean waste concrete and asphalt for road upgrades at the Vancouver Landfill and if accepted there is no charge for asphalt and concrete meeting the City Engineer's specifications.

Tipping fees at Eco-Agg Concrete Recycling's Langley location are shown in Figure 3 as an example of fees charged by recycling facilities. Rates are up to 14% lower at Eco-Agg's Abbotsford location. Non-clean and oversize loads incur surcharges, such as a \$120 surcharge for a mix load of concrete and asphalt. (Eco-Agg Concrete Recycling, 2025)

Assuming that a yard of concrete waste weighs about 1.75 tonnes per cubic yard, the disposal fee at Eco-Agg in a 20 yard container at \$250 is equivalent to \$7 per tonne for clean concrete, which maybe accepted for free at the Vancouver Landfill if it meets the City Engineer's specifications.



**Figure 3      Eco-Agg Concrete Recycling's Disposal Fees at its Langley Location (Eco-Agg Concrete Recycling, 2025)**

**Clean Bin Dump 3ft Minus**

*CLEAN; no plastic, wood, garbage or topsoil. We accept asphalt.*

MATERIAL	6 YARD	8 YARD	10 YARD	12 YARD	15 YARD	20 YARD
Clean Concrete	\$80.00	\$110.00	\$135.00	\$160.00	\$200.00	\$250.00
Concrete with Rebar / Wiremesh	\$160.00	\$220.00	\$270.00	\$320.00	\$400.00	\$500.00
Asphalt	\$110.00	\$145.00	\$180.00	\$215.00	\$270.00	\$285.00

**Non-Clean and Oversize Surcharges**

Garbage in Load	+\$285.00
Wood in Load	+\$235.00
Top Soil / Organics in Load	+\$235.00
Oversized Concrete Larger than 3ft	+\$80.00
Plastic lined or tote bags	+\$150.00
Mix Loads Concrete / Asphalt	+\$120.00

LaFarge has indicated that due to the low sale volumes of recycled aggregates and limited capacity, its depot in Coquitlam has had to increase their recycled concrete and asphalt disposal fees. This has resulted in materials being driven further away for disposal to sites with remaining capacity and driving up costs for projects. (LaFarge Canada, 2025)

## 7 Conclusion

Recycled concrete and asphalt aggregates have been used in the Metro Vancouver area for over a decade. Currently however, the volumes of recycled aggregates used in the region are marginal compared to virgin aggregates. Given the significant volumes of available recycled aggregates sourced and processed in the region in proximity to urban areas, this material presents numerous opportunities for increased use. Although there are challenges that need to be address, experience within the region and from further away have been largely positive.

The update to the MMCD specifications planned for this year (2025) and anticipated adoption and alignment by Metro Vancouver and member jurisdictions, should address the regulatory uncertainty faced by some decision-makers regarding these materials, their applications and testing requirements.

The use of recycled aggregates has also been shown to have significant environmental and financial benefits. These are likely to increase with time as nearby virgin aggregates become depleted, leading to the use of sources from further away.



## 8 References

2737493 Ontario Limited. (2024, August 28). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)

Active Earth. (2024, August 28). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)

Assadi, A. (2022). *The Benefits of Using Recycled Crushed Aggregates in Infrastructure Projects Study Report*. Brampton: EXP Services Inc.

ASTM International. (2022). *Standard Practice for Reclamation of Recycled Aggregate Base (RAB) Material*. West Conshohocken: ASTM International.

BA Blacktop. (2024, August 26). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)

BA Blacktop. (2025, 1 22). Opportunities Recycled Asphalt Presentation. Burnaby, BC.

BC Earth Exchange. (2024, August 14). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)

BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure. (2024, August 22). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)

British Columbia Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure. (2020). *2020 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction, Volume 1*. Victoria: Construction and Maintenance Branch.

Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. (2019). *Guide for Identifying, Evaluating and Selecting Policies for Influencing Construction, Renovation and Demolition Waste Management*.

City of Burnaby. (2021). *Supplemental Specifications and Detail Drawings*. Burnaby: City of Burnaby, Engineering Department.

City of Burnaby. (2024, August 23). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)

City of Coquitlam. (2022). *Supplementary Specifications Master Municipal Construction Documents*. City of Coquitlam.

City of Delta. (2024, August 19). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)

City of Edmonton. (2023). *Complete Streets Design and Construction Standards, version 05, COE-IM-GUIDE-0011*. Edmonton: City of Edmonton.

City of Edmonton. (2024, November). *Aggregate Recycling: Concrete, Asphalt*. Retrieved from Edmonton: [https://www.edmonton.ca/programs\\_services/garbage\\_waste/rates-fees-concrete-asphalt](https://www.edmonton.ca/programs_services/garbage_waste/rates-fees-concrete-asphalt)



- City of Kelowna. (2024, November). *Accepted products & tipping fees*. Retrieved from City of Kelowna: <https://www.kelowna.ca/city-services/waste/glenmore-landfill/accepted-products-tipping-fees>
- City of Kelowna. (2024, November). *Subdivision, Development & Servicing Bylaw No 7900, Schedule 5 - Supplemental Construction Specifications*. Retrieved from City of Kelowna: <https://www.kelowna.ca/homes-building/development-servicing-engineering/subdivision-development-servicing-bylaw/schedule-5-supplemental-construction-specifications>
- City of Langley. (2022). *Design Criteria Manual*. Langley: City of Langley, Engineering, Parks & Environment Department.
- City of Maple Ridge. (2023). *Design and Construction Documents, Part 1: Design Criteria Manual*. Maple Ridge.
- City of Pitt Meadows. (n.d.). *Subdivision and Development Servicing, Bylaw no. 2589 and amendments thereto*.
- City of Richmond. (2016). *Supplementary Specifications and Detail Drawings*. Richmond: City of Richmond Engineering Department.
- City of Richmond. (2024, August 27). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- City of Richmond. (2025, February 19). Call. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- City of Surrey. (2020). *Supplementary Master Municipal Construction Documents: Supplementary General Conditions*. Surrey: City of Surrey Engineering Department.
- City of Surrey. (2024, August 27). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- City of Surrey. (2025, February 20). Call. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- City of Vancouver. (2019). *Construction Specifications*. Vancouver: City of Vancouver .
- City of Vancouver. (2024). *2023 Annual Report for the Vancouver Landfill*.
- City of Vancouver. (2024, August 23). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- City of Vancouver. (2025). *Solid Waste By-law no. 8417*.
- CSA Group. (2019). *CSA A23.1:19 Concrete materials and methods of concrete construction*. CSA Group.
- Dawson Group. (2024, September 23). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)





- District of North Vancouver. (2024, August 15). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- District of West Vancouver. (2024, August 22). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- Eco-Agg Concrete Recycling. (2024, August 14). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- Eco-Agg Concrete Recycling. (2025, March 24). *Langley Location Fees*. Retrieved from Eco-Agg Concrete Recycling: <https://recycleconcrete.ca/concrete-recycling/langley/>
- Eric, J. D. (2022). *Recycled Concrete Aggregate Leachate: A Literature Review*. Publication 22-03-003. Olympia: Washington State Department of Ecology.
- Ghahremani, A., Mah, W., Juhasz, M., & Croteau, J.-M. (2023). *Development of High RAP Mixture Design in Alberta*. Canadian Technical Asphalt Association.
- Gouvernement de Québec. (2020). *Regulation respecting activities in wetlands, bodies of water and sensitive areas, chapter Q-2, r. 0.1, section 4*. Retrieved from <https://www.legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/en/document/cr/Q-2,%20r.%200.1>
- Government of Quebec. (2024, July 1). Q-2, r. 49 - *Regulation respecting the reclamation of residual materials*. Retrieved from Publications Quebec: <https://www.legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/en/document/cr/Q-2,%20r.%2049%20>
- Halifax Regional Municipality. (2024, 11 29). *Pavement Maintenance & Rehabilitation Strategies*. Retrieved from Halifax: <https://www.halifax.ca/transportation/streets-sidewalks/paving-repair/pavement-maintenance-rehabilitation-strategies>
- Halifax Regional Municipality. (2024). *Specification for Hot Mix Asphalt Concrete, Section S-1*. Halifax Regional Municipality.
- Jackson, C. (2022). *Report to Council: Climate and Biodiversity Initiatives Update*. District of North Vancouver.
- Lachance-Tremblay, É., Ramirez Cardona, D., Bérubé, M.-A., & Lamothe, S. (2023, décembre). *Vers la généralisation de l'incorporation de granulats bitumineux récupérés (GBR) dans les travaux routiers municipaux au Québec*. Retrieved from [https://constructioncirculaire.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/2023-12-20\\_RapportLabCo\\_Livvable\\_Equipe3.2\\_Final.pdf](https://constructioncirculaire.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/2023-12-20_RapportLabCo_Livvable_Equipe3.2_Final.pdf)
- LaFarge Canada. (2025, 1 22). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Presentation. Burnaby, BC.
- Lafarge Canada Inc. (2024, August 13). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (E. Robertsson, & K. Nangle, Interviewers)



- Lock-Block Ltd. (2024, August 19). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- Margarida Braga Maia, A., de Brito, J., Martins, I. M., & Dinis Silvestre, J. (2018). Toxicity of Recycled Concrete Aggregates: Review on Leaching Tests. *The Open Construction and Building Technology Journal*, 187-196.
- Martens, B. (2021). *Watching our Waste: A National Construction Waste Analysis in Canada Using LEED Certified Project Data*. Light House Sustainability Society.
- Master Municipal Construction Document Association. (2024, August 14). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- Master Municipal Construction Documents Association. (2019). *MMCD 2019 Edition*.
- Metro Testing. (2024, August 20). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- Metro Vancouver. (2024). *Metro Vancouver Recycling and Solid Waste Management 2021 Report*. Metro Vancouver.
- Metro Vancouver. (2024, 11 01). *Reports and Resources*. Retrieved from Metro Vancouver Solid Waste Services: <https://metrovancover.org/services/solid-waste/reports-resources>
- Metro Vancouver. (n.d.). *Metro Vancouver Recycling and Solid Waste Management 2016 Report*. Metro Vancouver.
- Metro Vancouver. (n.d.). *Metro Vancouver Recycling and Solid Waste Management 2017 Report*. Metro Vancouver.
- Metro Vancouver. (n.d.). *Metro Vancouver Recycling and Solid Waste Management 2018 Report*. Metro Vancouver.
- Metro Vancouver. (n.d.). *Metro Vancouver Recycling and Solid Waste Management 2019 Report*. Metro Vancouver.
- Metro Vancouver. (n.d.). *Metro Vancouver Recycling and Solid Waste Management 2020 Report*. Metro Vancouver.
- Ministry of Transportation and Transit. (2024). *2024-25 Preservation Program Projects*. Ministry of Transportation and Transit.
- Mneina, A., Smith, J., & Ambaiwei, D. (2024). *2024 Ontario Paving Report*. Good Roads.
- MTMD. (2025). *Autoroute 20 (Jean-Lesage), direction est, entre Beloeil et Sainte-Julie, voie réservée – Aménagement*. Retrieved from <https://www.tresor.gouv.qc.ca/infrastructures-publiques/tableau-de-bord/358-p1pa>



- Nyland, D. (2017). *Technical Circular T-05/17: Use of Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement in Construction and Paving Projects*. BC Ministry of Transportation.
- Ontario Chamber of Commerce. (2022). *The Long Haul: Examining the Implications of Far-From-Market Aggregates*.
- Ontario Good Roads Association. (2024, August 20). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- Quoc Hung, V., Jayarathne, A., Gallage, C., Dawes, L., Egodawatta, P., & Jayakody, S. (2024). Leaching characteristics of metals from recycled concrete aggregates (RCA) and reclaimed asphalt pavements (RAP). *Heliyon*, Volume 10, Issue 9.
- RECYBETON. (2019, mars). *Le recyclage complet du béton*. Retrieved from [https://www.pnrecybeton.fr/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/RECYBETON\\_Recommandations\\_2019-03.pdf](https://www.pnrecybeton.fr/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/RECYBETON_Recommandations_2019-03.pdf)
- Richvan Holdings. (2024, August 14). Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities. (K. Nangle, Interviewer)
- Sanford, S. (2025, 01 22). DNV Use of Recycled Aggregates Presentation. District of North Vancouver.
- Sanger, M., Madras Natarajan, B., Wang, B., Edil, T., & Ginder-Vogel, M. (2020). Recycled concrete aggregate in base course applications: Review of field and laboratory investigations of leachate pH. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, Volume 385.
- Service du génie, Ville de Lévis. (2017). *Normes et procédures - Travaux de construction, réfection et prolongement de réseau de conduite d'eau potable, d'égouts, de voirie, d'éclairage public et d'utilités publiques*. Retrieved from <https://www.ville.levis.qc.ca/fileadmin/documents/developpement/normes-et-procedures-2017.pdf>
- Standard BNQ 2560-600. (2024). *Recycled Materials Produced from Concrete, Hot Mix Asphalt and Brick — Classification and Characteristics*. Retrieved from <https://bnq.qc.ca/en/standardization/civil-engineering-and-urban-infrastructure/aggregates-recycled-materials-produced-concrete-residues.html>
- Transportation Association of Canada. (2013). *Best Practices Guide for the Use of Recycled Materials in Transportation Infrastructure*. Ottawa: Transportation Association of Canada.
- Ville de Lévis. (2019). *Chantier Vert Gilmour - Projet de démolition responsable de l'usine d'eau potable Gilmour*. Retrieved from [https://www.ville.levis.qc.ca/fileadmin/documents/environnement-collecte/VdeL\\_chantier\\_vert\\_VF\\_web.pdf](https://www.ville.levis.qc.ca/fileadmin/documents/environnement-collecte/VdeL_chantier_vert_VF_web.pdf)
- Washington State Department of Transportation. (2018). *Recycled Concrete Usage in Aggregate Materials, 2018 Annual Report*. WSDOT.



Washington State Department of Transportation. (2021). *Recycled Concrete Usage in Aggregate Materials, 2020 Annual Report*. WSDOT.

Washington State Department of Transportation. (2024). *Construction Manual M41-01.44*. State Construction Office.

Washington State Department of Transportation. (2024). *Materials Manual M 46-01.45*. WSDOT.

Washington State Department of Transportation. (2025). *Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge, and Municipal Construction M41-10*. WSDOT.



## **Appendices**



## **Appendix A      Scope of Work**



December 23, 2023

Allen Jensen, P.Eng., ASiT.  
[Allen.jensen@metrovancover.org](mailto:Allen.jensen@metrovancover.org)  
Senior Project Engineer, Solid Waste Planning  
Solid Waste Services, Metro Vancouver

Dear Allen:

**Re: Metro Vancouver Solid Waste Management Plan – Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Opportunities Review**

Morrison Hershfield (MH) submitted a Proposal on February 16, 2023, in response to REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP) No. 23-013 Consulting Services for Solid Waste Management Plan Update. The contract between MH and Metro Vancouver was finalized on April 24, 2023, and includes a revised project budget dated March 16, 2023.

It is understood that the scope of the technical work is evolving to meet Metro Vancouver's needs and to address considerations emerging from the engagement work. MH and Metro Vancouver have agreed that MH will develop proposals for distinct pieces of work for Metro Vancouver's consideration / approval.

This proposal is developed based on a request from Metro Vancouver for a review of concrete and asphalt recycling opportunities. The request was formalized in an email on November 15, 2023.

## **Background**

As part of updating Metro Vancouver's solid waste management plan, Metro Vancouver has identified the need to review opportunities and challenges for concrete and asphalt recycling.

The 2011 Integrated Solid Waste and Resource Management Plan, strategy 2.4 states that "the DLC sector has very high recycling rates due to high levels of concrete and asphalt recycling." Metro Vancouver estimates that 1.16 million tonnes of asphalt and concrete were recycled in the region in 2021. The recycled concrete and asphalt made up almost 50% of all recycled material in 2021 which highlights an opportunity for innovative solutions for recycling of a material stream that is in steady supply.

Production of concrete and asphalt is an energy-intensive process. Hence, recycling the materials can result in significant financial and environmental benefits through avoided greenhouse gas emissions, minimized landfill consumption, and offsetting the need for use of virgin materials.

Metro Vancouver is looking to identify and assess potential new opportunities for concrete and asphalt recycling in support of efforts to maximize waste diversion and development of the new solid waste management plan. In evaluating new opportunities for recycled aggregate concrete (RAC) and recycled asphalt pavement (RAP), Metro Vancouver has noted the importance of identifying current best practices and leading-edge examples, the financial and environmental

business case for each opportunity, and related risks. Metro Vancouver has also noted the importance of identifying opportunities and options to reduce barriers for increased diversion and recycling of concrete and asphalt in the region.

## **Scope of Work**

The following outline describes Tasks 1-8 requested by Metro Vancouver relating to concrete and asphalt recycling opportunities. The tasks include:

- Task 1: Scope Development
- Task 2: Literature Review: Local Regulatory & Contractual Framework
- Task 3: Review Current Status of Concrete and Asphalt Recycling in Metro Vancouver
- Task 4: Identify Best Practices & Experience in Other Jurisdictions
- Task 5: Workshop on Opportunities Identified
- Task 6: Develop a Business Case for Identified Opportunities
- Task 7: Communication and Reporting
- Task 8: Project Management

The tasks are outlined in detail below. One of the tasks involves interviews and another involves a workshop. Time has been assumed to develop, coordinate, undertake, transcribe, analyze, and report on these.

A table of contents for the reporting template will be developed at the outset of the work. This will be done in collaboration with Metro Vancouver staff. The findings under each task described below will be added to the reporting template and issued to Metro Vancouver for review for each reporting update. We have assumed that two iterations of each reporting stage will be required.

### **Task 1 – Scope Development**

MH will prepare a short PowerPoint presentation and present the draft scope of work and approach for developing the scope to four stakeholder groups. During these presentations, MH will look for feedback in the following three areas:

1. What's important to your organization when considering new opportunities and current challenges for concrete and asphalt recycling?
2. What data do you have access to that can inform this project?
3. Are there specific applications of concrete and asphalt recycling that we should consider?

#### **Stakeholder Presentations:**

- Regional Engineers Advisory Committee Solid Waste Subcommittee (REAC-SW)
- Solid Waste Management Public / Technical Advisory Committee (PTAC)
- Solid Waste and Recycling Industry Advisory Committee (IAC)
- Regional Engineers Advisory Committee (REAC)

We assume that Metro Vancouver will take formal notes during the committee meetings. MH will take informal meeting notes on stakeholder feedback and these notes will be reviewed to inform the development of the following tasks. MH will also allow stakeholders to provide written feedback on the scope for a duration of five (5) working days after each meeting. Any necessary changes to the scope of work will be made accordingly and the final scope of work will be sent to Metro Vancouver for approval.



## **Task 2 – Literature Review: Local Regulatory & Contractual Framework**

MH will conduct a comprehensive literature review of the local regulatory and contractual frameworks and best practices and experiences in other jurisdictions, with particular focus on those, who track and publish the quantity of recycled material used in road construction or project development. For this, MH will:

1. Review the regulatory and contractual framework of all member municipalities and, for each:
  - Identify gaps in the frameworks such as local bylaws, MMCD design guidelines, design handbooks, member jurisdiction subdivision servicing guidelines, Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Best Practices Guide, and Ministry of Transportation (MOT) Standard Specifications for Highway Construction.
  - Identify opportunities and challenges for expanded and accepted concrete and asphalt recycling within each member municipality.
2. Research and identify best practices globally for concrete and asphalt waste management and recycling to understand opportunities and barriers. To do this, MH will:
  - Conduct a literature review on four to five regional districts that have implemented concrete and asphalt recycling plans incorporating the use of concrete and asphalt in road construction and project development. This will encompass an examination of initiatives both within Canada and internationally.

MH will include the findings in the report template and issue to Metro Vancouver for review and comment.

## **Task 3 - Review Current Status of Concrete and Asphalt Recycling in Metro Vancouver**

MH will analyze the current status of existing management practices for concrete and asphalt recycling within Metro Vancouver to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the existing practices. MH will:

1. Review of reference documents, current policies, and the region's waste quantities to define the current status of concrete and asphalt recycling and to establish a baseline. In this review, MH will identify:
  - Challenges, opportunities, and current gaps.
2. MH will interview up to 20 interested parties. This may include leading experts such as: the BC Roadbuilders and Heavy Construction Association; member jurisdiction staff; processors; equipment suppliers; construction contractors; consultants; and leading jurisdictions. We envision one interview per interested party to complete Task 3. MH will develop a list of contacts for Metro Vancouver's review, comment, and approval prior to starting the interview process. We will arrange and conduct interviews with interested parties.

MH will include the findings in the report template and issue to Metro Vancouver for review and comment.

#### **Task 4 – Identify Best Practices & Experience in Other Jurisdictions**

MH will conduct a comprehensive review of the best practices and experiences in other jurisdictions, with particular focus on those, if any, who track and publish the quantity of recycled material used in road construction or project development. For this, MH will:

1. Assess applicability by looking into benefits, drawbacks, and challenges of identified best practices. To do this,
  - MH will connect with MH internal structural, geotechnical and transportation engineering departments for further insight of the uses in concrete and asphalt recycling.
2. Using information collected in Task 2 and Task 3, assess current practices in Metro Vancouver that were identified in Task 3 to determine ways that they can be improved, if possible. Identify specific ideas that could be implemented in Metro Vancouver.
3. Compile a list of possible opportunities to be considered based on research done in Tasks 2-4 to be presented at the workshop.

MH will include the findings in the report template and issue to Metro Vancouver for review and comment.

#### **Task 5 – Workshop on Opportunities Identified**

MH and Engage Delaney will conduct a workshop to present and review the opportunities, preliminary business case, that have been identified in Tasks 2-4 and discuss how these may be used in the Metro Vancouver region. The purpose of this workshop is to identify which opportunities are feasible, what barriers/challenges are present, and how to overcome those. This may also include new opportunities being identified through discussion. By discussing these opportunities, this workshop will also play a role in helping generate conversation around understanding that recycled concrete and asphalt, in certain applications, is an acceptable material for construction. MH will partner with Engage Delaney to plan and conduct the workshop. For this, MH and Engage Delaney will:

1. Identify and invite, with Metro Vancouver, a list of participants. This will include a maximum of 40-50 representatives from industry, committees, and member municipalities.
2. Develop a 2-page summary document outlining the findings in Tasks 2-4. This will be sent out one week in advance to all participants. The purpose of this document is to ensure all participants enter the workshop with background knowledge and have time to process the information beforehand. This will equip them to better participate in the workshop.
3. Develop a short PowerPoint presentation on the findings in Tasks 2-4. This will be used to open the workshop.
4. Develop a workshop agenda. This will be sent to Metro Vancouver in advance for approval.
5. Facilitate the workshop. This is expected to be 2 hours and we anticipate it will include:
  - A 20-minute presentation.
  - A question period following the presentation.

- A facilitated discussion among participants to work through questions and/or problems together, with the goal of identifying which opportunities are feasible in Metro Vancouver.
6. Compile a final list of opportunities based on data collected in Tasks 2-4 and in the workshop. This may include new opportunities and enhancing current practices.

MH will include the findings in the report template and issue to Metro Vancouver for review and comment.

### **Task 6 – Develop a Business Case for Identified Opportunities**

MH will develop a business case for concrete and asphalt recycling in Metro Vancouver. We anticipate no more than five opportunities will be assessed. To do this, MH will:

1. Assess the financial and environmental impacts of concrete and asphalt recycling for each opportunity identified in the memo from Task 5. This may, for example, include financial benefits of using recycled instead of virgin materials and offsetting greenhouse gas emissions.
2. Assess the risks of concrete and asphalt recycling for each opportunity identified in the memo from Task 5. This will include identifying the level of risk for each opportunity. For high-risk opportunities, potential mitigation strategies will be included.
3. Develop a business case for concrete and asphalt recycling based on the findings from steps 1 and 2 of Task 6 that may include:
  - Identifying opportunities for Metro Vancouver to work with member municipalities and applicable bylaws and strategies for each opportunity.
  - A list of opportunities that may enhance current practices.

### **Task 7 – Communication and Reporting**

MH will develop a final report including all findings and recommendations following the completion of Task 6. The final report will also include an executive summary and appendices (as needed). The communication and reporting task includes four (4) in-person presentations for the stakeholder groups on the findings from all tasks. The stakeholder groups include:

- Regional Engineers Advisory Committee Solid Waste Subcommittee (REAC-SW)
- Solid Waste Management Public / Technical Advisory Committee (PTAC)
- Solid Waste and Recycling Industry Advisory Committee (IAC)
- Regional Engineers Advisory Committee (REAC)

## **Appendix B**

## **Literature Review Findings**



Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>		Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Recycled Aggregates Definitions						
Recycled Asphalt						
Section 31 05 17 – Aggregates and Granular Materials			Recycled Asphalt Pavement (RAP)	Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP)		
2.13 Products: Recycled Asphalt Pavement (RAP)			- Removed and/or processed flexible pavement materials which are composed of a mix of asphalt cement and aggregates.	- Asphalt Pavement that has been removed and processed, for the purpose of recycling. - Removed and/or reprocessed pavement materials containing asphalt and aggregates. These materials are generated when asphalt pavements are removed for reconstruction and/or resurfacing. When properly screened and crushed, it consists of high-quality aggregate coated by asphalt cement. - Classified RAP: RAP obtained from Ministry roadways. - Unclassified RAP: RAP obtained from other sources or mixed		
2.13.1 Recycled Asphalt Pavement (RAP) shall consist of asphalt concrete free from organic matter, contaminated and other extraneous material. 2.13.3 RAP gradation shall not exceed the maximum aggregate size for the specified asphalt mix.	No modifications					
Recycled Concrete						
			Reclaimed concrete material (RCM) - Removed and/or processed old Portland cement concrete (PCC).		Reclaimed (or recycled) concrete aggregate (RCA) In most cases, concrete recovered from roadways, sidewalks, buildings, and bridges is stockpiled and, when sufficient material is present, a portable crusher brought to the site and the material is crushed and graded to produce RCA. Reclaimed concrete material (RCM) RCM is a generic term for after-use, hardened, hydraulic cement concrete that has been obtained from variable sources such as sidewalks, concrete roads, and construction and demolition waste (CDW) for use as a construction material. If one source of demolished concrete (e.g., a pavement), is made into RCA then the quality of the “single source RCM” will be more uniform and consistent than “mixed source RCM” made from several sources of demolished concrete. Construction and demolition waste (CDW) CDW consists of building materials arising from activities such as the construction of buildings and civil infrastructure, total or partial demolition of buildings and civil infrastructure, road planning, and maintenance. CDW can be mainly composed of concrete, but might also be contaminated with other demolition materials. Returned hardened concrete (RHC) RHC is unused concrete material obtained from plastic concrete that has been returned directly to the concrete plant, or from in plant waste streams, which is allowed to harden and processed by crushing. RHC also includes unused precast concrete products that have been returned to the plant and crushed. It can be used for the same applications as CDW and RCM. If the RCA is manufactured from returned-to-plant concrete, then even though the paste/mortar fraction might vary with the original concrete quality, the aggregates in the RHC will be essentially the same as the virgin aggregates; thus RHC can be better suited for use in concrete. The mortar fraction of RHC can be compromised during the wash-out procedures of concrete trucks returning unused concrete. If the drum of the truck is washed out into the same pile as the unused concrete then the water-to-cementitious materials ratio of the mortar fraction can be significantly increased. High quality RHC depends on proper material handling/storage procedures.	

Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>	Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>																																									
Recycled Aggregates Definitions																																														
Recycled Concrete and Asphalt Mix																																														
Section 31 05 17 – Aggregates and Granular Materials					Recycled Aggregate Base (RAB) - Aggregate derived from processed/crushed asphalt or concrete pavement or structural concrete. RAB may be called recycled asphalt pacement (RAP) or recycled concrete aggregate (RCA)																																									
2.11 Products: Recycled Aggregate Material																																														
<div><div>2.11.1</div><div>[...] Recycled material shall consist only of aggregates, crushed Portland cement concrete, or asphalt that is free of impurities.</div><div>2.11.2</div><div>Recycled Concrete and Asphalt (RCA)</div><div>2.11.3</div><div>To be well graded mixture of aggregates, crushed Portland cement concrete, or asphalt, substantially free from lumps and impurities. The material shall be manufactured to conform to the following gradation.</div></div> <table><thead><tr><th>Sieve Designation</th><th>Percent Passing</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>25 mm</td><td>100</td></tr><tr><td>19 mm</td><td>80 - 100</td></tr><tr><td>9.5 mm</td><td>50 - 85</td></tr><tr><td>4.75 mm</td><td>35 - 70</td></tr><tr><td>2.36 mm</td><td>25 - 50</td></tr><tr><td>1.18 mm</td><td>15 - 35</td></tr><tr><td>0.300 mm</td><td>5 - 20</td></tr><tr><td>0.075 mm</td><td>0 - 20</td></tr></tbody></table>	Sieve Designation	Percent Passing	25 mm	100		19 mm	80 - 100	9.5 mm	50 - 85	4.75 mm	35 - 70	2.36 mm	25 - 50	1.18 mm	15 - 35	0.300 mm	5 - 20	0.075 mm	0 - 20	<div><div>City of Richmond – Delete 2.11.1 and replace with</div><div>[...] Recycled material should consist only of aggregates, crushed portland cement concrete, or crushed asphaltic pavements (with exceptions for recycled asphalt as per Supplementary Specification 2.7.3 (ss) [c.f. chap. 2.7.1]); other construction and demolition materials such as bricks, plaster, etc. are not acceptable.</div><div>City of Vancouver – Delete 2.11.1 and replace with</div><div>25 mm Minus Combined Crushed Recycled Aggregate – City of Vancouver Aggregate #30:</div><div>This material shall be of uniform quality, crushed to size as necessary and consisting of sound, tough, durable, mechanically crushed mixture of concrete, asphalt slab, gravel and natural sands. This crushed product shall meet the gradation and other specified requirements as indicated below. Final acceptance and usage of this material will be at the sole discretion of the City Engineer. The grading limits shall be: [see quality control section for table with other properties]</div><table><thead><tr><th>Sieve Designation</th><th>Percent Passing</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>25.0mm</td><td>100</td></tr><tr><td>19.0mm</td><td>94 - 100</td></tr><tr><td>12.5mm</td><td>62 - 95</td></tr><tr><td>9.5mm</td><td>49 - 85</td></tr><tr><td>4.75mm (No. 4)</td><td>35 - 63</td></tr><tr><td>2.36mm (No. 8)</td><td>26 - 47</td></tr><tr><td>1.18mm (No. 16)</td><td>19 - 37</td></tr><tr><td>600µm (No. 30)</td><td>13 - 29</td></tr><tr><td>300µm (No. 50)</td><td>8 - 21</td></tr><tr><td>150µm (No. 100)</td><td>5 - 15</td></tr><tr><td>75µm (No. 200)</td><td>3 - 9</td></tr></tbody></table><div>City of Richmond – Delete 2.11.2 and replace with</div><div>Recycled Concrete and Asphalt (RCA)</div><div>To be well graded mixture to match gradation of intended use.</div></div>	Sieve Designation	Percent Passing	25.0mm	100	19.0mm	94 - 100	12.5mm	62 - 95	9.5mm	49 - 85	4.75mm (No. 4)	35 - 63	2.36mm (No. 8)	26 - 47	1.18mm (No. 16)	19 - 37	600µm (No. 30)	13 - 29	300µm (No. 50)	8 - 21	150µm (No. 100)	5 - 15	75µm (No. 200)	3 - 9		
Sieve Designation	Percent Passing																																													
25 mm	100																																													
19 mm	80 - 100																																													
9.5 mm	50 - 85																																													
4.75 mm	35 - 70																																													
2.36 mm	25 - 50																																													
1.18 mm	15 - 35																																													
0.300 mm	5 - 20																																													
0.075 mm	0 - 20																																													
Sieve Designation	Percent Passing																																													
25.0mm	100																																													
19.0mm	94 - 100																																													
12.5mm	62 - 95																																													
9.5mm	49 - 85																																													
4.75mm (No. 4)	35 - 63																																													
2.36mm (No. 8)	26 - 47																																													
1.18mm (No. 16)	19 - 37																																													
600µm (No. 30)	13 - 29																																													
300µm (No. 50)	8 - 21																																													
150µm (No. 100)	5 - 15																																													
75µm (No. 200)	3 - 9																																													

Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>		Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Uses						
Recycled Asphalt						
Section 31 05 17 – Aggregates and Granular Materials			- Reuse in paving mixtures (bound application) is preferred from both materials management and sustainable development viewpoints.  - Milled or crushed RAP can be used in a number of highway construction applications. These include its use as an aggregate substitute and asphalt cement supplement in recycled asphalt paving (hot mix or cold mix), as a granular base or subbase, stabilized base aggregate, or as an embankment or fill material.  - Blending granular RAP with suitable materials is necessary to attain the bearing strengths needed for most load-bearing unbound granular applications. RAP by itself may exhibit a somewhat lower bearing capacity than conventional granular aggregate bases.  - Stockpiled RAP material may also be used as a granular shouldering material, fill or base for embankment or backfill construction, although such an application is not widely used and does not represent the highest or most suitable use for the RAP.  - The use of RAP as an embankment base may be a practical alternative for material that has been stockpiled for a considerable time period, or may be commingled from several different project sources. Use as an embankment base or fill material within the same right of way may also be a suitable alternative to the disposal of excess asphalt concrete that is generated on a particular highway project.  - Research into the difference in emissions of bound applications such as hot-in-place and cold-in-place recycling compared standard hot-mix asphalt production has not been conducted. However, for unbound applications such as the use of RAP in granular base or as embankment fill, detailed leachate testing has been completed in Florida (Brantley, 1999) which indicated that the concentrations of VOCs, PAHs, and heavy metals (Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni and Zn) were below the detection limit and hence below the applicable Florida State regulatory groundwater guidance concentrations.	- Provides standards for the use of RAP in hot mix asphalt construction using both Classified and Unclassified RAP.		
2.3 Products: Pit Run Gravel						
No section 2.3.3	City of Coquitlam – Add to 2.3.3 Asphalt millings free from contaminated and other extraneous material, conforming to the specified gradations may be used as pit run gravel. The use of asphalt millings shall be approved by the Contract Administrator and the City prior to use.					
2.7 Products: Granular Pipe Bedding and Surround Material						
2.7.3 Other permissible materials: only where shown on Contract Drawing or directed by Contract Administrator shall drain rock, pit run sand, river sand or approved native material be used for bedding and pipe surround.  Additional MMCD’s guidance regarding the uses of RAP in warm-mix asphalt, hot-mix asphalt and superpave hot-mix asphalt concrete paving are presented in the mix design section.	City of Richmond – Add 2.7.3 Recycled asphalt shall not be used as pipe bedding and surround material.					

Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>		Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Uses						
Recycled Concrete						
Section 31 05 17 – Aggregates and Granular Materials			- Rather than hauling the demolished concrete material to a landfill, the reuse and recycling of this material is well-established and it can be processed for use in new transportation infrastructure projects as roadway base and subbase courses, in portland cement concrete mixes, or as an embankment or fill material.  - RCM has good drainage properties, excellent durability and is able to stabilise wet, soft, underlying soils.  - In granular base and subbase applications, RCM can either be blended with natural aggregate or used as a complete replacement of natural aggregates in many agency specifications.  - Reclaimed concrete material (RCM) can be used as a coarse and/or fine aggregate in portland cement concrete pavements. Crushed RCM which meets specification requirements for concrete aggregate is considered by many jurisdictions to be conventional coarse aggregate and can be used interchangeably. However, the use of RCM fines in portland cement concrete mixtures has sometimes led to significant reductions in concrete workability, strength and finish quality. In this regard, blending of RCM fines is recommended with substitution rates of RCM fines for natural fines of a maximum of 10 to 20 percent.  - As most reclaimed concrete materials are generally considered to be equivalent to conventional aggregates, they are more than suitable for use in embankment or structural fill applications. This application is discouraged however as it is not considered to make the best use of this high quality material unless either no other suitable aggregates are available or there are no other local uses for the RCM.  - It should be noted that RCM has an elevated alkalinity due to the nature of portland cement concrete and this can be potentially corrosive to aluminum or galvanized steel pipes and this application should be avoided. In addition, in some lower quality concretes which have free CAO, tufa-like precipitates (CaCO3) can form which have been know to clog drainage systems.	Allows for concrete aggregates in concrete used for minor works. Concrete for minor works is described as non-structural reinforced and non-reinforced cast-in-place concrete, in applications such as fence post and guardrail embedment, thrust blocks and minor structures such as small culvert endwalls.	At present, the majority of this material is used in place of virgin aggregate for unbound road base applications. There has been little use of RCA in conrete. It is likely that in the future RCA will continue to be used in road base applications in place of virgin aggregates. However, RCA may also be used as a partial or total replacement of coarse aggregate for non-structural applications such as sidewalks, curb and gutter and some pavements or concrete base and unshrinkable fill (also known as controlled low strength material or CLSM) and other low risk applications. Controlled Low-Strength Material (CLSMs) including unshrinkable fill is a self-levelling cementitious- based material used as a fill material. RCA can be used as aggregate for the production of CLSM, unshrinkable fill and concrete of strength < 10 MPa. CLSM and unshrinkable fill are covered under Clause 8.11. Their typical applications include utility fills, fills on bridge approaches and structural fill.  CLSM in general and unshrinkable fill in particular are possible applications for immediate use of RCA.	
2.3 Products: Pit Run Gravel						
2.3.2 Recycled concrete free from contaminated and other extraneous material, conforming to the specified gradations may be used as pit run gravel.	City of Coquitlam – Add to 2.3.2 The use of recycled concrete shall be approved by the Contract Administrator and the City prior to use.					
2.7 Products: Granular Pipe Bedding and Surround Material						
2.7.1 Crushed or graded gravels: to conform to following gradations: [Table] 2.7.2 Recycled concrete free from contaminated and other extraneous material, conforming to the Type 1 gradations, may be used as pipe bedding and surround material.	City of Surrey – Delete 2.7.1 (no replacement) City of Coquitlam – Add to 2.7.1 All recycled or other extraneous materials shall be approved by Contract Administrator and the City prior to use. City of Maple Ridge – Amend 2.7.1 as follows Delete the following text: “Recycled concrete free from contaminated and other extraneous material, conforming to the Type 1 gradations, may be used as pipe bedding and surround material.” City of Pitt Meadows – Delete 2.7.1 and replace text with Crushed or graded gravel to conform to Type 1 gradation as specified on chart in clause 2.7.1.					



Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>		Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Uses						
Recycled Concrete and Asphalt Mix						Uses of RAB: - Use of recycled aggregate base has become commonplace in transportation applications. - RAB may be used alone or in mixtures with other aggregate materials (virgin and/or recycled) in the production of unbound base course materials.
Section 31 05 17 – Aggregates and Granular Materials						
2.11 Products: Recycled Aggregate Material						
2.11.1 Aggregates containing recycled material may be utilized if approved by the Contract Administrator. In addition to meeting all other conditions of this specification, recycled material should not reduce the quality of construction achievable with quarried materials. [...]	City of Burnaby – Add to 2.11.1 Recycled concrete and asphalt material may only be considered for Sidewalk and Multi-Use Pathway granular base and granular subbase. Do not use Recycled Concrete and Asphalt material where leachates could result in harm to environment. City of Coquitlam – Delete 2.11.1 and replace with the following Aggregates containing recycled material may be utilized if approved by the Contract Administrator and the City. In addition to meeting all other conditions of the specifications, recycled material should not reduce the quality of construction achievable with quarried materials. [...]					
Section 32 24 13 – Roadway Excavation, Embankment and Compaction						
2.2 Products: Specified Materials						
2.2.1 Backfill for embankment fill (subgrade fill) to be: (1) Approved native or imported granular material. (2) Pit run gravel. (3) Pit run sand. (4) River sand. (5) Recycled concrete and asphalt (RCA).	City of Burnaby – Delete 2.2.1 and replace with Backfill for embankment fill (subgrade fill) to be: .1 Approved native or imported granular material. .2 Pit run gravel. .3 Recycled concrete and asphalt (RCA) where specified by Contract Administrator.					
Section 33 30 01 – Sanitary Sewers						
2.5 Products: Granular Pipe Bedding and Surround Material						
No section 2.5.4	City of Vancouver – Add 2.5.4 Initial backfill material shall be used above the haunching material and shall be one of the following, as indicated in the Contract Documents or as directed by the City Engineer: [...] .2 25mm Minus Combined Crushed Recycled Aggregate (City of Vancouver Aggregate#30) as per Section 31 05 17 Aggregates and Granular Materials.					
2.6 Products: Backfill Material						
No section 2.6.3	City of Vancouver – Add 2.6.3 Refer to Standard Detail Drawing G4.4. This backfill material shall be free of large stones and / or frozen material. Backfill material shall be to be one of the following, as indicated in the Contract Documents or as directed by the City Engineer: [...] .3 25mm Minus Combined Crushed Recycled Aggregate (City of Vancouver Aggregate #30) as per Section 31 05 17 Aggregates and Granular Materials. [...]					

Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>	Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Uses					
Section 33 40 01 – Storm Sewers					
2.9 Products: Granular Pipe Bedding and Surround Material					
No section 2.9.4	<b>City of Vancouver - Add 2.9.4</b> Initial backfill material shall be used above the haunching material and shall be one of the following, as indicated in the Contract Documents or as directed by the City Engineer: [...] .2 25mm Minus Combined Crushed Recycled Aggregate (City of Vancouver Aggregate #30) as per Section 31 05 17 Aggregates and Granular Materials. In accordance with Standard Detail Drawing G4.4.				
2.10 Products: Backfill Material					
No section 2.10.3	<b>City of Vancouver – Add 2.10.3</b> Refer to Standard Detail Drawing G4.4. This backfill material shall be free of large stones and / or frozen material. Backfill material shall be to be one of the following, as indicated in the Contract Documents or as directed by the City Engineer: [...] .3 25mm Minus Combined Crushed Recycled Aggregate (City of Vancouver Aggregate #30) as per Section 31 05 17 Aggregates and Granular Materials. [...]  The <b>City of Langley</b> allows crushed concrete and recycled asphalt to be used as surface material for urban nature trails.				

Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>		Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Sourcing of Recycled Aggregates						
Recycled Asphalt						
Section 31 05 17 – Aggregates and Granular Materials		- RAP and RCM are produced during demolition, reconstruction and maintenance projects of roadways and can typically be obtained from RAP and/or RCM processing facilities.  -To decrease the variability in RAP quality, they should be stockpiled per source or per similar sources.	- The most common sources of RAP are cold milling, full depth pavement removal and asphalt plant waste.  - Classified RAP: RAP obtained from Ministry roadways.  - Unclassified RAP: RAP obtained from other sources or mixed with RAP from other sources.			
2.13 Products: Recycled Asphalt Pavement (RAP)						
2.13.2 Source of RAP shall be from asphalt removal, surplus generated during plan start-up, transition between mixes, plant clean out, or excess mix produced that could not be placed.	No modifications					
Recycled Concrete						
Recycled Concrete and Asphalt Mix						
Section 31 05 17 – Aggregates and Granular Materials						The recycled aggregate is derived from processed/crushed asphalt or concrete pavement or structural concrete, including reclaimed asphalt, hydraulic cement concrete, lean concrete base, cement treated base, or natural aggregates.  The recycled aggregate materials shall be clean, hard, sound, durable, and uniform in quality. Overall, all incoming materials shall be largely free from reinforcing steel, trash; wood; roots; vegetation; soft, friable, thin, elongated or laminated pieces; disintegrated material; and hazardous materials, and also shall be free from solvents or other contaminating substances.
2.11 Products: Recycled Aggregate Material						
2.11.2 Recycled Concrete and Asphalt (RCA)	City of Vancouver – Add 2.11.2 [...] All sources for recycled material must be pre-approved by the City Engineer.					



Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>	Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>																																			
Sampling, Testing and Quality Control																																								
<div>2.2 Products: Mix Design</div> <div>No section 2.2.6</div>			<div>- If the asphalt mix from an existing pavement is to be used as RAP, 150 mm diameter cores should be extracted at a frequency of at least one core every 1.5 kilometre in each lane prior to the start of the project. The asphalt mix from the pavement lift to be recycled should be tested to determine the properties of the aggregates and the asphalt cement in the pavement.</div> <div>- RAP stockpiles should be sampled as they are being built at the location where they will be fed into the asphalt plant.</div> <div>- ASTM D75 or AASHTO R 90 sampling procedures, normally used for virgin aggregates, should also be used to sample RAP aggregates.</div> <div>- The quality control tests on the RAP and the extracted aggregates should be completed at the minimum frequencies specified in Table 505-C.</div> <div>- All RAP aggregates retained after extraction should be combined together into one sample. The combined sample should then be sieved and split into coarse and fine fractions and used to determine the specific gravity of the recycled aggregates.</div> <div>Table 505-B: Quality Control Testing Requirements</div> <table><tr><th>RAP Category</th><th>% AC Replacement</th><th>Required Tests</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Classified RAP</td><td>≤ 15%</td><td><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li></ul></td></tr><tr><td>&gt; 15%</td><td><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li><li>Consensus Tests</li><li>AC Rheology Testing</li></ul></td></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Unclassified RAP</td><td>≤ 15%</td><td><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li><li>Consensus Tests</li></ul></td></tr><tr><td>&gt; 15%</td><td><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li><li>Consensus Tests</li><li>AC Rheology Testing</li></ul></td></tr></table> <div>Table 505-C: Minimum Quality Control Test Frequencies</div> <table><tr><th>Test</th><th>Minimum Frequency</th></tr><tr><td>Asphalt Content</td><td>One per 750 tonnes</td></tr><tr><td>Gradation</td><td>One per 750 tonnes</td></tr><tr><td>Percent Fracture (%)</td><td>One per 750 tonnes</td></tr><tr><td>Specific Gravity of coarse fraction of RAP</td><td>Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile</td></tr><tr><td>Specific Gravity of fine fraction of RAP</td><td>Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile</td></tr><tr><td>Maximum Micro Deval Abrasion loss factor (%)</td><td>Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile</td></tr><tr><td>Fine aggregate angularity</td><td>Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile</td></tr><tr><td>Flat and elongated particles (For Superpave Only)</td><td>Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile</td></tr><tr><td>AC Rheology</td><td>Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes of RAP or a minimum of three tests per project.</td></tr></table>	RAP Category	% AC Replacement	Required Tests	Classified RAP	≤ 15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li></ul>	> 15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li><li>Consensus Tests</li><li>AC Rheology Testing</li></ul>	Unclassified RAP	≤ 15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li><li>Consensus Tests</li></ul>	> 15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li><li>Consensus Tests</li><li>AC Rheology Testing</li></ul>	Test	Minimum Frequency	Asphalt Content	One per 750 tonnes	Gradation	One per 750 tonnes	Percent Fracture (%)	One per 750 tonnes	Specific Gravity of coarse fraction of RAP	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile	Specific Gravity of fine fraction of RAP	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile	Maximum Micro Deval Abrasion loss factor (%)	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile	Fine aggregate angularity	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile	Flat and elongated particles (For Superpave Only)	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile	AC Rheology	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes of RAP or a minimum of three tests per project.				
RAP Category	% AC Replacement	Required Tests																																						
Classified RAP	≤ 15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li></ul>																																						
	> 15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li><li>Consensus Tests</li><li>AC Rheology Testing</li></ul>																																						
Unclassified RAP	≤ 15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li><li>Consensus Tests</li></ul>																																						
	> 15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Basic Tests</li><li>Consensus Tests</li><li>AC Rheology Testing</li></ul>																																						
Test	Minimum Frequency																																							
Asphalt Content	One per 750 tonnes																																							
Gradation	One per 750 tonnes																																							
Percent Fracture (%)	One per 750 tonnes																																							
Specific Gravity of coarse fraction of RAP	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile																																							
Specific Gravity of fine fraction of RAP	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile																																							
Maximum Micro Deval Abrasion loss factor (%)	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile																																							
Fine aggregate angularity	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile																																							
Flat and elongated particles (For Superpave Only)	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes or three per stockpile																																							
AC Rheology	Minimum of one per 3000 tonnes of RAP or a minimum of three tests per project.																																							

	Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>	Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Sampling, Testing and Quality Control						
Recycled Concrete						
			<p>- Processing RCM generally consists of breaking apart concrete pieces and removing steel reinforcements, primary crushing and sizing, followed by secondary crushing and a final screening.</p> <p>- Recycled concrete material may be contaminated with chloride ions from the application of deicing salts to roadway surfaces or with sulphates from contact with sulphate-rich soils which may exceed provincial environmental regulations when tested. It is also important to ensure that the RCM used does not contain aggregate susceptible to alkali-silica reactions as this can have a detrimental effect on the performance (FHWA, 2008).</p> <p>- The quality of the original portland cement concrete will greatly affect its potential recyclability. Some recycled concrete material can contain potentially deleterious substances, such as sulphates/sulphides (from old drywall and plaster for instance if blended with construction and demolition wastes), chlorides and alkali reactive aggregates. The gypsum in modern drywall reacts very strongly with the portland cement materials in RCM to form expansion products including thaumasite and ettringite. Recycled concrete aggregate with as little as 3 percent of contamination with gypsum has been shown to have a volumetric expansion of 10 percent or even greater. As a result, it is imperative that the quality of the RCM must be strictly controlled to be successfully used as a recycled aggregate.</p>		<p>-Strict quality control procedures are required to ensure that recycled concrete aggregate material will not adversely affect the quality of the concrete product. In some cases, every truck load will need to be examined for contamination, especially when concrete is being brought to the recycling site from a variety of different sources. In cases where concrete from a single known structure or pavement is being recycled, the frequency of observation can probably be reduced.</p> <p>- RCA used as a concrete aggregate should be expected to meet the conventional requirements for flat and elongated particles, fines content and grading. In the case of CDW /RCM, the chemical and physical properties vary more when compared to virgin aggregate depending on the amount of attached mortar, or exposure of the concrete to foreign materials and chemicals during its lifecycle, processing, and storage. This is not generally an issue with RHC.</p> <p>- The contractor/supplier of recycled concrete aggregate should develop and implement a quality control plan for aggregate production. The quality control plan should describe the means to be used to ensure that recycled concrete meets the requirements of the project. The QC plan should, as a minimum, describe the following in detail:</p> <p>a) the inspection process upon receipt of demolished concrete prior to stockpiling;</p> <p>b) the process for removal of contaminating materials;</p> <p>c) the crushing and production processes;</p> <p>d) sampling and testing frequencies; and</p> <p>e) test methods.</p> <p>Such plans will by necessity need to be more onerous for RCM and CDW than for RHC. Research has shown that RHC produced following appropriate quality control procedures to be of more consistent quality and more suitable for use in concrete applications compared to RHC produced without such procedures. (Andal et al., 2016).</p> <p>- A concrete supplier's quality control plan for RHC should ensure proper handling and storage to prevent degradation of the RHC and the mortar fraction in particular.</p>	<p>- If the incoming material is sourced from concrete known to have experienced either D-cracking or alkali silica reaction (ASR), freeze/thaw durability and alkali-reactivity of the recycled aggregate product can be determined.</p>

Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>	Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>																																												
Sampling, Testing and Quality Control																																																	
Recycled Concrete and Asphalt Mix																																																	
Section 31 05 17 – Aggregates and Granular Materials					Each incoming load of asphalt and/or concrete shall be visually inspected at the facility for deleterious materials prior to loading materials to the crusher. The requirements for finished product are: - The final recycled aggregate shall be free (total of no more than 1 % by mass) of organic and deleterious materials such as wood, metal, plaster, rubbery material (for example, tires, carpet pads), glass, and geosynthetics, when these materials are not classified as solid waste. - The final recycled aggregate may contain clay brick or clay tile up to 20 % by weight. Users can specify a different value for brick or clay tile content. - The final recycled aggregate product shall conform to the gradation requirement given in Table 1 based on ASTM D2940/D2940M and shall be of such nature that it can be compacted readily under watering and rolling to form a firm stable base. Sampling: - As established by the local transportation agency or at a rate of two samples per day per active stockpile. - Thoroughly mix the sample and reduce it to an amount suitable for testing using the applicable procedures described in Practice C702/C702M. The sample for the test shall be approximately the quantity desired when dry and shall be the end result of the reduction procedure. Reduction to an exact predetermined quantity is not required. - Durability can be evaluated and having a loss of no more than 50 % by the Los Angeles abrasion test (following C131/C131M or C535 as appropriate) can be used for guidance.																																												
2.11 Products: Recycled Aggregate Material																																																	
<p><b>2.11.2</b> Recycled Concrete and Asphalt (RCA)</p> <p><b>2.11.4</b> California Bearing Ratio of the supplied materials shall be a minimum of 20% and shall be tested at every 5,000 tonnes.</p>	<p><b>City of Vancouver – Add 2.11.2</b> The Contractor shall only source recycled aggregate material from a supplier who undertakes a minimum of one set of industry standard material testing per year and which confirm that the materials supplied meet the gradation and other property requirements of 2.11.1 of this Section [see tables below]. In addition, any product changes shall have a minimum population sample of 30 test results to confirm properties. All test results are subject to review by the City Engineer. [...]</p> <table><tr><th>Sieve Designation</th><th>Percent Passing</th></tr><tr><td>25.0mm</td><td>100</td></tr><tr><td>19.0mm</td><td>94 - 100</td></tr><tr><td>12.5mm</td><td>62 - 95</td></tr><tr><td>9.5mm</td><td>49 - 85</td></tr><tr><td>4.75mm (No. 4)</td><td>35 - 63</td></tr><tr><td>2.36mm (No. 8)</td><td>26 - 47</td></tr><tr><td>1.18mm (No. 16)</td><td>19 - 37</td></tr><tr><td>600µm (No. 30)</td><td>13 - 29</td></tr><tr><td>300µm (No. 50)</td><td>8 - 21</td></tr><tr><td>150µm (No. 100)</td><td>5 - 15</td></tr><tr><td>75µm (No. 200)</td><td>3 - 9</td></tr></table> <p>Other properties:</p> <table><tr><th>Property</th><th>Specification</th></tr><tr><td>% Asphalt Coated Aggregate Particles</td><td>Max. 40</td></tr><tr><td>% Total Asphalt Cement</td><td>Max. 3.0</td></tr><tr><td>% Loss in LA Abrasion - Coarse Aggregate</td><td>Max. 30</td></tr><tr><td>% Loss in Micro-Deval - Coarse Aggregate</td><td>Max. 25</td></tr><tr><td>% Loss in Micro-Deval - Fine Aggregate</td><td>Max. 30</td></tr><tr><td>% Loss in MgSO4 Soundness - Coarse Aggregate</td><td>Max. 20</td></tr><tr><td>% Loss in MgSO4 Soundness - Fine Aggregate</td><td>Max. 25</td></tr><tr><td>% Organics Matter</td><td>Max. 0.5</td></tr><tr><td>% 1-Face Fracture Particles</td><td>Min. 60</td></tr><tr><td>pH Level</td><td>Max. 11 (Reference Only)</td></tr><tr><td>Environmental</td><td>BC Ministry of Environment's CSR - Minimum RL standards for top 1m of boulevards and street medians, minimum IL standards in all remaining areas</td></tr></table> <p>Properties of the final product must satisfy the specified requirements unless otherwise allowed by the City Engineer.</p>				Sieve Designation	Percent Passing	25.0mm	100	19.0mm	94 - 100	12.5mm	62 - 95	9.5mm	49 - 85	4.75mm (No. 4)	35 - 63	2.36mm (No. 8)	26 - 47	1.18mm (No. 16)	19 - 37	600µm (No. 30)	13 - 29	300µm (No. 50)	8 - 21	150µm (No. 100)	5 - 15	75µm (No. 200)	3 - 9	Property	Specification	% Asphalt Coated Aggregate Particles	Max. 40	% Total Asphalt Cement	Max. 3.0	% Loss in LA Abrasion - Coarse Aggregate	Max. 30	% Loss in Micro-Deval - Coarse Aggregate	Max. 25	% Loss in Micro-Deval - Fine Aggregate	Max. 30	% Loss in MgSO4 Soundness - Coarse Aggregate	Max. 20	% Loss in MgSO4 Soundness - Fine Aggregate	Max. 25	% Organics Matter	Max. 0.5	% 1-Face Fracture Particles	Min. 60	pH Level
Sieve Designation	Percent Passing																																																
25.0mm	100																																																
19.0mm	94 - 100																																																
12.5mm	62 - 95																																																
9.5mm	49 - 85																																																
4.75mm (No. 4)	35 - 63																																																
2.36mm (No. 8)	26 - 47																																																
1.18mm (No. 16)	19 - 37																																																
600µm (No. 30)	13 - 29																																																
300µm (No. 50)	8 - 21																																																
150µm (No. 100)	5 - 15																																																
75µm (No. 200)	3 - 9																																																
Property	Specification																																																
% Asphalt Coated Aggregate Particles	Max. 40																																																
% Total Asphalt Cement	Max. 3.0																																																
% Loss in LA Abrasion - Coarse Aggregate	Max. 30																																																
% Loss in Micro-Deval - Coarse Aggregate	Max. 25																																																
% Loss in Micro-Deval - Fine Aggregate	Max. 30																																																
% Loss in MgSO4 Soundness - Coarse Aggregate	Max. 20																																																
% Loss in MgSO4 Soundness - Fine Aggregate	Max. 25																																																
% Organics Matter	Max. 0.5																																																
% 1-Face Fracture Particles	Min. 60																																																
pH Level	Max. 11 (Reference Only)																																																
Environmental	BC Ministry of Environment's CSR - Minimum RL standards for top 1m of boulevards and street medians, minimum IL standards in all remaining areas																																																
					<p>QA/QC measures:</p> <p>- Each incoming load of asphalt and/or concrete shall be visually inspected at the facility for deleterious materials prior to loading materials to the crusher.</p> <p>- Perform gradation testing on two separate specimens obtained from each reduced sample. Gradation testing shall be conducted in conformance with ASTM C117 and C136/C136M with the exception that the drying temperature shall not exceed 60°C for recycled asphalt pavement. Gradation testing shall be performed after separating deleterious materials.</p> <p>- Determine mass percentage of deleterious materials for each gradation specimen. Identify deleterious materials by visual inspection and determine their mass as percentage of the mass of total specimen used for gradation testing.</p>																																												

	Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>	Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Sampling, Testing and Quality Control						
						<div>- Average values of all sieve size determinations and deleterious materials percentages for all samples shall comply with the requirements above. Average values of all sieve size determinations and deleterious materials percentages for all samples shall comply with the requirements above. Non-compliance shall necessitate the entire stockpile to be rejected.</div> <div>- The ratio of RAB in a mixture with other aggregates may be specified based on intended end use.</div> <div>- A Facility and Material Certification Form to be completed by the asphalt/concrete recycling operator is included in the appendix of the standard.</div> <div>- Max % of organic and deleterious materials: No more than 1 % by mass) of organic and deleterious materials such as wood, metal, plaster, rubbery material (for example, tires, carpet pads), glass, and geosynthetics.</div> <div>- Max % of clay brick or clay tile: Up to 20 % by weight, unless otherwise specified by the user.</div> <div>- Gradation: Shall conform to the gradation requirement given in Table 1 based on ASTM D2940/D2940M and shall be of such nature that it can be compacted readily under watering and rolling to form a firm stable base.</div> <div>- Durability: Having a loss of no more than 50 % by the Los Angeles abrasion test (following C131/C131M or C535 as appropriate).</div> <div>- Freeze/thaw durability and alkali-reactivity: Can be determined for incoming material that is sourced from concrete known to have experienced either D-cracking or alkali silica reaction (ASR).</div>



Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>		Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>								
Mix Design														
Recycled Asphalt														
Section 32 12 16 – Hot-Mix Asphalt Concrete Paving			<p>- For batch mix plants, the amount of RAP incorporated is typically limited to less than 30 percent to ensure adequate drying and heat transfer in the pugmill from superheated aggregate, and to limit ‘blue smoke’ emissions. [...] The need to soften the aged asphalt cement and to control potential emissions (blue smoke) limits the amount of RAP that can be incorporated in drum asphalt plants to between 40 and 60 percent (Earl and Emery, 1987).</p> <p>- It is generally not necessary to add new asphalt cement when RAP addition rates are lower than about 25%.</p> <p>- The NCAT [National Centre for Asphalt Technology] study concluded that, in most cases, using 30 percent RAP in an asphalt pavement can provide the same overall performance as virgin asphalt pavement.</p> <p>- Roads that were made using coal tar as the binder shouldn't be recycled since the coal tar can be a health hazard.</p> <p>- There are certain asphalt concrete recycling processes that, once used, may limit the ability of the recycled asphalt concrete from being recycled in the future. Experience in British Columbia has been that the use of crumb rubber or sulphur in hot-mix asphalt mixes precludes future hot-in place recycling. The major concerns have been that the fumes generated when hot in-place recycling mixes containing sulphur have been unacceptable, while asphalt rubber mixes have caused reprocessing issues with the HIR equipment (gumming up milling teeth).</p>	<p>- Adding softening agents, rejuvenators, recycling agents or recycled asphalt shingles is not permitted.</p> <p>- The maximum RAP allowed in the asphalt mix shall be determined by the contribution of the RAP Asphalt Cement (AC) towards the total AC content in the mix by weight as per the percentages specified in Table 505-A. [...] The amount of total AC replaced by AC in the RAP will be calculated as follows: % AC replacement=((a x b))/c, where a = AC content of RAP; b = RAP percent in mixture by total weight of mix; c = total percent AC content in mixture. Inclusion of RAP into the hot mix asphalt will be as per the maximum allowable percentage of AC replacement unless otherwise noted in the Special Provisions.</p> <p><b>Table 505-A: Maximum Percent RAP AC Replacement Allowed in Asphalt Mix</b></p> <table><tr><th>Road Classification</th><th>Top Lift</th><th>Lower Lifts</th></tr><tr><td>Category A</td><td>15%</td><td>30%</td></tr><tr><td>Category B</td><td>30%</td><td>30%</td></tr></table> <p>- When the Percent RAP AC Replacement amount is greater than 15%, the blended AC must meet the penetration and viscosity requirements of the specified asphalt cement specified in the Special Provisions (when penetration graded asphalt cement is specified) or the temperature requirements of the specified Performance Graded Asphalt Cement (PGAC) when a PGAC is specified or substituted for penetration graded asphalt cement.”</p>	Road Classification	Top Lift	Lower Lifts	Category A	15%	30%	Category B	30%	30%	<p>- Binder and/or asphalt content: Having a loss of no more than 20 % by the Micro-Deval test (following D6928). The asphalt content (determined using D6307) of the final recycled aggregate product also may be specified.</p>
Road Classification	Top Lift	Lower Lifts												
Category A	15%	30%												
Category B	30%	30%												
2.1 Materials														
<b>2.1.2</b> Reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP): Crush and screen so that 100% of reclaimed asphalt pavement material passes 37.5 mm screen before mixing.	<b>City of Coquitlam – Add 2.1.2.1</b> Usage of recycled asphalt shingles will not be permitted. <b>City of Coquitlam – Add 2.1.2.2</b> Usage of softening agents, rejuvenators, or recycling agents will not be permitted.													
2.2 Products: Mix Design														
<b>2.2.1</b> Submit job mix formula to Contract Administrator for review and approval. The mix design shall identify HMA (Hot mix asphalt) or WHA (Warm-mix asphalt) with the respective mixing and compaction temperatures. <b>2.2.2</b> Mix may contain up to 15% recycled asphalt cement replacement without changing binder grade. Design of mix to include RAP from proposed source blended with virgin aggregate. <b>2.2.3</b> Design of mix: by Marshall method to requirements below. [...] (3) (5) Percentage of RAP used shall be stated in the mix design report (3) (6) Minimum Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR): 80 for mix design with RAP content	<b>City of Burnaby – Delete 2.2.1 and replace with</b> Submit a current job mix formula to the Contract Administrator for review and approval. The mix design shall identify HMA or WMA with the respective mixing and compaction temperatures. The Contractor is encouraged to use up to 15% RAP in asphalt base course mixes and up to 10% RAP in asphalt surface course. <b>City of Richmond – Delete 2.2.2 and add</b> Mix may contain up to a maximum 10% by mass of Recycled Asphalt content (RAP). The use of shingles (recycled) is not permitted in the design mix. <b>City of Coquitlam – Delete 2.2.2 and replace with the following</b> Mix may contain up to a maximum of 15 % by mass of RAP for Upper Course Asphalt and 20 % by mass of RAP for Lower Course Asphalt without a special mix design. The Contract Administrator and the City may approve higher proportion of RAP if Contractor demonstrates ability to produce mix meeting requirements of the specification.													

Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>	Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>											
Mix Design																
Section 32 12 17 – Superpave Hot-Mix Asphalt Concrete Paving																
2.1 Materials																
<div>2.1.2</div> <div>Reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP): processing, quality, and use to requirements of NCHRP Report 452 and Table 1, with a RAP incorporation limit of 25% in lower course Superpave HMA and 15% in upper course Superpave HMA.</div> <div>2.1.4</div> <div><table><tr><td colspan="2">Table 1 Asphalt Binder Selection Guidelines for Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) Mixtures<sup>1,2,3</sup></td></tr><tr><td>Recommended Virgin Asphalt Binder Grade<sup>4</sup></td><td>RAP Percentage<sup>5</sup></td></tr><tr><td>2.1.5</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>No change in binder selection</td><td>&lt;15</td></tr><tr><td>Select virgin binder one grade softer than normal (select a PG 58-28 if a PG 64-22 would normally be used, for example)</td><td>15-25</td></tr><tr><td>Follow recommendations from blending charts<sup>6</sup></td><td>&gt;25</td></tr></table></div> <div>Notes:</div> <div>1. Table 1 is adapted from AASHTO M 323.</div> <div>2. The recommended use of RAP is covered in detail in NCHRP Report 452.</div> <div>3. Asphalt binder is an asphalt-based cement that is produced from petroleum residue either with or without the addition of non-particulate organic modifiers (SBS, for instance – polymer modified asphalt binder).</div> <div>4. Performance-graded asphalt binder (cement) meeting the requirements of AASHTO M 320.</div> <div>5. Reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) is removed and/or processed pavement materials containing asphalt binder and aggregates.</div> <div>6. AASHTO M 323 and NCHRP Report 452, for instance.</div>	Table 1 Asphalt Binder Selection Guidelines for Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) Mixtures <sup>1,2,3</sup>		Recommended Virgin Asphalt Binder Grade <sup>4</sup>	RAP Percentage <sup>5</sup>	2.1.5		No change in binder selection	<15	Select virgin binder one grade softer than normal (select a PG 58-28 if a PG 64-22 would normally be used, for example)	15-25	Follow recommendations from blending charts <sup>6</sup>	>25	<div>City of Surrey – Delete 2.1.2 and replace with</div> <div>Reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP): processing, quality and use to requirements of NCHRP Report 452 and Table 1, with a RAP incorporation limit not to exceed 10% in lower course Superpave HMA and 10% in upper course Superpave HMA.</div> <div>City of Richmond – Add 2.1.2</div> <div>Mix may contain up to a maximum 10% mass of RAP (recycled asphalt content). The use of shingles (recycled) is not permitted in the design mix.</div> <div>City of Coquitlam – Delete 2.1.2 and replace with the following</div> <div>Reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP): Processing quality, and use to requirements of NCHRP report 452 and Table 1, with a RAP incorporation limit of 20 % in lower course superpave HMA and 15 % un upper course-superpave HMA.</div> <div>City of Coquitlam – Add 2.1.2.1</div> <div>Usage of recycled asphalt shingles will not be permitted.</div> <div>City of Coquitlam – Add 2.1.2.2</div> <div>Usage of softening agents, rejuvenators, or recycling agents will not be permitted.</div> <div>City of Surrey – Amend 2.1.4</div> <div>In Table 1, maximum allowable RAP shall be 10%.</div> <div>Add the following notes below Table 1:</div> <div>.7 The amount of total AC replaced by AC in the RAP will be calculated as follows:</div> <div>% AC Replacement = (a x b) / c</div> <div>where:</div> <div>a = AC content of RAP</div> <div>b = RAP percent in mixture by total weight of mix</div> <div>c = Total percent AC content in mixture</div> <div>.8 Rejuvenators and softening agents not permitted.</div> <div>.9 Asphalt shingles not permitted.</div>	- The mix design is to be submitted to the Ministry Representative for review at least 5 business days prior to the start of the mix’s production and should include: “All RAP aggregate gradations for each RAP product; asphalt content for RAP; results for consensus properties of combined aggregates (when applicable); design RAP Rheology test results; and blending charts for the virgin and reclaimed AC (when applicable).” Changes in mix design need to be approved by the Ministry Representative.		
Table 1 Asphalt Binder Selection Guidelines for Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) Mixtures <sup>1,2,3</sup>																
Recommended Virgin Asphalt Binder Grade <sup>4</sup>	RAP Percentage <sup>5</sup>															
2.1.5																
No change in binder selection	<15															
Select virgin binder one grade softer than normal (select a PG 58-28 if a PG 64-22 would normally be used, for example)	15-25															
Follow recommendations from blending charts <sup>6</sup>	>25															

Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>	Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>																												
Mix Design																																	
<div>2.2 Products: Mix Design</div> <div><b>2.2.3</b> Where RAP will be incorporated in the mix, the mix design shall include RAP content as per Section 1.2 References.  <i>No section 2.2.6</i></div>	<div><b>City of Burnaby – Delete 2.2.3 and replace with</b> Where RAP will be incorporated in the mix, the mix design shall include RAP content. Contractors are encouraged to use up to 15% RAP in asphalt base course mixes and up to 10% RAP in asphalt surface course. Mix may contain up to 15% recycled asphalt cement replacement without changing binder grade. <b>City of Vancouver – Add 2.2.6</b> Superpave asphalt mix may contain up to a maximum 15% RAP by weight of total mix without a special mix design. The City Engineer may approve a higher proportion of RAP if the Contractor can demonstrate with proof documentation their ability to produce a mix meeting the requirements of the specification.</div> <table><tr><th>Tier</th><th>% RAP by wt of Total Mix</th><th>Determine RAP AC Content</th><th>Measure RAP Gradation</th><th>Measure RAP AC Stiffness</th><th>Measure App-Blend Properties</th><th>PG Grade Change</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>≤15%</td><td>(a)</td><td>Yes</td><td>No</td><td>Yes</td><td>None</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>16% - 25%</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>No (b)</td><td>Yes</td><td>One Grade Lower (c)</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>&gt;25%</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Use Blend Chart</td></tr></table> <div>(a) At the discretion of the <i>City Engineer</i>. (b) Unless blending chart is used. (c) Or use blending chart.</div>	Tier	% RAP by wt of Total Mix	Determine RAP AC Content	Measure RAP Gradation	Measure RAP AC Stiffness	Measure App-Blend Properties	PG Grade Change	1	≤15%	(a)	Yes	No	Yes	None	2	16% - 25%	Yes	Yes	No (b)	Yes	One Grade Lower (c)	3	>25%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Use Blend Chart				
Tier	% RAP by wt of Total Mix	Determine RAP AC Content	Measure RAP Gradation	Measure RAP AC Stiffness	Measure App-Blend Properties	PG Grade Change																											
1	≤15%	(a)	Yes	No	Yes	None																											
2	16% - 25%	Yes	Yes	No (b)	Yes	One Grade Lower (c)																											
3	>25%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Use Blend Chart																											
MMCD recommendations regarding plant and mixing requirements to produce superpave hot-mix asphalt concrete paving in batch and continuous mixing plants and dryer drum mixing plants were not included in this section. This information was considered to be too technical for the purposes of this report.	Following a pilot study, 100% of the District of North Vancouver’s paving projects are now completed using lower carbon warm mix asphalt, and staff are also piloting the use of 30% recycled asphalt pavement, using excess grindings from existing roads to reduce the use of virgin materials.																																

	Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>	Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Mix Design						
Recycled Concrete			<div>- Depending on the mix design and RCM processing, it is possible to produce quality concrete using recycle concrete aggregates. - Recycled concrete aggregates have higher absorption rates, potentially requiring an adjustment in water and Portland cement content to achieve the desired water to cement ratio. Insufficient water can lead to lower slump than conventional aggregates. - Fine recycled concrete aggregates can decrease a fresh concrete’s workability - The amount of old asphalt in the RCM should be limited to 30 – 50 % by mass to avoid adverse effects on the material’s strength.</div>	<div>When using concrete aggregates for minor works: - The contractor is responsible for the concrete mix design and its performance. - The concrete shall be designed to meet the sulphate exposure identified.</div>	<div>-Caution should be exercised with RCA from concrete that has exhibited a) high levels of chlorides; b) high levels of sulphates; c) alkali-aggregate reactivity; or d) signs of D-cracking or damage due to freezing and thawing. These kinds of RCA should probably be avoided for use in concrete where strength or durability are of concern but may be able to be used in other aggregate applications. -The maximum amount of all deleterious materials should be 3% by mass. However, the maximum total amount of ceramic tile, bathroom porcelain, glass, wood, and paper should be 0.10%. It should be noted that ceramic tile, bathroom porcelain, and glass are especially likely to cause AAR and can be found in CDW from building demolition. Plaster, gypsum, and gypsum board are also a significant source of deleterious contamination in RCA and the maximum level should not exceed 1% based on work by Fookes and Collis (1976) where it was determined that maximum acid soluble sulphate content in aggregate should be below 0.4%.</div>	
Recycled Concrete and Asphalt Mix						

Master Municipal Construction Documents (MMCD) <sup>1</sup>		Member jurisdictions <sup>2-10</sup>	Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) <sup>11</sup>	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) <sup>12,13</sup>	CSA Group <sup>14</sup>	ASTM International <sup>15</sup>
Contractual Framework						
Recycled Asphalt						
				- Using RAP in new paving mixes is at the discretion of the contractor. - Contract Special Provisions need to specify language for the RAP use to ensure a project-generated RAP is re-used by the project at a desired location.		
Recycled Concrete						
Recycled Concrete and Asphalt Mix						
Section 31 05 17 – Aggregates and Granular Materials						
2.11 Products: Recycled Aggregate Material						
2.11.1 Aggregates containing recycled material may be utilized if approved by the Contract Administrator. [...]	City of Coquitlam – Delete 2.11.1 and replace with the following Aggregates containing recycled material may be utilized if approved by the Contract Administrator and the City. [...]					

**References:**

- 1 Master Municipal Construction Documents Association. MMCD 2019 Edition. 2019.
- 2 City of Richmond. Supplementary Specifications and Detail Drawings, 2016.
- 3 City of Vancouver. Construction Specifications, 2019.
- 4 City of Surrey. Supplementary Master Municipal Construction Documents: Supplementary General Conditions, 2020.
- 5 City of Coquitlam. Supplementary Specifications Master Municipal Construction Documents, March 2022.
- 6 City of Burnaby. Supplemental Specifications and Detail Drawings, 2021.
- 7 Jackson, Caroline. Report to Council: Climate and Biodiversity Initiatives Update. s.l. : District of North Vancouver, 2022.
- 8 City of Maple Ridge. Design and Construction Documents, Part 1, Design Criteria Manual, June 2023.
- 9 City of Pitt Meadows. Subdivision and Development Servicing Bylaw No. 2589 and amendmenets thereto.
- 10 City of Langley. Design Criteria Manual, 2022.
- 11 Transportation Association of Canada. Best Practices Guide for the Use of Recycled Materials in Transportation Infrastructure. Ottawa : Transportation Association of Canada, 2013. ISBN 978-1-55187-519-4.
- 12 British Columbia Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure. 2020 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction, Volume 1. Victoria : Construction and Maintenance Branch, 2020. ISBN 978-0-7726-7953-6.
- 13 Nyland, Dirk. Technical Circular T-05/17: Use of Reclaimend Asphalt Pavement in Construction and Paving Projects. s.l. : BC Ministry of Transportation, 2017.
- 14 National Standard of Canada. CSA A23.1:19 Concrete materials and methods of concrete construction, Annex O: CSA Group, 2019. ISBN 978-1-4883-0744-7.
- 15 ASTM International. Standard Practice for Reclamation of Recycled Aggregate Base (RAB) Material. West Conshohocken : ASTM International, 2022. D8038 - 16 (Reapproved 2022).

Desktop Review

Member municipalities	They have established publicly-available guidance and/or modified MMCD specifications related to the use of recycled concrete and asphalt aggregates
Village of Anmore	No
Village of Belcarra	No
Bowen Island Municipality	No
City of Burnaby	Yes
City of Coquitlam	Yes
City of Delta	No
City of Langley	Yes
Township of Langley	No
Village of Lions Bay	No
City of Maple Ridge	Yes
City of New Westminster	No
City of North Vancouver	No
District of North Vancouver	No
City of Pitt Meadows	Yes
City of Port Coquitlam	No
City of Port Moody	No
City of Richmond	Yes
City of Surrey	Yes
City of Vancouver	Yes
District of West Vancouver	No
City of White Rock	No

## **Appendix C**

## **Pre-Webinar Summary**





## Concrete and Asphalt Recycling Options Webinar

### Context of the Webinar

Metro Vancouver has retained Stantec to undertake a study to identify and assess opportunities for concrete and asphalt recycling in support of efforts to maximize waste reduction and recycling. As part of this study, Metro Vancouver is hosting a webinar to:



#### Share

- findings related to barriers, opportunities and actions to support the use of concrete and asphalt recycling applications that maximize benefit.
- knowledge on proven and future applications for recycling concrete and asphalt aggregates.




#### Receive Feedback

- from participants on potential opportunities to recycle concrete and asphalt aggregates.
- on potential actions that could be undertaken by Metro Vancouver and interested parties to recycle concrete and asphalt.

### Context of Recycled Aggregate Use in the Metro Vancouver Region\*




#### Quantities Recycled



#### Common uses

- New asphalt
- Road base
- Road sub-base
- Trench backfill
- Other street applications (sidewalks, bike lanes, curbs, and/or gutters)



#### Potential uses

- In new concrete
- In higher road classifications
- To increase % use in design mixes
- In backfill for walls and pipe bedding
- Ideally recycle materials back into their original use

<i>Findings &amp; Implications</i>	<b>Challenges (top ones per interviews)</b>	<b>Opportunities (top ones per interviews)</b>	<b>Potential Actions</b>
<b>Quality</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Variable quality of the final product.</li> <li>Additional testing requirements compared to virgin materials.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improve quality assurance and quality control standards.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Establish clearer and context specific quality requirements.</li> <li>Pre-qualify contractors to ensure they have sufficient experience with recycled aggregates.</li> </ul>
<b>Environmental</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High pH of concrete leachate.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarify environmental regulations with respect to using recycled aggregates.</li> </ul>
<b>Operational</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lack of space to stockpile and process recycled aggregates.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increase the percentage of allowable RAP in asphalt.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Allow the use of recycled concrete aggregates in the concrete in lower traffic loading, non-structural, and/or low-strength contexts.</li> <li>Increase the number of contexts in which the use of recycled aggregates is permitted.</li> </ul>
<b>Regulatory</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MMCD standards for recycled aggregates need to be updated.</li> <li>Different standards applied by municipalities to recycled materials supplied in-house vs by third-party suppliers.</li> <li>Varying regulations among jurisdictions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revise MMCD specifications for recycled aggregates to encourage and increase use.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increase the amount of RAP incorporated in new asphalt.</li> <li>Streamline the process of approving the use of recycled aggregates.</li> <li>Standardize and regularly update regulations on recycled aggregate use across Metro Vancouver.</li> <li>Provide guidance on the use of recycled aggregates in contract provisions for public projects.</li> <li>Minimise modifications to the MMCD's standards on recycled aggregates.</li> </ul>
<b>Change Management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lack of experience using recycled aggregates.</li> <li>Clients and their consultants are wary of real and perceived technical risks.</li> <li>Use of recycled aggregates is at the discretion of the contract administrator per MMCD.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recycled aggregates generally cost less in Metro Vancouver.</li> <li>Education on the use of recycled aggregates is needed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduce the reliance on contract administrator approval of use.</li> <li>Set municipal and regional aggregate recycling targets as part of zero waste and/or circular economy plans.</li> <li>Increase knowledge sharing, education and collaboration between stakeholders.</li> <li>Support additional research and development, collaborations, case studies, and pilot projects in different contexts and environments.</li> <li>Support regular updates to the MMCD's standards on recycled aggregates.</li> <li>Gather and track data on projects that have used recycled aggregates and share lessons learned.</li> </ul>