2020 Lower Fraser Valley Air Quality Monitoring Report





This report was prepared by the staff of the Air Quality and Climate Action Services Division of the Metro Vancouver Regional District ("Metro Vancouver"). The project was managed by Geoff Doerksen with a project team that included Ken Reid, Kyle Howe, and Julie Saxton.

Published: December 2023.

Several government partners are acknowledged for contributing to the monitoring network including: Fraser Valley Regional District, Environment and Climate Change Canada and BC Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy. Other partners acknowledged for providing funding to the monitoring network are: Vancouver Airport Authority, Parkland Refining (BC) Ltd., Trans Mountain Pipeline LP, and Port of Vancouver.

Questions on the report should be directed to <u>AQInfo@metrovancouver.org</u> or the Metro Vancouver Information Centre at 604-432-6200.

Contact us: Metro Vancouver Air Quality and Climate Action Services 4515 Central Boulevard, Burnaby, BC V5H 0C6 604-432-6200 www.metrovancouver.org

Metro Vancouver makes no representation, warranty or guarantee to any third party, either expressly or by implication, regarding the accuracy, currency, completeness, usefulness, merchantability, safety, or fitness for any purpose of any information, product, or process disclosed, described or recommended in this report. Metro Vancouver does not accept any liability arising in any way out of a third party's use of any information, product, or process disclosed, described or recommended in this report. or process disclosed, described or recommended in this report or any liability arising in any way out of a third party's use of any information, product, or process disclosed, described or recommended in this report or any liability arising in any way out of a third party's reliance on any information, statement or recommendation contained in this report. Should third parties use or rely on any information, product, or process disclosed, described, or recommended in this report, they do so entirely at their own risk.

Summary

This annual report summarizes the air quality monitoring data collected by the Lower Fraser Valley (LFV) Air Quality Monitoring Network in 2020 and describes the air quality monitoring activities and programs conducted during the year. The focus is to report on the state of ambient (outdoor) air quality in the LFV.

LFV Air Quality Monitoring Network

Metro Vancouver operates a comprehensive network with stations in Metro Vancouver, as well as stations in the Fraser Valley Regional District (FVRD) in partnership with the FVRD. The LFV Air Quality Monitoring Network includes air quality monitoring stations located from Horseshoe Bay in West Vancouver to Hope. A map of the network is provided in Section C.

Air quality and weather data are collected automatically on a continuous basis, transmitted to Metro Vancouver's Head Office in Burnaby, and stored in an electronic database. The data are then used to communicate air pollutant information to the public, such as through air quality health index (AQHI) values and on airmap.ca.

Air quality monitoring stations are located throughout the LFV to provide an understanding of the air quality levels that residents are exposed to most of the time. This report shows how these levels have varied throughout the region and how these levels have changed over time. Trends in air quality measured by the Air Quality Monitoring Network are used to evaluate the effectiveness of pollutant emission reduction actions undertaken as part of Metro Vancouver's Clean Air Plan¹.

Specialized Air Quality Monitoring

In addition to the monitoring network stations, Metro Vancouver deploys portable air quality stations and instruments to conduct specialized monitoring studies. Specialized studies can target suspected problem areas at the local, neighbourhood or community level. In March 2020, Metro Vancouver's mobile air monitoring unit (MAMU) began a year-long air quality monitoring study at Musqueam's Indian Reserve No. 2 lands in Vancouver. The monitoring will provide information on air quality in the Musqueam community and support Metro Vancouver's Iona Island Wastewater Treatment Plant Biosolids Dewatering Facility project.

Pollutants Monitored

Pollutants are emitted to the air from a variety of human activities and natural phenomena. Once airborne, the resulting pollutant concentrations are dependent on several factors, including the weather, topography and chemical reactions in the atmosphere.

Common air contaminants, including ozone (O_3) , carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and particulate matter are widely monitored throughout the network. Particulate matter is composed of very small particles that remain suspended in the air. They are further distinguished by their size, which is measured in units of a millionth of a metre (or micrometre).

Particles with a diameter smaller than 10 micrometres are referred to as inhalable particulate (PM_{10}), while those smaller than 2.5 micrometres are termed fine particulate ($PM_{2.5}$). Both PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are monitored at stations throughout the LFV.

Other pollutants less widely monitored in the network include black carbon (BC), ultrafine particles (UFP), ammonia (NH₃), volatile organic compounds (VOC), and total reduced sulphur compounds (TRS).

Air Quality Health Index (AQHI)

Developed by Environment and Climate Change Canada and Health Canada, the Air Quality Health Index (AQHI) communicates the health risks associated with a mix of air pollutants to the public and provides guidance on how individuals can adjust their exposure and physical activities as air pollution levels change. The AQHI is calculated every hour using monitoring data from stations in the LFV.

Current AQHI levels in the LFV as well as the AQHI forecasts and additional information about the AQHI are available at <u>airmap.ca</u> and

¹ https://metrovancouver.org/services/air-quality-climateaction/Documents/clean-air-plan-2021.pdf

www.env.gov.bc.ca/epd/bcairquality/readings/aqhitable.xml

Air Quality Objectives and Standards

Several pollutant-specific air quality objectives and standards are used as benchmarks to characterize air quality. They include Metro Vancouver and provincial ambient air quality objectives, and the federal Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone, particulate matter, sulphur dioxide and nitrogen dioxide.

The federal Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) have been established as objectives under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, and replaced Canada-Wide Standards. In 2015, Metro Vancouver adopted a 1-hour interim ambient air quality objective for SO₂ of 75 parts per billion (ppb). After establishment of the federal SO₂ CAAQS, Metro Vancouver's SO₂ objectives were revised in 2017, with a more stringent 1-hour objective of 70 ppb not to be exceeded and an annual objective of 5 ppb.

In 2019, Metro Vancouver aligned its objectives for CO, NO_2 and O_3 with federal and provincial standards. Metro Vancouver adopted a 1-hour and annual ambient air quality objective for NO_2 that is the same as the federal 2020 CAAQS. Similarly, the 8-hour O_3 objective was made the same as the 2020 CAAQS. The 1-hour and 8-hour CO objectives were set to 13,000 ppb and 5,000 ppb respectively, to match the more stringent Provincial objectives.

Priority Pollutants

Research indicates that adverse health effects can occur at the air contaminant concentrations measured in the LFV. Health experts have identified exposure to ozone, particulate matter, and nitrogen dioxide as being associated with the most serious health effects. Ozone is a strong oxidant that can irritate the eyes, nose and throat, and reduce lung function. PM_{2.5} particles are small enough to be breathed deeply into the lungs, resulting in impacts to both respiratory and cardiovascular systems. Nitrogen dioxide can have adverse effects on human health and the environment. It can cause adverse effects on respiratory systems of humans, cause damage to vegetation, and contribute to the formation of ozone. Long-term exposure to these pollutants can aggravate existing health conditions and lead to premature mortality.

Of particular concern is PM_{2.5} that is emitted from diesel fuel combustion in car, truck, marine, rail and non-road engines. These particles ("diesel PM") are carcinogenic and are believed to contribute significantly to the health effects described above. Instrumentation installed in some air quality monitoring stations in the LFV can be used to estimate the proportion of particles that originate from diesel engines.

Research presents evidence of harmful effects of air pollution on health, including mortality, at levels below current objectives and standards for many pollutants including fine particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide and ground-level ozone. These findings suggest there may be no safe levels of exposure to air pollution and there are important public health benefits from continued reductions of air contaminants.

Air Quality Advisories

In 2020, air quality advisories were issued during three separate periods for a total of thirteen days in the summer. There were two separate one-day groundlevel ozone advisories (July and August) and an 11-day fine particulate matter and ozone advisory in September due to wildfire smoke from Washington, Oregon and California. In the last ten years, the number of days when air quality advisories were in place ranged from zero to as many as 22 days annually. Periods of degraded air quality can occur in the LFV for several reasons, such as summertime smog during hot weather or smoke from forest fires. Air quality advisories are issued to the public when air quality has deteriorated or is predicted to deteriorate within the LFV.

Wildfires and Climate Change

In recent years, wildfires in the Pacific Northwest have increased in severity and become more widespread. Wildfires can produce considerable amounts of smoke that can be transported great distances. Both 2017 and 2018 had significant wildfire seasons with 2018 experiencing one of the worst in British Columbia's history, with the largest area burned. Overall fire activity in 2019 and 2020 were both well below the 10year Provincial average. However, in 2020 the region was impacted by wildfire smoke due to active wildfire seasons in Washington, Oregon and California.

Climate projections indicate the region will experience hotter, drier summers and wetter, warmer winters. A warming climate is likely to increase the frequency and duration of wildfires and associated smoke impacts, while also increasing in-region ground-level ozone formation through the intensity and duration of summer heatwaves.

Metro Vancouver's updated air quality management plan, the Clean Air Plan¹, considers the increasing impacts of wildfire activity when developing strategies and actions to reduce health risks for Metro Vancouver residents. In parallel, Metro Vancouver's series of Climate 2050 Roadmaps identify actions to help the region adapt to climate-related impacts on regional air quality.

Visual Air Quality

Visual air quality (sometimes referred to as visibility or haze) can become degraded in the LFV, causing local views to become partially or fully obscured. Haze may have different characteristics depending on the underlying cause. In parts of Metro Vancouver, especially more urbanized areas to the west, haze can have a brownish appearance due to nitrogen dioxide from transportation emissions. Further east in the LFV, impaired visual air quality can be more associated with a white haze caused by small particles (PM_{2.5}) in the air that scatter light.

Monitoring is conducted to assess how and by how much visual air quality has become impaired. Measurements of PM_{2.5}, particle constituents (for example, particulate nitrate, particulate sulphate, elemental carbon and organic carbon), nitrogen dioxide and other air contaminants as well as light scattering provide important data for visual air quality management activities. In 2020, automated digital cameras recorded views along specific lines-of-sight in six locations in the LFV. The camera in one additional location required maintenance, which could not be completed in 2020 due to restrictions related to COVID-19.

By examining photographs alongside data from monitoring equipment, visual air quality impairment can be related to pollutant concentrations and relevant emissions sources. These activities conducted through a multi-agency collaboration (BC Visibility Coordinating Committee) inform the development of policy options for improving visual air quality. Long-term *regional* trends in air quality are the trends observed within the LFV as a whole. They are determined by averaging measurements from several stations distributed throughout the LFV.

Figures S1 to S4 show the average concentrations and the short-term peak concentrations of four common air contaminants for the last two decades. Average concentrations represent the ambient concentrations that the region experiences most of the time. Shortterm peak concentrations show the relatively infrequent higher concentrations experienced for short periods (on the scale of one hour to one day). Specific locations may have experienced trends that differ slightly from the regional picture.

Despite significant population growth in the region over the same time period, actions to reduce emissions across a variety of sectors have brought about these improvements in air quality. Stricter vehicle emission standards and the AirCare program (1992 – 2014) are largely responsible for lower nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and carbon monoxide (CO) levels.



Figure S1: Nitrogen Dioxide Trend.



Figure S2: Sulphur Dioxide Trend.

Regional Long-Term Trends



Figure S3: Carbon Monoxide Trend.

Despite significant population growth in the region over the same time period, actions to reduce emissions across a variety of sectors have brought about these improvements in air quality. Stricter vehicle emission standards and the AirCare program (1992 – 2014) are largely responsible for lower nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and carbon monoxide (CO) levels.

Requirements for reduced sulphur content in marine, on-road and off-road fuels, and reduced emissions from the petroleum refining and cement industries have led to the considerable improvements in sulphur dioxide (SO₂) levels. Emission reductions from light duty and heavy duty vehicles, wood products sectors, and petroleum refining have contributed to the decline in PM_{2.5} levels.

The regional PM_{2.5} trends are illustrated in Figure S4. Wildfire effects are evident in 2017, 2018, and 2020. Fine particulate matter monitoring technology was upgraded in 2013 to continuous particulate monitors that met the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency PM_{2.5} Federal Equivalent Method (FEM). The FEM monitors have the ability to measure a portion of particulate matter not previously measured.

For ozone, the same improvements seen for other pollutants have not been observed. In contrast, average regional ozone levels (Figure S5) have shown a slight increasing trend. Research suggests that background ozone (i.e., ozone from out of region) concentrations are rising and are one reason for the observed increase in average levels.



Figure S4: Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) Trend.



Figure S5: Ozone Trend.

Regionally averaged short-term peak ozone trends are also shown in Figure S5. The severity of peak ozone episodes greatly diminished in the 1980s when annual maximum concentrations were about twice as high as levels today. Short-term peak ozone levels have been mainly unchanged during the last two decades, despite large reductions in emissions of pollutants that contribute to ozone formation.

Metro Vancouver and the Fraser Valley Regional District adopted the Regional Ground-Level Ozone Strategy² in 2014, which provides strategic policy direction for ozone management in the LFV based on local scientific research. Research indicates that a spatial understanding of the ratio of concentrations of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOC), two precursor pollutants that react to form ozone, is key to determining which precursors to reduce in order to maintain and improve air quality in our region.

² https://metrovancouver.org/services/air-quality-climateaction/Documents/regional-ground-level-ozone-strategy-2014.pdf

Ground-Level Ozone – 2020

Monitoring results for all ozone monitoring stations in 2020 are shown in Figure S6. The data show that peak ozone levels, as measured by the maximum 1-hour average and Metro Vancouver's 8-hour objective value, generally occurred in the eastern parts of Metro Vancouver and in the FVRD during sunny, hot weather.

In 2020, Metro Vancouver's 8-hour objective was met at all monitoring stations. The 1-hour Metro Vancouver objective was met at all monitoring stations with the exception of Chilliwack, Abbotsford Airport, Mission, and Pitt Meadows on a combination of two days (July 30 and August 16). Air quality advisories were in effect for three days (July 16, August 16 and September 9) due to elevated levels of ground-level ozone.

Ground-level ozone is a secondary pollutant formed in the air from other contaminants such as nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOC). The highest concentrations of ozone occur during hot sunny weather and can be enhanced by wildfire smoke.

 NO_x emissions are dominated by transportation sources, while VOC are emitted from natural sources (e.g., trees), cars, light trucks, and solvents found in industrial, commercial and consumer products.



Figure S6: Ground-Level Ozone (O₃) 2020.

Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) – 2020

Results for all $PM_{2.5}$ monitoring stations in 2020 are shown in Figure S7. All stations were below (i.e., better than) the Metro Vancouver annual objective of 8 µg/m³, while exceedances of Metro Vancouver's 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ objective occurred at all stations due to smoke in September from extensive wildfires burning in Washington, Oregon and California.

An 11-day air quality advisory was issued on September 8 due to elevated levels of $PM_{2.5}$ in Metro Vancouver and the Fraser Valley. On September 11 for nine straight days all monitoring stations throughout the region were in exceedance of the 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ objective. The highest 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was measured in Hope on September 15 at 200 µg/m³. On September 19 the air

quality advisory was ended due to cleaner marine air entering the region.

Over half of the stations exceeded the 24-hour Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) in 2020. The 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ CAAQS value is calculated by taking the annual 98th percentile daily average concentration, averaged over three consecutive years. The 2020 CAAQS was influenced by active wildfire years in 2018 and 2020.

Fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) emissions are typically dominated by residential wood burning, non-road engines and equipment, and industrial sources. However, impacts of smoke from wildfires outside the region are becoming more apparent.



*Data completeness criteria was not met at this station. The average has been calculated with all available data.

Figure S7: Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) 2020.

Nitrogen Dioxide – 2020

Results for nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) monitoring in 2020 are shown in Figure S8. All stations that measured nitrogen dioxide levels met Metro Vancouver's 1-hour objective. The 1-hour objective value is calculated by taking the annual 98th percentile of the daily maximum 1-hour concentration, averaged over three consecutive years. The annual objective was met at all monitoring stations.

As nitrogen dioxide emissions are dominated by transportation sources, the highest average nitrogen dioxide concentrations are measured in the more densely

trafficked areas and near busy roads. Lower concentrations are observed where these influences are less pronounced, such as the eastern parts of Metro Vancouver and in the FVRD.

 NO_x emissions are dominated by transportation sources, with nearly 77% of emissions coming from cars, trucks, ships, rail, planes, and non-road engines. Of those NO_x emissions, approximately 10% are originally emitted as NO_2 , while the remaining 90% is NO, which rapidly converts to NO_2 .



Annual Average

Annual 98th Percentile of the Daily 1-Hour Maximum, averaged over 3-years



Figure S8: Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) 2020.

Sulphur Dioxide – 2020

Monitoring results for sulphur dioxide (SO₂) monitoring stations are shown in Figure S9. Sulphur dioxide levels were below Metro Vancouver's 1-hour objective and annual objective at all stations in 2020.

Average concentrations of sulphur dioxide in 2020 were less than 1 ppb at all stations. Average levels remain low in 2020 compared with previous years, which can be attributed to stricter marine fuel requirements that came into effect at the beginning of 2015.

Sulphur dioxide is formed primarily by the combustion of fossil fuels containing sulphur. Within the LFV the major

Maximum 1-Hour Average

Annual Average

sources of SO₂ are an oil refinery, marine vessels, a waste to energy facility and two cement plants. The geographical distribution of sulphur dioxide emissions is influenced mainly by the refinery in Burnaby and oceangoing vessels in the marine areas of Burrard Inlet, although in recent years, marine emissions have been reduced substantially. The highest sulphur dioxide levels are typically measured near the Burrard Inlet area. Away from the Burrard Inlet area, sulphur dioxide levels are considerably lower.



Figure S9: Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂) 2020.

Carbon Monoxide – 2020

Carbon monoxide (CO) monitoring results for 2020 are shown in Figure S10. Carbon monoxide levels were all well below the relevant Metro Vancouver air quality objectives at all stations throughout the LFV. The principal source of carbon monoxide continues to be emissions from motor vehicles. However, in 2020 carbon monoxide was highly influenced by wildfire smoke in September resulting in similar 1-hour and 8-hour maximum values at many of the stations. Higher concentrations typically occur close to major roads during peak traffic periods. Like nitrogen dioxide, the highest average carbon monoxide concentrations are measured in the more densely trafficked areas and near busy roads. Lower concentrations are observed where these influences are less pronounced, such as the suburban and rural parts of Metro Vancouver and the FVRD.



*Data completeness criteria was not met at this station. The average has been calculated with all available data.

Note: The scale is broken in the x-axis between 4,500 and 8,000 ppb.

Figure S10: Carbon Monoxide (CO) 2020.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY	S1
LIST OF ACRONYMS	IV
SECTION A – INTRODUCTION	1
PRIORITY POLLUTANTS	
AIR QUALITY TRENDS	
AIR QUALITY ADVISORIES	2
CLIMATE CHANGE	
VISUAL AIR QUALITY	3
AIR QUALITY MEASUREMENTS	4
AIR QUALITY HEALTH INDEX (AQHI)	4
SECTION B – AIR QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND STANDARDS	5
SECTION C – LOWER FRASER VALLEY AIR QUALITY MONITORING NETWORK	7
Network Changes	7
SECTION D – CONTINUOUS POLLUTANT MEASUREMENTS	
Ozone (O3)	
Fine Particulate (PM _{2.5})	20
NITROGEN DIOXIDE (NO2)	27
Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂)	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	
Inhalable Particulate (PM ₁₀)	
Black Carbon (BC)	
Ultrafine Particles (UFP)	51
TOTAL REDUCED SULPHUR (TRS)	53
Аммоніа (NH ₃)	54
SECTION E – NON-CONTINUOUS POLLUTANT MEASUREMENTS	55
SECTION F – VISUAL AIR QUALITY MONITORING	56
SECTION G – METEOROLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS	58
SECTION H – MONITORING NETWORK OPERATIONS	65
Network History	65
Specialized Monitoring Initiatives	65
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT	66
QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL	
SECTION I -WILDFIRES, AIR QUALITY EVENTS AND CLIMATE CHANGE	67

List of Tables

TABLE 1: METRO VANCOUVER'S AMBIENT AIR QUALITY OBJECTIVES.	6
TABLE 2: AIR QUALITY MONITORING NETWORK, 2020.	9
TABLE 3: ANNUAL AND QUARTERLY DATA COMPLETENESS, 2020.	
TABLE 4: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF HOURLY OZONE, 2020.	
TABLE 5: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF 8-HOUR ROLLING AVERAGE OZONE, 2020.	
TABLE 6: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF 24-HOUR ROLLING AVERAGE FINE PARTICULATE (PM2.5), 2020	24
TABLE 7: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF HOURLY NITROGEN DIOXIDE, 2020.	
TABLE 8: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF HOURLY SULPHUR DIOXIDE, 2020.	
TABLE 9: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF 24-HOUR ROLLING AVERAGE INHALABLE PARTICULATE (PM ₁₀), 2020	
TABLE 10: AIR TEMPERATURE IN LFV, 2020.	62
TABLE 11: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF HOURLY AIR TEMPERATURE, 2020.	63
TABLE 12. TOTAL FIRES AND AREA BURNED IN BRITISH COLUMBIA.	67

List of Figures

FIGURE 1: NUMBER OF DAYS OF AIR QUALITY ADVISORIES IN THE LFV.	2
FIGURE 2: LOWER FRASER VALLEY AIR QUALITY MONITORING NETWORK, 2020.	8
FIGURE 3: GROUND-LEVEL OZONE AND NITROGEN DIOXIDE MONITORING STATIONS, 2020.	11
FIGURE 4: FINE PARTICULATE MATTER (PM2.5) MONITORING STATIONS, 2020.	11
FIGURE 5: SULPHUR DIOXIDE MONITORING STATIONS, 2020.	11
FIGURE 6: GROUND-LEVEL OZONE MONITORING, 2020.	14
FIGURE 7: ANNUAL AVERAGE OZONE IN THE LFV, 2020.	14
FIGURE 8: SHORT-TERM PEAK (1-HOUR) OZONE IN THE LFV, 2020.	15
FIGURE 9: SHORT-TERM PEAK (8-HOUR) OZONE IN THE LFV, 2020.	15
FIGURE 10: MONTHLY AVERAGE (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) OZONE, 2020.	16
FIGURE 11: ANNUAL (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) OZONE TREND, 2001 TO 2020.	16
FIGURE 12: DIURNAL TRENDS OZONE, 2020.	19
FIGURE 13: FINE PARTICULATE (PM _{2.5}), 2020.	22
FIGURE 14: ANNUAL AVERAGE FINE PARTICULATE (PM2.5) IN THE LFV, 2020.	22
FIGURE 15: SHORT-TERM PEAK FINE PARTICULATE (PM _{2.5}) IN THE LFV, 2020.	23
FIGURE 16: CANADIAN AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARD VALUE FOR FINE PARTICULATE (PM2.5), 2020.	23
FIGURE 17: MONTHLY AVERAGE (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) FINE PARTICULATE (PM2.5), 2020.	25
FIGURE 18: ANNUAL (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) FINE PARTICULATE (PM2.5) TREND, 2001 TO 2020.	25
FIGURE 19: DIURNAL TRENDS FINE PARTICULATE (PM2.5), 2020.	26
FIGURE 20: NITROGEN DIOXIDE MONITORING, 2020.	28
FIGURE 21: ANNUAL AVERAGE NITROGEN DIOXIDE IN THE LFV, 2020.	29
FIGURE 22: SHORT-TERM PEAK NITROGEN DIOXIDE IN THE LFV, 2020.	29
FIGURE 23: MONTHLY AVERAGE (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) NITROGEN DIOXIDE, 2020.	30
FIGURE 24: ANNUAL (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) NITROGEN DIOXIDE TREND, 2001 TO 2020.	30
FIGURE 25: DIURNAL TRENDS NITROGEN DIOXIDE, 2020.	32
FIGURE 26: SULPHUR DIOXIDE MONITORING, 2020.	34
FIGURE 27: ANNUAL AVERAGE SULPHUR DIOXIDE IN THE LFV, 2020.	35
FIGURE 28: SHORT-TERM PEAK SULPHUR DIOXIDE IN THE LFV, 2020	35
FIGURE 29: MONTHLY AVERAGE (LEFT) AND SHORT-TERM PEAK (RIGHT) SULPHUR DIOXIDE, 2020.	36
FIGURE 30: ANNUAL (LEFT) AND SHORT-TERM PEAK (RIGHT) SULPHUR DIOXIDE TREND, 2001 TO 2020.	36
FIGURE 31: DIURNAL TRENDS SULPHUR DIOXIDE, 2020	37
FIGURE 32: CARBON MONOXIDE MONITORING, 2020.	40
FIGURE 33: ANNUAL AVERAGE CARBON MONOXIDE IN THE LFV, 2020.	40
FIGURE 34: SHORT-TERM PEAK (1-HOUR) CARBON MONOXIDE IN THE LFV, 2020.	41

FIGURE 35: SHORT-TERM PEAK (8-HOUR) CARBON MONOXIDE IN THE LFV, 2020.	41
FIGURE 36: MONTHLY AVERAGE (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) CARBON MONOXIDE, 2020	41
FIGURE 37: ANNUAL (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) CARBON MONOXIDE TREND, 2001 TO 2020.	42
FIGURE 38: DIURNAL TRENDS CARBON MONOXIDE, 2020.	43
FIGURE 39: INHALABLE PARTICULATE (PM10) MONITORING, 2020.	45
FIGURE 40: INHALABLE PARTICULATE (PM10) MONITORING, 2020.	45
FIGURE 41: ANNUAL AVERAGE INHALABLE PARTICULATE (PM10) IN THE LFV, 2020.	46
FIGURE 42: SHORT-TERM PEAK INHALABLE PARTICULATE (PM10) IN THE LFV, 2020.	46
FIGURE 43: MONTHLY AVERAGE (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) INHALABLE PARTICULATE (PM10), 2020	47
FIGURE 44: ANNUAL AVERAGE (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) INHALABLE PARTICULATE (PM10) TREND, 2001 TO 2020.	48
FIGURE 45: DIURNAL TRENDS INHALABLE PARTICULATE (PM10), 2020.	
FIGURE 46: BLACK CARBON MONITORING, 2020.	
FIGURE 47: BLACK CARBON MONITORING, 2020.	50
FIGURE 48: MONTHLY AVERAGE (LEFT) AND SHORT TERM PEAK (RIGHT) BLACK CARBON, 2020.	50
FIGURE 49: DIURNAL TRENDS BLACK CARBON, 2020.	50
FIGURE 50: ULTRAFINE PARTICLE MONITORING, 2020.	51
FIGURE 51: MONTHLY AVERAGE (LEFT) AND SHORT-TERM PEAK (RIGHT) ULTRAFINE PARTICLES, 2020.	
FIGURE 52: DIURNAL TRENDS ULTRAFINE PARTICLES, 2020	
FIGURE 53: TOTAL REDUCED SULPHUR MONITORING, 2020.	53
FIGURE 54: AMMONIA MONITORING, 2020.	54
FIGURE 55: VISUAL AIR QUALITY MONITORING LOCATIONS IN THE LFV, 2020.	
FIGURE 56: IMAGES SHOWING GOOD AND VERY POOR VISUAL AIR QUALITY RATINGS AT THE CHILLIWACK SITE IN AUGUST AND SEPTEMBER 2020	57
FIGURE 57: ANNUAL PRECIPITATION TOTALS IN THE LFV, 2020.	60
FIGURE 58: TOTAL MONTHLY PRECIPITATION IN THE LFV, 2020.	60
FIGURE 59: MONTHLY AIR TEMPERATURES IN THE LFV, 2020	61
FIGURE 60: SELECTED ANNUAL WIND ROSES THROUGHOUT THE LFV, 2020.	61
FIGURE 61: WINTER (JAN, FEB, DEC) REPRESENTATIVE WIND ROSES THROUGHOUT THE LFV, 2020.	62
FIGURE 62: SUMMER (JUN, JUL, AUG) REPRESENTATIVE WIND ROSES THROUGHOUT THE LFV, 2020.	64
FIGURE 63: SPRING (MAR, APR, MAY) REPRESENTATIVE WIND ROSES THROUGHOUT THE LFV, 2020	64
FIGURE 64: FALL (SEP, OCT, NOV) REPRESENTATIVE WIND ROSES THROUGHOUT THE LFV, 2020	64
FIGURE 65: COMPARISON OF EXCEEDANCES DAYS ON FOUR WILDFIRE INFLUENCED YEARS: 2015, 2017, 2018 AND 2020	69
FIGURE 66: SATELLITE IMAGERY TAKEN FROM NASA WORLDVIEW DURING DAYS IMPACTED BY WILDFIRE SMOKE IN 2020	70

List of Acronyms

AQHI	Air Quality Health Index
BC	Black Carbon
BC ENV	British Columbia Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy
BCVCC	BC Visibility Coordinating Committee
CCME	Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
CAAQS	Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard
СО	Carbon Monoxide
FEM	Federal Equivalent Method
FVRD	Fraser Valley Regional District
LFV	Lower Fraser Valley
MAMU	Mobile Air Monitoring Unit
NAPS	National Air Pollution Surveillance
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
NO ₂	Nitrogen dioxide
NO	Nitric oxide
NH_3	Ammonia
O ₃	Ozone
PM	Particulate matter
PM ₁₀	Inhalable particulate matter (particles smaller than 10 micrometres in diameter)
PM _{2.5}	Fine particulate matter (particles smaller than 2.5 micrometres in diameter)
SO _x	Sulphur oxides
SO ₂	Sulphur dioxide
ТНС	Total hydrocarbons
TRS	Total reduced sulphur compounds
UFP	Ultrafine particles
VOC	Volatile organic compounds

This report summarizes data collected from air quality stations in the Lower Fraser Valley (LFV) Air Quality Monitoring Network in 2020 and describes the air quality monitoring activities and programs conducted during the year. The focus is to report on the state of ambient (outdoor) air quality in the LFV.

Metro Vancouver maintains one of the most comprehensive air quality networks in North America serving a large population with air quality stations located from Horseshoe Bay in West Vancouver to Hope. Pollutants monitored by the network include both gases and particulate matter. Common air contaminants include ozone (O_3), carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particulate matter. These are all widely monitored throughout the network.

Particulate matter consists of very small solid and liquid material suspended in the air. This air pollutant is characterized by size and measured in units of a millionth of a metre, or micrometre (μ m). Particles with a diameter smaller than 10 micrometres are referred to as inhalable particulate (PM₁₀), while those smaller than 2.5 micrometres are termed fine particulate (PM_{2.5}). Both PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations are monitored throughout the LFV.

Other pollutants monitored by the network include ammonia, volatile organic compounds (VOC), black carbon, ultrafine particles (UFP) and odourous total reduced sulphur compounds (TRS). Additional information Metro Vancouver collects to help monitor air quality conditions includes weather (meteorological) data and images recording visual air quality conditions (visibility).

Priority Pollutants

Research indicates that adverse health effects can occur at air quality levels commonly measured in the LFV. Health experts have identified exposure to ozone and particulate matter as being associated with serious health effects. Ozone is a strong oxidant that can irritate the eyes, nose and throat, and reduce lung function. Fine particulate (PM_{2.5}) is small enough to be breathed deeply into the lungs, resulting in impacts to both respiratory and cardiovascular systems. Long-term exposure to these pollutants can aggravate existing heart and lung diseases and lead to premature mortality.

Of particular concern is PM_{2.5} that is emitted from diesel fuel combustion in car, truck, marine, rail and non-road engines. These particles ("diesel PM") are carcinogenic and are believed to contribute significantly to the health effects described above. Instrumentation installed in some air quality monitoring stations in the LFV can be used to estimate the proportion of particles that originate from diesel engines.

Air Quality Trends

Improvements have been made in air quality over the last two decades for most pollutants, including nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), and volatile organic compounds (VOC). Despite significant population growth in the region over the same time period, emission reductions across a variety of sectors have brought about these improvements. The population increased in Metro Vancouver and the FVRD by about 50% from 1991 to 2016, from approximately 1.8 million to 2.8 million residents.

The long-term regional trends for ground-level ozone show a different story. Long-term trends of peak ozone concentrations show levels currently lower than those experienced in the 1980s, but peak levels have been largely unchanged over the last fifteen to twenty years. Average concentrations of ground-level ozone however have increased over the same period.

Metro Vancouver and the Fraser Valley Regional District adopted the Regional Ground-Level Ozone Strategy in 2014, which provides strategic policy direction for ozone management in the LFV based on local scientific research. Research indicates that a spatial understanding of the ratio of concentrations of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOC), two precursor pollutants that react to form ozone, is key to determining which precursors to reduce in order to maintain and improve air quality in our region.

Trends in air pollutants are discussed further by pollutant in Section D.

Air Quality Advisories

Periods of degraded air quality can occur in the LFV for several reasons, such as summertime smog during hot weather, smoke from forest fires and winter inversions preventing dispersion of emitted air contaminants. In cooperation with partner agencies, including the Fraser Valley Regional District, Vancouver Coastal Health Authority, Fraser Health Authority, Environment Canada and the BC Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy, Metro Vancouver operates an air quality advisory program.

Air quality advisories are issued to the public when air quality has deteriorated or is forecast to deteriorate significantly within the LFV. Typically air quality advisories are issued when a pollutant exceeds or is predicted to exceed an air quality objective or standard at more than one monitoring location.

In the last ten years, the number of days on which air quality advisories were in place has ranged from zero to twenty-two days annually. Shown in Figure 1 is the historical trend of the number of days the LFV was under an advisory. The total number of advisory days is shown as a bar while the number of consecutive days of an advisory period is given by the number in white.

Air quality bulletins are used to advise the public of the occurrence of localized degraded air quality during cool

weather months and actions that may be taken to reduce the emissions contributing to degraded air quality conditions.

In 2020, air quality advisories were issued during three separate periods for a total of thirteen days in the summer. Two separate one-day ozone advisories were initiated on July 30 and August 16 during hot and sunny weather, due to high concentrations of ground-level ozone in the eastern parts of Metro Vancouver and the Fraser Valley.

On September 8, a fine particulate matter advisory was issued for the both Metro Vancouver and the Fraser Valley due to smoke moving into the region from wildfires burning in Washington, Oregon and California. The following day, September 9, the fine particulate matter advisory was continued and an ozone advisory was added. On September 10, the ozone advisory was ended, and the PM_{2.5} advisory continued despite lower PM_{2.5} concentrations. The following day on September 11, PM_{2.5} concentrations rapidly increased resulting in exceedances at all monitoring station within the region for nine straight days. The 11-day advisory was ended on September 19 due to cleaner marine air flowing into the region.



Notes:

- Trigger levels for advisories have changed over the years; care must be taken when interpreting advisory trends.
- The advisory in 2005 was the result of a large fire in Burns Bog.

Figure 1: Number of days of air quality advisories in the LFV.

Wildfires and Air Quality

In recent years, wildfires in the Pacific Northwest have increased in severity and become more widespread. Wildfires can produce considerable amounts of smoke that can be transported great distances. Both 2017 and 2018 were significant wildfire seasons with 2018 being one of the worst in British Columbia's history, with the largest area burned. Overall fire activity in 2019 and 2020 were both well below the 10-year provincial average. However, in 2020 the region was impacted by wildfire smoke due to active wildfire seasons in Washington, Oregon and California. More information on wildfire smoke impacts are provided in Section I.

Climate Change

Climate projections indicate the region will experience hotter, drier summers and wetter, warmer winters. A warming climate is likely to increase frequency and duration of wildfires and associated smoke impacts, while also increasing in-region ground-level ozone formation through the intensity and duration of summer heatwaves.

A study of the extreme 2017 wildfire season in British Columbia, found that human-induced climate change contributed greatly to the extreme warm temperatures, high wildfire risk, and large burned areas. The conclusions of the study indicated that as the climate continues to warm, it is expected that extreme wildfire seasons like 2017 and 2018 in BC will become more likely in the future.

Public awareness of air quality and health has also grown with the recent summer wildfire smoke impacts. The public has inquired about air quality, health effects, and steps that can be taken to reduce their own health risk during these events. Since 2017, Metro Vancouver has been working with local health authorities, BC Centre for Disease Control, Health Canada, the BC Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy (BC ENV) the FVRD and experts from outside BC to develop communication materials for residents on wildfire smoke health impacts and interventions for reducing these impacts.

Metro Vancouver is also looking at further developing collaborations, such as working with member jurisdictions on provision of clean air shelters, to ensure that people will be better protected from the health impacts of wildfire smoke going forward.

Metro Vancouver's updated air quality management plan, the Clean Air Plan¹, considers the increasing impacts of wildfire activity when developing strategies and actions to reduce health risks for Metro Vancouver residents. In parallel, Metro Vancouver's Climate 2050 Roadmaps³ identify actions to help the region adapt to climate-related impacts on regional air quality.

Visual Air Quality

Degraded air quality can cause views to be partially or fully obscured by haze at times in the LFV. This is referred to as visual air quality impairment.

Throughout the LFV, the contaminant with the greatest impact on visual air quality is $PM_{2.5}$. However, the appearance of haze can also be affected by the presence of a number of other air contaminants. In more urbanized areas in the west, haze may have a brownish colour. Nitrogen dioxide emissions from sources such as transportation contribute to this brown appearance. Further east in the LFV, a white haze can sometimes be observed as a result of small particles in the air ($PM_{2.5}$) scattering light. Secondary $PM_{2.5}$, such as that formed by reactions of NO_x and SO_2 with ammonia, as well as emitted (or primary) $PM_{2.5}$ contribute to this haze. Smoke, windblown dust and soil particles, as well as moisture levels in the air can also affect visibility.

Analysis of the air contaminants present under different visual air quality conditions is being used to understand the factors contributing to visual air quality impairment in the LFV and to develop tools to evaluate visual air quality quantitatively. Data collected as part of the visual air quality monitoring program include measurements of nitrogen dioxide and PM_{2.5}, measurements of the constituents of particulate matter (for example particulate nitrate, particulate sulphate, elemental carbon and organic carbon) and the optical (light scattering) characteristics of ambient air samples.

In 2020, automated digital cameras were used to record visual air quality conditions in several locations. One of the cameras required maintenance which could not be completed in 2020 due to restrictions related to

³ https://metrovancouver.org/services/air-quality-climateaction/climate-2050

COVID-19. Images from the cameras show views along specific lines-of-sight with recognizable topographical features at known distances. The images are archived for various uses, including:

- relating air contaminant measurements to visual range and visual air quality degradation under a variety of air quality and meteorological conditions;
- assessing public perceptions of the different visual air quality conditions found in the LFV; and
- developing visual air quality measurement metrics.

Images from each of the monitoring locations are shown on <u>www.clearairbc.ca.</u>

The monitoring data and images collected provide important input to a collaborative multi-agency initiative to develop a visual air quality management strategy for the LFV. Visual air quality is further discussed in Section F.

Air Quality Measurements

The LFV Air Quality Monitoring Network primarily employs continuous monitors which provide data in real-time every minute of the day. The network also contains specialized air quality monitors that sample the air non-continuously. Non-continuous 24-hour (daily) samples are collected on filters and/or in canisters every sixth or twelfth day depending on the site. The sampling is scheduled in accordance with the National Air Pollution Surveillance (NAPS) program. After sample collection, filters and canisters are analyzed in a federal laboratory to determine pollutant concentrations. Non-continuous samples of volatile organic compounds (VOC) are collected at several sites throughout the LFV. VOC refers to a group of organic chemicals. A large number of chemicals are included in metrovancouver AirMap

this group but each individual chemical is generally present at relatively low concentrations in air compared to other common air contaminants.

Non-continuous particulate samples are collected at four monitoring stations in the LFV where pollutant concentrations are determined. A detailed analysis is conducted by the federal laboratory for these stations (Port Moody, Burnaby South, Abbotsford Airport and Vancouver-Clark Drive).

Chemicals contained in $PM_{2.5}$ and VOC samples are identified and quantified at a federal laboratory. These data can then be used to help determine the emission sources contributing to the contaminants in the air.

Non-continuous measurements are discussed in Section E.

Air Quality Health Index (AQHI)

The national health-based Air Quality Health Index (AQHI), developed by Environment Canada and Health Canada, has been in use since 2008. The AQHI communicates the health risks associated with a mix of air pollutants to the public and provides guidance on how individuals can adjust their exposure and physical activities as air pollution levels change.

The AQHI is calculated every hour using monitoring data from stations in the LFV. Current AQHI levels in the LFV, AQHI forecasts, and additional information about the AQHI are available at:

- <u>airmap.ca</u>
- weather.gc.ca/airquality/pages
- <u>www.env.gov.bc.ca/epd/bcairquality/readings/aqhi-</u> <u>table.xml</u>

No advisories at this time.



Several air quality objectives and standards are used as benchmarks to characterize air quality including the federal Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS), and Metro Vancouver's ambient air quality objectives. Metro Vancouver's ambient air quality objectives are shown in Table 1. The objective or standard is achieved if the ambient concentration is at or lower than (i.e., better than) the objective.

The federal Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) have been established as objectives under Canadian Environmental Protection Act 1999, and replaced the Canada-Wide Standards for fine particulate matter and ground-level ozone. The CAAQS were implemented in 2015 for particulate matter (PM) and ozone (O₃). In 2020, the numerical value of the CAAQS became more stringent for PM_{2.5} and O₃ and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂) were also added. These set specific limits for PM_{2.5}, O₃, NO₂, and SO₂ based on concentrations averaged over a three-year period with the exception of the annual metric, which are averaged over one year.

The CAAQS for $PM_{2.5}$ is a value that is calculated by taking an annual 98th percentile value using daily averages, averaged over three consecutive years. Achievement of the $PM_{2.5}$ CAAQS is attained when the CAAQS value is less than or equal to 27 µg/m³.

The CAAQS for ozone is a value that is calculated by the 4th highest annual 8-hour daily maximum, averaged over three consecutive years. Achievement of the ozone CAAQS is attained when the CAAQS value is less than or equal to 62 ppb.

The NO₂ CAAQS include metrics for both 1-hour and annual averages. The 1-hour CAAQS for NO₂ is a value that is calculated by taking an annual 98th percentile value using daily maximum 1-hour measurements, averaged over three consecutive years. Achievement of the 1-hour NO₂ CAAQS is attained when the CAAQS value is less than or equal to 60 ppb. The annual NO₂ CAAQS is a value of 17 ppb that is compared to the average of all 1-hour concentrations collected within the year.

In 2005, as part of the Air Quality Management Plan, Metro Vancouver adopted health-based ambient air quality objectives for ozone (O_3) , particulate matter

 $(PM_{2.5} \text{ and } PM_{10})$, sulphur dioxide (SO_2) , nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) and carbon monoxide (CO).

In 2009 the provincial government established air quality objectives for $PM_{2.5}$. The province's annual objective is eight micrograms per cubic metre ($\mu g/m^3$) and annual planning goal is six micrograms per cubic metre for $PM_{2.5}$.

An objective or standard is achieved if the ambient concentration is at or lower than (i.e., better than) the objective.

Metro Vancouver aligned its annual objectives for PM_{2.5} in the 2011 Integrated Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Management Plan, as well as adopting a one-hour ozone objective of 82 parts per billion.

Metro Vancouver's 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ objective of 25 μ g/m³ is numerically the same as the province, but compliance with Metro Vancouver's objective requires that there are no exceedances and is applied as a rolling average.

In 2015, Metro Vancouver adopted a 1-hour interim ambient air quality objective for SO_2 of 75 parts per billion (ppb) prior to establishment of the federal SO_2 CAAQS. After establishment of the federal SO_2 CAAQS, Metro Vancouver's SO_2 objectives were revised in November 2017, with a more stringent 1-hour objective of 70 ppb not to be exceeded and an annual objective of 5 ppb.

In 2019, Metro Vancouver aligned its objectives for CO, NO_2 and O_3 with federal and provincial standards. Metro Vancouver adopted a 1-hour and annual ambient air quality objective for NO_2 that is the same as the federal 2020 CAAQS. Similarly, the 8-hour O_3 objective was made the same as the 2020 CAAQS. The 1-hour and 8-hour CO objectives were set to 13,000 ppb and 5,000 ppb respectively, to match the more stringent Provincial objectives.

Several of Metro Vancouver's objectives are intended to be compared with *rolling averages*. A *rolling average* is an average that is calculated by averaging the concentrations from a number of previous consecutive hours. For example, a 24-hour rolling average is calculated by averaging the concentrations measured during the previous 24 hours. A 24-hour rolling average is calculated for each hour of the day. Table 1: Metro Vancouver's ambient air quality objectives.

Ain Contonin out	Averaging	Ambient Air Qu	ality Objective ^a
Air Contaminant	Period	μg/m³	ppb
Carbon monoxide (CO)	1-hour	14,900	13,000
	8-hour ^b	5,700	5,000
Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	1-hour ^c	113	60
	Annual	32	17
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂)	1-hour	183	70
	Annual	13	5
Ozone (O ₃)	1-hour	161	82
	8-hour ^d	122	62
Inhalable particulate matter (PM ₁₀)	24-hour ^b	50	
	Annual	20	
Fine particulate matter (PM _{2.5})	24-hour ^b	25	
	Annual	8 (6 ^e)	
Total reduced Sulphur (TRS)	1-hour (acceptable)	14	10
	1-hour (desirable)	7	5

^a Except where noted, Metro Vancouver objectives are "not to be exceeded", meaning the objective is achieved if 100% of the validated measurements are at or below the objective level.

^b Achievement based on rolling average.

^c Achievement based on annual 98th percentile of the daily maximum 1-hour concentration, averaged over three consecutive years.

^d Achievement based on annual 4th highest daily maximum 8-hour average concentration, averaged over three consecutive years.

^e Metro Vancouver's annual PM_{2.5} planning goal of 6 μ g/m³ is a longer term aspirational target to support continuous improvement.



Section C – Lower Fraser Valley Air Quality Monitoring Network

Metro Vancouver operates the LFV Air Quality Monitoring Network, which consists of air quality monitoring sites located between Horseshoe Bay in West Vancouver and Hope. The locations of the monitoring stations operated in 2020 are shown in Figure 2 while the pollutants and meteorology measured at each station are identified in Table 2.

Air quality monitoring sites are located in both Metro Vancouver and the FVRD. There are also a few stations in Metro Vancouver that provide only weather data. Air quality and weather data are collected automatically on a continuous basis, transmitted to Metro Vancouver's head office in Burnaby, and stored in a database. The data are then used to provide information to the public through the AQHI, Metro Vancouver's website, the BC air quality website, and reports.

Many pollutants measured are discussed in this report with a focus on common air contaminants: particulate matter (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$), ozone (O_3), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) and sulphur dioxide (SO_2).

Comparisons of measured levels of these air contaminants with federal, provincial and Metro Vancouver air quality objectives and standards and an assessment of regional trends are provided in Section D. The locations of SO₂, O₃, NO₂ and PM_{2.5} monitoring in 2020 are shown in Figures 3 to 6.

Portable equipment was used to carry out short-term air quality monitoring studies (specialized studies) in 2020. The equipment employed in specialized studies includes Metro Vancouver's Mobile Air Monitoring Unit (MAMU), which is capable of monitoring gaseous and particulate pollutants in the same way as other monitoring stations in the network. Specialized studies and other monitoring activities undertaken are described in Sections G and H.

Real-time data from the LFV Air Quality Monitoring Network can be accessed on Metro Vancouver's website at: <u>www.airmap.ca</u>

Additional information on the LFV Air Quality Monitoring Network is available in the 2012 report "Station Information: Lower Fraser Valley Air Quality Monitoring Network". This report is available at: www.metrovancouver.org Data completeness for the year 2020 is shown in Table 3. In Table 3 the annual completeness is provided numerically while each quarter is shown as green if completeness for that quarter is greater than or equal to 75%, red if below 75% and white if no data exists.

Network Changes

There are ongoing enhancements to stations and equipment that occur throughout the air quality monitoring network.

Changes to the network in 2020 include:

- Anemometer translator modules were fully retired in 2020, replaced with Campbell Scientific data loggers at all stations where meteorology is measured. All wind measurement data collected from January 1, 2020 onward will no longer require adjustments for calm conditions for use in dispersion modelling. The new loggers are programmed to set the wind speed and wind direction to zero when wind speeds are below the stall speed of the anemometer.
- The Partisol sampler that collected integrated PM_{2.5} measurements from the White Rock station was removed in 2020. Replacement of the integrated sampler with a continuous monitor is being considered.



Figure 2: Lower Fraser Valley air quality monitoring network, 2020.

Table 2: Air quality monitoring network, 2020.

	Otations							Air	Qualit	y Mon	itors					Meteorology						
	Stations					С	ontinu	ious					Non	Contin	uous							
					Gases	;			Р	articu	ate Ma	atter										
ID	Name	SO ₂	TRS	NO ₂	со	O ₃	THC	NH₃	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	BC	UFP	VOC	SP	D	Wind	T _{air}	SR	RH	BP	Precip	
T1	Vancouver-Downtown	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark																
T4	Burnaby-Kensington Park	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
Т6	N. Vancouver-2nd Narrows	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
Т9	Port Moody	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		V	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	
T12	Chilliwack	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
T13	North Delta			\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T14	Burnaby Mountain			\checkmark		\checkmark										\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T15	Surrey East			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T17	Richmond South	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T18	Burnaby South	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
T20	Pitt Meadows	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
T22	Burnaby-Burmount		\checkmark				\checkmark						\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark					
T23	Burnaby-Capitol Hill	\checkmark	√													\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
T24	Burnaby North	\checkmark	√				√		\checkmark				\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	
T26	N. Vancouver-Mahon Park	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
T27	Langley	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
T29	Норе			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T30	Maple Ridge			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark										\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T31	Richmond-Airport	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
T32	Coquitlam			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark										\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
T33	Abbotsford-Mill Lake	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T35	Horseshoe Bay				\checkmark					\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T38	Annacis Island															\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T39	Tsawwassen	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T43	Mission			\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T44	Agassiz			\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T45	Abbotsford Airport	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
T46	New Westminster			\checkmark		\checkmark				V						\checkmark						
T48	Vancouver-Templeton															\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
T49	Vancouver-Portside															\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
T50	Vancouver-Near-Road	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
S133	Vancouver-Pandora	\checkmark																				
1	Total Monitoring Units	18	5	24	19	24	2	4	10	21	8	1	8	3	4	30	29	5	28	8	24	
SO ₂ = sulp	phur dioxide: TRS = total reduced sulph	ur; NQ) =	nitroge	n dioxide	; CO =	carbon	monoxid	e: 0,=	ozone:	THC = t	otal hvdro	ocarbon: I	NH ₃ = amm	ionia;								

PM10 = inhalable particulate matter; PM 2.5 = fine particulate matter; UFP = ultrafine particulate; VOC = volatile organic compounds; SP = particulate speciation;

D = dichotomous particulate; BC = Black Carbon; Wind = wind speed and direction; T air = air temperature; SR = incoming solar radiation; RH = relative humidity;

BP = barometric pressure; Precip = precipitation; $\sqrt{}$ = monitored at this location.

Table 3: Annual and quarterly data completeness, 2020.

			Air Quality Monitors												Meteorology									
5	Stations			G	ases				F	articula	ate Mat	ter												
ID	Name	SO ₂	TRS	NO ₂	со	O ₃	THC	$\rm NH_3$	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	BC	UFP	Wind Spd	Wind Dir	Tair	SR	RH	BP	Precip					
T01	Vancouver-Downtown	97		100	100	98																		
T04	Burnaby-Kensington Park	100	100	93	99	100				97			100	98	100		100							
T06	N. Vancouver-2nd Narrows	100		100	99	100				99	100		100	100	100		100		100					
T09	Port Moody	100	99	99	99	99			98	99	100		100	100	100	100	100		100					
T12	Chilliwack	98		98	98	99		98	99	98	100		100	100	100	100	100	100	100					
T13	North Delta			98		90				94			100	100	100		100		100					
T14	Burnaby Mountain			100		98							99	99	99		99		99					
T15	Surrey East			99	99	99				98			100	100	100		100		100					
T17	Richmond South	97		98	100	100				99			100	100	100		100							
T18	Burnaby South	97		99	97	99			99	99	100		100	100	100		100	100	100					
T20	Pitt Meadows	94		98		98				97	98		100	100	100		100	100	100					
T22	Burnaby-Burmount		96				96						100	100	100									
T23	Burnaby-Capitol Hill	99	96										100	100	100		100							
T24	Burnaby North	98	99				99		5 <mark>4</mark>				98	98	98	98	98		98					
T26	N. Vancouver-Mahon Park	99		100	<mark>7</mark> 4	100				99			18	100	100		100	100	100					
T27	Langley	99		99	98	99			99	97			100	100	100		100	100	100					
T29	Норе			99	99	99			99	97			98	98	98		98		98					
Т30	Maple Ridge			94	94	94							100	100	100		100		100					
T31	Richmond-Airport	99		99	99	99			98	99	98		100	100	100	100	100	100	100					
T32	Coquitlam			100	99	100							100	100	100		100	100	100					
Т33	Abbotsford-Mill Lake	100		99	99	100		99	99	98			100	100	100		100		100					
Т35	Horseshoe Bay				15					85			100	100	100		100		100					
T38	Annacis Island												100	100	100		100		100					
Т39	Tsawwassen	100		100	100	99				99			100	100	100		100		100					
T43	Mission			100		95				98			100	100	100		100		100					
T44	Agassiz			99		98		99		96			100	100	100		100		100					
T45	Abbotsford Airport	100		99	99	99		99	96	99	99		100	100	100	100	100	100	100					
T46	New Westminster			96		96				95			100	100										
T48	Vancouver-Templeton												100	100	100		100							
T49	Vancouver-Portside												100	100	100		100		100					
T50	Vancouver-Clark Drive	99		99	99	99				99	97	99	100	100	100		100							
S133	Vancouver-Pandora Park	90											99	99	99		99	99						

Note: Quarterly completeness \geq 75% is shown in green, < 75% is shown in red, and no data is white, while annual completeness is shown numerically.







Figure 4: Fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) monitoring stations, 2020.



Figure 5: Sulphur dioxide monitoring stations, 2020.

Ozone (O₃)

Characteristics

Ozone (O_3) is a reactive form of oxygen. It is a major pollutant formed when NO_X and reactive volatile organic compounds (VOC) react chemically in the presence of heat and sunlight. Sunlight plays a significant role in O₃ production and as such, local maximum O₃ concentrations are usually experienced during the summer in the LFV.

Naturally occurring O_3 in the upper level of the atmosphere, known as the stratosphere, shields the surface from harmful ultraviolet radiation. However, at ground level, O_3 is a major environmental and health concern. Ozone is a significant oxidant and can irritate the eyes, nose and throat as well as reduce lung function. High concentrations can also increase the susceptibility to respiratory disease and reduce crop yields.

Sources

Ozone is termed a secondary pollutant because it is not usually emitted directly into the air. Instead, it is formed from chemical reactions involving pollutants identified as precursors, including NO_X and reactive VOC. The levels of O_3 measured depend on the emissions of these precursor pollutants.

Nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emissions are dominated by transportation sources. About 60% of the emissions come from cars, trucks, ships, rail and planes. Other sources include non-road engines, boilers and building heating systems.

The main contributors to VOC emissions are chemical products use (industrial, commercial and consumer products such as paints, varnishes and solvents), cannabis production, natural sources (trees and vegetation), cars and light trucks and non-road engines.

The formation of O_3 occurs readily during hot and sunny weather conditions with peak levels observed in the summer. Under these conditions, the highest levels generally occur downwind of major precursor emissions such as in eastern parts of Metro Vancouver and in the FVRD under specific wind conditions. The presence of wildfire smoke can also enhance ozone production.

Monitoring Results

Figures S6 (shown in the previous summary) and 6 illustrate the results of O₃ monitoring in 2020. Figure 6 represents a bubble plot, which shows three types of information: maximum 1-hour, Metro Vancouver's 8hour objective value and annual average concentrations for each ozone monitoring station. In Figure 6 the bubble position on the x-axis denotes the maximum 1-hour average, the position on the y-axis denotes the 8-hour value and the size of the bubble is proportional to the annual average. The Metro Vancouver 1-hour and 8-hour objectives are also provided on the plot as lines and areas of exceedance are shaded grey. The four stations plotted to the right of the 1-hour objective line (82 ppb) all exceeded the 1-hour objective while all stations below the 8-hour objective line (62 ppb) met the 8-hour objective. The same values are represented spatially in Figures 7 to 9.

In 2020, the 8-hour objective was not exceeded, however Metro Vancouver's 1-hour objective was exceeded at four stations due to a combination of local emissions and hot and sunny weather.

In 2020, there were no exceedances of Metro Vancouver's 8-hour objective or the Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard, which both have the same numerical value (62 ppb). Both Metro Vancouver's 8-hour objective and the Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard are calculated as the annual 4th highest daily maximum 8-hour average concentration, averaged over three consecutive years.

Metro Vancouver's 1-hour objective was exceeded at four stations on a combination of two days, with the highest concentration of 99 ppb measured in Mission. The 1-hour objective was exceeded on July 30 at Chilliwack, Abbotsford Airport, and Mission, and on August 16 at Pitt Meadows.

Air quality advisories were in effect for three days (July 16, August 16 and September 9) due to elevated levels of ground-level ozone. The advisory issued on September 9 was based on the expectation of ozone exceedances, however exceedances were not measured after the advisory had been issued.

The Burnaby Mountain station measured the highest average ozone level, which is typical given the station's high elevation on the top of Burnaby Mountain.

The highest short-term concentrations occur in the eastern parts of Metro Vancouver and in the FVRD (Figures 8 and 9). The lowest annual O₃ averages (Figure 7) occur in highly urbanized areas due to O₃ scavenging. Ozone scavenging occurs in locations where higher levels of NO_x are found (e.g. urban areas or near busy roadways). In these areas, emissions containing NO_x, react quickly with O₃ to form NO₂ (nitrogen dioxide) and O₂ (oxygen) thus decreasing O₃ concentrations.

Figure 10 shows the seasonal trend of O_3 with the monthly average provided with highest 1-hour concentration from each month. In both figures, concentrations from selected stations are shown alongside the range of concentrations measured at all stations (shown as a grey band). The seasonal variation evident in Figure 10 is typical of historical ozone trends in the LFV with higher values in spring and summer, and lower values during fall and winter. Since O_3 is produced by photochemical reactions, there is greater production in spring and summer with the presence of sunlight and land- and sea-breeze wind patterns. Spring exhibits the highest average O_3 concentrations (Figure 10 left) while the highest short-term hourly concentrations (Figure 10 right) occur in the summer.

Figure 11 illustrates the long-term annual average O_3 trend in the LFV. The annual average trend is given in the left plot with the short-term peak trend given in right plot for the last two decades. Annual O_3 levels have shown an upward trend in the last several decades. Research indicates that background ozone concentrations are rising and is one factor for observed increases in average levels.

A trend in short-term peak O_3 concentrations (Figure 11 right) is less apparent. Yearly differences are likely related to variability in meteorology, however there doesn't appear to be a trend in peak concentrations. Peak ozone

levels have been mostly unchanged during the last fifteen to twenty years, despite significant reductions in ozone precursor pollutants over the same time period.

Metro Vancouver and the Fraser Valley Regional District adopted the Regional Ground-Level Ozone Strategy in 2014, which provides strategic policy direction for ozone management in the LFV based on local scientific research. Research indicates that a spatial understanding of the ratio of concentrations of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOC), two precursor pollutants that react to form ozone, is key to determining which precursors to reduce in order to maintain and improve air quality in our region.

The values in Tables 4 and 5 represent the frequency distribution (or count) of how many hourly and 8-hour rolling average measurements were in the specified ranges, respectively. The frequency distributions in these tables show how often various O_3 levels are reached. It can be seen that stations located in the eastern parts of Metro Vancouver and in the FVRD measured the greatest frequency of high O_3 concentrations.

A series of diurnal plots are shown in Figure 12 for each O₃ monitoring station. The plots demonstrate the differences between weekdays and weekends along with differences between summer and winter. Most of the stations exhibit similar diurnal trends. In the summer, O₃ concentrations are low through the night and begin increasing near sunrise with the highest (peak) concentration occurring in the afternoon. Examining the timing of the peak shows in general the stations in the west peak first while the stations in the east peak a few hours later with Hope typically experiencing the latest peak in the day. Noon is marked on the figure as a black vertical line for reference. On very hot sunny days, typically during a summertime episode, the O_3 peak occurs later in the day. Winter shows a similar trend of an afternoon peak although it is greatly attenuated compared with the summer.



Note: Stations contained within the grey area denote an exceedance of an objective.

Figure 6: Ground-level ozone monitoring, 2020.



Figure 7: Annual average ozone in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 8: Short-term peak (1-hour) ozone in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 9: Short-term peak (8-hour) ozone in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 10: Monthly average (left) and short term peak (right) ozone, 2020.



Figure 11: Annual (left) and short term peak (right) ozone trend, 2001 to 2020.

entre the																			
10 Januar																			
AS INTROV	3075	1509	1385	1171	818	510	172	62	-	-	-							79	%66
New Class	2974	1291	1168	1002	852	622	372	129	26	5	5	ю						327	%96
ADIC.	1171	1241	1292	1281	1453	1325	717	146	25	20	6	9	2	2				94	%66
ADD ^E	1760	1343	1414	1265	1212	965	518	108	21	15	9	5	ო					148	98%
Josen Jaken	1013	1089	1345	1546	1425	1062	613	183	40	21	17	10	5	ო	-		-	405	95%
IIIN DOGS	613	838	1338	1780	1781	1423	753	185	19	5								49	%66
^{*O} day	1376	1417	1462	1332	1428	1148	451	83	20	12	14	4	2	-				30	100%
TOOL THE DUOL	1857	1588	1639	1376	1195	702	285	81	19	ø	7	4	-	-				21	100%
S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1753	1192	1324	1418	1328	1016	522	142	10	4	e							20	%66
^{side} n	1262	1223	1292	1332	1362	1057	514	169	30	10	10	7	4					496	94%
tie out site	1859	1230	1218	1262	1117	1061	657	193	41	18	6	ი	4					111	%66
W. ISANOSI	1233	973	1239	1494	1549	1305	699	191	32	19	6	5	-					65	%66
Smores N	1289	1354	1578	1651	1414	926	384	136	18	2	2	-						29	100%
UTOS TO	1845	1061	1267	1389	1417	1034	424	107	17	7	5	с	2	-	-			203	98%
UITOS DUO	1154	1445	1662	1794	1477	829	273	78	1	9	2							53	%66
ALL	2090	1051	1220	1294	1314	1043	565	143	17	4	-	-						41	100%
Refundants	697	1113	1418	1560	1461	1212	662	219	32	15	9	2	2					76	%66
elling	87	278	854	1660	2105	2047	1152	316	107	21	6	2	2	-				143	98%
**************************************	1087	1153	1394	1416	1367	924	421	87	9	4	-	-						923	%06
SNOTEN COO	1571	1317	1289	1258	1178	1031	755	217	41	25	1	6	4	-	-			72	%66
THE LUCIESTICOL	2817	1549	1435	1229	942	438	233	41	7	4	5	e	-					62	%66
UNOJ Y TO	2092	1963	1876	1445	916	342	92	ø		2	2	-						43	100%
² UNOC JOND	1210	1569	1627	1579	1416	866	342	115	18		7	-						34	100%
^{(O3} UR7	3239	1642	1347	1136	757	387	109	16	4									147	98%
Conc.	э б	o 12	to 18	to 24	to 30	to 36	to 42	to 48	to 54	to 60	to 66	to 72	to 78	to 84	to 90	to 96	96	sing	ta mpleteness
°°°)	0 ţ	6 tı	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72	78	8	6	ΪÍ	Ň	۵Ö

Table 4: Frequency distribution of hourly ozone, 2020.

anito the																					
top Tomos			_							_											
Lo Marine M	1765	1354	1430	1105	1044	836	538	298	244	66	25	Ф	-							11	%66
Ally DIOR	1696	1256	1155	1008	880	753	633	441	291	192	103	18	7	7	e					341	%96
*0992	403	763	967	981	1035	1012	1075	976	858	460	119	43	19	10	9	ო				54	%66
"Stept	818	066	1068	1098	1053	957	896	759	571	298	80	14	8	5	4	2	2			161	98%
L'ASSE LA	374	601	822	1005	1126	1230	1064	861	636	376	160	63	22	21	S	7	2	2	0	405	95%
"ET IIIN DIC	252	368	606	924	1161	1384	1255	1091	921	532	216	18	9							50	%66
³⁵ PCP	550	884	1129	1144	1031	1067	1078	892	609	255	71	20	19	10	9	-				18	%00
LOCHIN DI	902	1014	1174	1373	1242	1037	940	540	309	148	52	10	1	5	ო	4				20	00% 1
SOD CULLING	881	824	979	1097	1091	1069	947	838	538	292	136	17	7	-						67	99% 1
"A BIDEN	553	757	912	957	1056	1050	1029	884	546	332	145	35	16	1	5	-	ო			489	94%
the solution	934	979	916	882	1028	996	885	757	663	414	148	47	16	8	9	-	4			130	%66
Stew tong	514	627	815	925	1079	1151	1120	666	811	449	155	50	15	12	9	ო				53	%66
SMOD N	521	826	938	1270	1314	1219	1114	781	461	196	106	17	2	2						17	%00
HINOS THE	966	827	827	963	1092	1143	993	937	474	226	80	17	2	ო	5	ო				196	98% 1
HINOS DI TR	477	760	1081	1282	1313	1419	1054	712	368	185	56	13	5	2						57	%66
South Start	1047	834	988	1101	1011	1006	943	758	567	340	124	17	4	4						40	%00
UIEIUNOW -	455	597	815	1002	1177	1159	1052	1013	725	452	178	52	15	1	2	2				77	99% 1
elin eline	10	34	204	433	847	1191	1534	1635	1346	877	282	172	57	15	ი	2	5			131	%66
C 41 ON	488	655	851	1066	1084	1017	1064	778	504	247	77	7	7							939	89%
SMOILEN TOO	684	858	1074	1011	1024	965	866	828	616	490	180	62	23	7	6	4	4	-		78	%66
tie Un to the	1609	1247	1331	1200	1117	877	689	301	191	109	28	8	2	4	ო					68	%66
UN LONG N	955	1393	1511	1554	1297	944	686	259	83	51	2		2	2						45	%00
JUMOCI SOLUTION	430	880	152	304	204	240	063	759	391	203	66	15	S	ო	2					34	00% 1
^{1AROS} UE A	1636	1786	1452	1154	913	747	506	280	112	45	5	ო								145	98% 11
) SSS
O ₃ Conc. (ppb)	0 to 4	4 to 8	8 to 12	12 to 16	16 to 20	20 to 24	24 to 28	28 to 32	32 to 36	36 to 40	40 to 44	44 to 48	48 to 52	52 to 56	56 to 60	60 to 64	64 to 68	68 to 72	>=72	Missing	Data Completene

Table 5: Frequency distribution of 8-hour rolling average ozone, 2020.



Figure 12: Diurnal trends ozone, 2020.

Fine Particulate (PM_{2.5})

Characteristics

The term 'PM_{2.5}' has been given to airborne particles with a diameter of 2.5 micrometres (μ m) or less, also known as fine particulate matter. Given the very small size of these particles, they can penetrate into the finer structures of the lungs. Exposure to fine particulate (PM_{2.5}) can lead to both chronic and acute human health impacts, aggravate pulmonary or cardiovascular disease, increase symptoms in asthmatics and increase mortality. Fine particulate matter is considered by health experts to be an air pollutant of serious concern because of these health effects.

Fine particulate is also effective at scattering and absorbing visible light. In this role $PM_{2.5}$ contributes to regional haze and impaired visual air quality.

Sources

Emissions of $PM_{2.5}$ are dominated by residential wood burning (32%), non-road engines and equipment (15%), and industrial sources (19%)⁴. In addition to local sources, $PM_{2.5}$ can be transported long distances from sources such as wildfires in other parts of western Canada, the US or more distant.

Scientific investigations in the LFV indicate that a proportion of ambient $PM_{2.5}$ is also formed by reactions of NO_X and SO_2 with ammonia in the air (mainly from agricultural sources in the LFV). Fine particulate produced in this manner is called secondary $PM_{2.5}$ and accounts for a percentage of $PM_{2.5}$ in summer. Therefore, emissions of precursor gases of secondary $PM_{2.5}$ are also important sources in the region.

Monitoring Results

The PM_{2.5} annual average, maximum 24-hour rolling average and Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) values are shown in Figures S7 and 13 for 2020. The same values are shown spatially in Figures 14, 15 and 16, respectively.

Over half of stations with sufficient data available to calculate a CAAQS value were found to exceed the

Standard of 27 μ g/m³. Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard values for 2020 ranged from 16 to 36 μ g/m³. The PM_{2.5} 24-hour standard is a value that is calculated by taking an annual 98th percentile value using daily averages, averaged over three consecutive years. The 2020 CAAQS values were also influenced by an active wildfire year in 2018.

All stations were below the Metro Vancouver annual objective of 8 μ g/m³ and all but six stations exceeded the planning goal of 6 μ g/m³. Metro Vancouver's planning goal is a longer term aspirational target to support continuous improvement.

Exceedances of Metro Vancouver's 24-hour PM_{2.5} objective were widespread in 2020 due to smoke from wildfires. An extensive smoke plume extending several hundred kilometers from wildfires in Washington, Oregon and California blanketed our region for 11 days.

Exceedances of Metro Vancouver's 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ objective were widespread in 2020. The region was impacted in September by extensive wildfires burning in Washington, Oregon and California.

Metro Vancouver's 24-hour PM_{2.5} objective was exceeded at three stations on September 8 and an air quality advisory was issued due to wildfire smoke from fires burning in eastern Washington. On September 9 more than half of the monitoring stations exceeded the objective while air quality improved on September 10 with lower PM_{2.5} concentrations.

Fine particulate matter levels increased substantially on September 11 with the arrival of an extensive smoke plume from wildfires burning in Washington, Oregon and California. By early afternoon all monitoring stations throughout the region were in exceedance of Metro Vancouver's PM_{2.5}24-hour objective.

For nine straight days every station within the region experienced elevated levels of $PM_{2.5}$ and exceedances of the 24-hour objective. The highest $PM_{2.5}$ 24-hour average

⁴https://metrovancouver.org/services/air-quality-climateaction/Documents/lower-fraser-valley-air-emissionsinventory-forecast-2015.pdf
was measured in Hope on September 15 with a value of 200 μ g/m³. On September 19 the 11-day air quality advisory was ended due to cleaner marine air flowing into the region.

The impacts of wildfire smoke and climate change are discussed further in Section I.

In addition to wildfire influences, there were other PM_{2.5} exceedances that occurred throughout the year. Exceedances were experienced in Mission on March 21, Mission and Langley on March 22, Abbotsford Airport on April 6, Abbotsford-Mill Lake on October 6, Langley on October 26, Vancouver-Clark Drive on October 31, and Surrey East, Richmond South, New Westminster and Vancouver-Clark Drive on November 1. These exceedances were likely a result of a combination of fireworks, residential wood burning and/or open burning.

Table 6 gives the frequency distribution of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for the year. In 2020, Hope experienced the highest frequency of elevated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, a result of wildfire smoke.

Seasonally, $PM_{2.5}$ levels are typically higher in the summer with the highest values typically experienced during the dry summer months (Figure 17), due to the formation of secondary $PM_{2.5}$ and smoke from wildfire activity. The influence of wildfire smoke is evident in both monthly average and 24-hour maximum rolling average concentrations in September.

Figure 18 illustrates the long-term PM_{2.5} trends in the LFV with annual average and peak concentrations shown respectively. Monitoring technology was upgraded in 2013 to continuous particulate monitors that met the US Environmental Protection Agency PM_{2.5} Federal Equivalent Method (FEM). The FEM monitors have the

ability to measure a portion of particulate matter not previously measured. The short-term peak concentrations reflect the highest levels that occur, represented by the 99th percentile of the 24-hour rolling average for each year. Given that it will take several years to establish a long-term record of PM_{2.5} with the FEM monitor, both the older monitor (TEOM) data and FEM data are shown together.

In Figure 18 the TEOM data is shown as solid lines with a grey band displaying the range of values from all TEOM stations, while the FEM data is shown as dotted lines with an orange band showing the range from all FEM stations.

It is evident that the FEM monitor measures higher $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations compared to the TEOM monitor. Longterm average trends of the TEOM data show that 2015 was not appreciably different than previous years. However, the FEM data shows a step increase compared with the TEOM, which is a result of the FEM monitor's ability to measure some particles not previously measured by the TEOM monitor.

The differences in peak trends from year to year are driven by meteorological variability and wildfire activities. The long-term peak trend shows that 2017, 2018 and 2020, three very active wildfire years, measured much higher peak concentrations compared with other years.

A series of diurnal plots are shown in Figure 19 for each PM_{2.5} monitoring station. Typically, the summer exhibits little diurnal variation while the winter displayed higher PM_{2.5} concentrations in the evenings compared with the daytime. The evenings in winter were likely elevated due to reduced atmospheric mixing depths coupled with regional and local emission sources. North Vancouver-Second Narrows may be influenced by local construction activity in the summer especially on weekdays.





Note: Stations contained within the grey area denote an exceedance of an objective.





Figure 14: Annual average fine particulate (PM_{2.5}) in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 15: Short-term peak fine particulate (PM_{2.5}) in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 16: Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard value for fine particulate (PM_{2.5}), 2020.

entre +																				
~~~.	10AD																			
	UIR SURT	8261	234	22	16	60	8	7	9	7	œ	8	42	20				59		%66
	DIOL NOL	7861	246	17	9	16	38	7	ω	ო	2	1	4	ო	9	ო		550		94%
	the state of the s	8227	261	43	17	23	1 4	7	7	8	30	4	15	6	13			96		66%
	Self Charles	8006	149	ø	35	47	ø	9	8	46	ω	6	12	2				440		95%
4	is shi shi	7978	358	38	ø	55	19	7	9	9	9	35	16	7	18			227		97%
oye Tec	oo, Manest	8487	69	5	15	36	ø	6	7	12	12	29	17					72		%66
""H	Lotor Solor	7081	105	7	35	30	33	7	ω	ω	6	ω	ო	24				1426		84%
	X PUC	8202	235	33	21	52	7	9	S	Ð	7	12	4	S	12			178		98%
	SULLIS A	8334 8	141	5	4	46	1	10	10	12	<b>б</b>	43	22					121		66%
the Log	^o dort	8169	123	9	27	24	24	4	4	17	20	ო	5	7	4	9	12	329		96%
YE IV.	AND	8065	206	55	23	45	6	7	7	8	1	47	15	7				279		97%
Ŷ	MOD. N	8407	108	ø	ø	24	54	13	6	ω	8	1	23	6	1 4	2		78		%66
44	Contraction of the second	3112	143	15	9	99	9	6	6	7	27	29	7	6				331		96%
41no	S DI TR	3415	125	10	12	27	26	10	12	5	9	6	27					100		%66
	Se Street	3366 8	157	46	20	35	10	6	9	12	10	33	35	5				40		%00
	in ter the	170 8	202	41	10	50	17	7	5	7	ø	22	39	17				189		98% 1
	TOC HILON	894 8	172	24	6	22	36	12	5	9	-		10	17	7			569		94%
SMOILE	Renilling	184 7	184	6	33	42	1	7	7	20	13	5	9	5	12			246		3 %26
N DU THE	DAN TO S	268 8	165	1	ø	19	56	6	9	7	ø	6	38	ø	15			157		8% (
do folyst	in The	231 8	238	23	6	ø	43	35	13	5	4	ø	-	12				154		8% 9
	T.S. GELINE	155 8.	98	8	6	12	54	18	8	9	6	8	38	8	15			338		6% 9
	v	8																		s 0
	PM _{2.5} Conc. (µg/m³)	0 to 12.5	12.5 to 25	25 to 37.5	37.5 to 50	50 to 62.5	62.5 to 75	75 to 87.5	87.5 to 100	100 to 112.5	112.5 to 125	125 to 137.5	137.5 to 150	150 to 162.5	162.5 to 175	175 to 187.5	>=187.5	Missing	Data	Completenes





Figure 17: Monthly average (left) and short term peak (right) fine particulate (PM_{2.5}), 2020.



Figure 18: Annual (left) and short term peak (right) fine particulate (PM_{2.5}) trend, 2001 to 2020.



*Data completeness requirements were not met at this site in winter.

Figure 19: Diurnal trends fine particulate (PM_{2.5}), 2020.

Of all the different oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) are of most concern in ambient air quality. Both are produced by the high temperature combustion of fossil fuels, and are collectively referred to as NO_x. Nitric oxide generally predominates in combustion emissions but rapidly undergoes chemical reactions in the atmosphere to produce NO₂.

Nitrogen dioxide is a reddish-brown gas with a pungent, irritating odour. It has been implicated in acute and chronic respiratory disease and in the creation of acid rain. It also plays a major role in ozone formation, and as a precursor to secondary particulate formation (PM_{2.5}), both of which can affect visual air quality in the region.

#### Sources

Common NO_x sources include boilers, building heating systems and internal combustion engines. In the LFV, transportation sources account for approximately 77% of NO_x emissions, with stationary and area sources contributing the remainder.

# **Monitoring Results**

Figures S8 and 20 shows  $NO_2$  monitoring levels in 2020, while Figures 21 and 22 shows the same values spatially. All 1-hour  $NO_2$  concentrations met Metro Vancouver's objective at all stations. Figure 20 shows the annual average, 1-hour maximum and Metro Vancouver's 1hour objective value. Metro Vancouver's 1-hour  $NO_2$ objective level is the same as the 1-hour  $NO_2$  Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard which is calculated by taking an annual 98th percentile value using daily maximum 1-hour measurements, averaged over three consecutive years.

Average levels for the year were below Metro Vancouver's annual objective at all sites.

The majority of nitrogen oxides are from transportation sources such as cars, trucks, rail, planes and ships. These sources play a large role in ozone formation in the summer, which can lead to an air quality advisory.

Emissions affecting NO₂ concentrations are dominated by transportation sources, which is indicated by the locations of the highest concentrations. The highest concentrations are measured in more densely trafficked areas near busy roads. Lower concentrations were observed where traffic influences were less pronounced, such as the eastern parts of Metro Vancouver and in the FVRD.



The seasonal trend for  $NO_2$  in 2020 is shown by monthly averages and the monthly maximum 1-hour concentrations in Figure 23. On average,  $NO_2$ concentrations were higher in the winter and lower in the summer. This seasonal trend is typical of the region and is the result of lower atmospheric mixing heights in winter along with increased residential, commercial and industrial heating that uses fossil natural gas.

The long-term  $NO_2$  trends are shown in Figure 24. The annual average and short-term peak trends are provided in Figure 24 for the last two decades.

The trend for average and peak (99th percentile of 1-hour) concentrations continued to decline for most stations, showing constant improvement in NO₂ levels since the mid 1990's. Long-term changes in air quality can be attributed to changes in emissions while the yearly variation is likely attributable to meteorological variability. The improvements in the long-term trends shown here are largely due to improved vehicle emission standards and the AirCare program, which was operated in BC from 1992 to 2014.

The frequency distribution of hourly concentrations measured in 2020 is given in Table 7. The North Vancouver-Second Narrows experienced the greatest frequency of elevated  $NO_2$  concentrations likely due to construction activities that were present near the monitoring station.

A series of diurnal plots are shown in Figure 25 for each station that monitors NO₂. The plots demonstrate the differences between weekdays and weekends along with differences between summer and winter. Most stations exhibit higher concentrations on weekdays compared with weekends and show a peak in the morning along with a peak in the afternoon. Higher concentrations correspond relatively well with traffic volume patterns.



Note: Stations contained within the grey area denote an exceedance of an objective.

#### Figure 20: Nitrogen dioxide monitoring, 2020.



Figure 21: Annual average nitrogen dioxide in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 22: Short-term peak nitrogen dioxide in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 23: Monthly average (left) and short term peak (right) nitrogen dioxide, 2020.



Figure 24: Annual (left) and short term peak (right) nitrogen dioxide trend, 2001 to 2020.

enil yriel																			
1981141, Cites	14	79	71	93	32	66	38	32	97	6	5	3	_	_		_	5		%
LICHIN MAN	7 60	32 11	31 13	36 15	53 13	17 10	6 76	8 48	5 15		N	-	-	-		-	4 7		% 99
· _{DID} · V	0 53	5 143	5 163	156	135	3 101	55	24	85	27	9	-	-				32		° 96
the sea	383	) 235	4 139	674	310	113	36	ω	-	-							61		666
u _{qi} s	335(	239(	1484	887	393	125	28	9									121		, 99%
Her In Stemme	3962	2562	1168	600	273	134	40	14	ო	-							27		100%
MN DIST	4797	2079	939	485	252	132	52	13	-								8		100%
LUS US	2678	2842	1534	881	439	224	82	38	12	-							53		%66
OCHE DUOL	2282	2917	1676	996	541	230	84	27	16	с	-						41		100%
SEDIA STATIST	2049	1975	1386	1098	810	611	407	229	97	33	ø	-					80		%66
Iden .	3108	2660	1308	660	338	134	57	17	5	с							494		94%
The Choppen Tain	3862	2551	1482	625	145	20	4	7	-								92		%66
W. ISANOSI	4247	2725	1110	471	126	48	9	0									49		%66
SMODE V	1880	2698	1810	1031	640	367	194	69	48	7	2						8		100%
410 W HIN	3349	2532	1315	721	383	200	79	37	11	4	2	-					150		98%
NOS DUOLU	1134	2480	2029	1387	831	474	234	92	27	10							86		%66
	2476	1937	1204	927	781	622	433	171	48	7							174		98%
Thom the	2884	2675	1343	875	501	229	123	55	11								88		%66
SING LING	3291	3339	1294	482	184	89	42	18	4								39		100%
*Jen the	1858	2365	1554	1104	690	470	296	155	54	20			-				217		98%
MOHEN OF TOOOD	2886	2754	1676	829	323	127	38	6	ო					-			138		98%
tike to shoot	1066	2498	2018	1523	940	419	159	53	28	8	ო						69		%66
UMOJ HANG	860	2436	2127	1343	785	511	323	179	80	49	33	11	4	-	-	-	40		100%
² INOC BN	1507	2957	1875	1036	464	217	89	22	9	2							609		93%
100 LER	218	1380	1628	1571	1513	1141	795	381	108	22	5	-					21		%00
		•	•	•															less 1
NO ₂ Conc. (ppb)	0 to 4	4 to 8	8 to 12	12 to 16	16 to 20	20 to 24	24 to 28	28 to 32	32 to 36	36 to 40	40 to 44	44 to 48	48 to 52	52 to 56	56 to 60	>=60	Missing	Data	Completen

Table 7: Frequency distribution of hourly nitrogen dioxide, 2020.



Figure 25: Diurnal trends nitrogen dioxide, 2020.

Sulphur dioxide  $(SO_2)$  is a colourless gas with a pungent odour. It reacts in the air to form acidic substances such as sulphuric acid and sulphate particles.

Brief exposure to high concentrations of SO₂ and its byproducts can irritate the upper respiratory tract and aggravate existing cardiac and respiratory disease in humans. Long-term exposure may increase the risk of developing chronic respiratory disease.

The environmental effects of  $SO_2$  and its reactive products have been studied for many years. These compounds can cause damage to vegetation and buildings, they play a role in the formation of acid rain and they may affect the natural balance of waterways and soils. Sulphur oxides ( $SO_x$ ) including  $SO_2$  can also combine with other air contaminants to form the fine particulates ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) that are thought to be one of the contributing factors in the degradation of visual air quality in the region.

#### Sources

Sulphur dioxide is emitted when fossil fuels containing sulphur are burned. The largest source of SO₂ emissions in the region an oil refinery, marine vessels, a waste to energy facility and two cement plants. The geographical distribution of sulphur dioxide emissions is influenced mainly by a petroleum refinery in Burnaby and oceangoing vessels in the marine areas of Burrard Inlet, although in recent years, marine emissions have been reduced substantially.

Local SO₂ emissions are low relative to other cities of similar size because fossil natural gas and electricity, rather than coal or oil, is used in almost all residential, commercial and industrial heating in the region.

### **Monitoring Results**

Sulphur dioxide levels measured in 2020 are shown in Figures S9 and 26. Figure 26 displays the maximum 1-hour and annual average concentrations for each SO₂ monitoring location. The same values are represented spatially in Figures 27 and 28.

Sulphur dioxide levels were below Metro Vancouver's 1hour objective and annual objective at all stations in 2020. The annual average  $SO_2$  levels were less than 1 ppb at all stations. Average levels remained low in 2020 compared with previous years and can be attributed to stricter marine fuel requirements that came into effect at the beginning of 2015.

Average sulphur dioxide levels have improved significantly in recent years due to stricter requirements for lower sulphur content marine fuels.

The highest levels of  $SO_2$  are typically measured in the northwest (Figures 27 and 28), particularly close to the dominant sources of  $SO_2$  emissions (i.e., the petroleum refinery, marine vessels, and port areas) in the Burrard Inlet area.

There is little or no discernible seasonal trend in SO₂ concentrations throughout the year (Figure 29). The stations nearest to Burrard Inlet generally experienced the highest average concentrations through most of the year while the highest 1-hour measurements were recorded at Port Moody in May.

The long-term  $SO_2$  trends in the LFV are shown in Figure 30. Average sulphur dioxide levels have improved significantly in recent years due to stricter requirements for lower sulphur content marine fuels. Overall, the yearly variation can be attributed in part to meteorological variability while the major long-term changes in air quality are mainly a result of changes in emissions.

Long-term trends provide information to help assess the impact of emission reduction efforts, policy changes and technology advances. For example, emissions of SO₂ declined during the early 1990s due to reduced sulphur content in on-road fuels and reduced emissions from oil refining and cement industries. In recent years, measurements of both the annual short-term peak (99th percentile of the 1-hour values) and the annual average are markedly lower than they were in the 1990s.

A series of diurnal plots are shown in Figure 31 for each SO₂ monitoring station. The diurnal plots illustrate the weekday/weekend differences along with summer/winter differences. Stations located away from Burrard Inlet show little diurnal variation while stations located near the inlet show trends indicative of nearby emission sources.

The diurnal patterns of  $SO_2$  measured near Burrard Inlet in the summer are mainly influenced by wind flow and marine and oil refinery emissions. Port Moody experiences higher concentrations during the middle of the day in summer when winds are blowing from marine areas and the oil refinery toward the station.

Stations historically influenced by marine vessel emissions such as North Vancouver-2nd Narrows and North Vancouver-Mahon Park show attenuated levels compared with previous years. The Burnaby-Capitol Hill and Burnaby North stations show diurnal variation with sporadic peak  $SO_2$ concentrations during the morning and evening periods when mixing layer depth is reduced and dispersion is limited. Measurements of  $SO_2$  at these stations are influenced by their proximity to the oil refinery.

The values in Table 8 represent the frequency distribution (or count) of how many hourly average measurements were in the specified ranges, respectively. It is evident that stations located near the Burrard Inlet area experience a greater occurrence of higher concentrations compared with areas away from the Inlet.



Note: For clarity, some stations have not been labelled due to several stations clustered together.

#### Figure 26: Sulphur dioxide monitoring, 2020.



Figure 27: Annual average sulphur dioxide in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 28: Short-term peak sulphur dioxide in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 29: Monthly average (left) and short-term peak (right) sulphur dioxide, 2020.



Figure 30: Annual (left) and short-term peak (right) sulphur dioxide trend, 2001 to 2020.



Figure 31: Diurnal trends sulphur dioxide, 2020.





Carbon monoxide (CO) is a colourless, odourless and tasteless gas produced by the incomplete combustion of fuels containing carbon. It has a strong affinity for haemoglobin and thus reduces the ability of blood to transport oxygen. Long-term exposure to low concentrations may cause adverse effects in people suffering from cardiovascular disease.

## Sources

Carbon monoxide is the most widely distributed and commonly occurring air pollutant. The principal sources are non-road engines and motor vehicles. In the LFV, over 91% comes from mobile sources, which include cars, trucks, buses, planes, trains, ships and non-road engines. Other sources contributing to measured CO levels are building heating, commercial and industrial operations, and smoke from wildfires.

# **Monitoring Results**

Figures S10 and 32 illustrate the results of CO monitoring in 2020 for stations with sufficient data completeness. Figure 32 displays the maximum 1-hour and 8-hour average as well as the annual average for each CO monitoring location. The same results are represented on maps in Figures 33, 34 and 35.

Measured carbon monoxide levels were well below Metro Vancouver's objectives at all stations throughout the LFV. Typically, the highest concentrations occur in the west where highly urbanized areas experience large volumes of traffic.

Average levels remained low throughout the LFV with the lowest readings recorded at stations away from heavily trafficked areas.

With the majority of CO released from cars, trucks, buses and non-road engines, significant improvements have occurred in the last two decades due to improved vehicle emission standards and vehicle emissions testing.

The seasonal trends for CO in 2020 are plotted as monthly average and maximum 1-hour concentrations in Figure 36. Typically average CO concentrations are higher in the winter compared with the summer, however the influence of wildfire smoke in September is evident in the monthly average concentration (left) as well as the monthly 1-hour maximum (right).

Figure 37 illustrates the long-term average and peak CO trends in the LFV. Some year-to-year variation is evident in the peak trends, however long-term changes in air quality are mainly attributed to changes in emissions. Improvements in both the average and the short-term peak concentrations (99th percentile of the 1-hour values) appear to be leveling off in recent years.

In the LFV average levels have decreased dramatically since the early nineties. Declining CO concentrations are largely due to improved vehicle emission standards and the AirCare program, which was operated in BC from 1992 to 2014.

A series of diurnal plots are shown in Figure 38 for each station that monitors CO. Most stations exhibit higher winter concentrations on weekdays compared with weekends, with many stations showing a large peak in the morning that corresponds relatively well with morning traffic patterns.

Stations that appear to be strongly influenced by CO emission sources such as traffic include Vancouver-Clark Drive where a well-defined peak is evident in the mornings on weekdays during the winter.



Notes:

- Air contaminant levels shown here are well below (i.e., better than) air quality objectives.
- For clarity, some stations have not been labelled due to several stations clustered together.

Figure 32: Carbon monoxide monitoring, 2020.



Figure 33: Annual average carbon monoxide in the LFV, 2020.







Figure 35: Short-term peak (8-hour) carbon monoxide in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 36: Monthly average (left) and short term peak (right) carbon monoxide, 2020.



Figure 37: Annual (left) and short term peak (right) carbon monoxide trend, 2001 to 2020.



*Data completeness requirements were not met at this site in summer.

Figure 38: Diurnal trends carbon monoxide, 2020.

The term 'PM₁₀' refers to airborne particles with a diameter of 10 micrometres ( $\mu$ m) or less. These particles are also known as inhalable particulate matter which, given their small size, can be inhaled and deposited in the lungs.

Exposure to  $PM_{10}$  can lead to both chronic and acute human health impacts, particularly pulmonary function. Inhalable particulate can aggravate existing pulmonary and cardiovascular disease, increase symptoms in asthmatics and increase mortality. High  $PM_{10}$  levels can also increase corrosion and soiling of materials, and may damage vegetation. The smaller particles also contribute to degraded visual air quality.

# Sources

Inhalable particulate is emitted from a variety of sources with the largest contribution from construction and demolition activities (23%) followed by residential wood burning (21%). Other major contributors to PM₁₀ are industrial sources, and non-road engines and equipment. There are also natural sources of PM₁₀ such as windblown soil, wildfires, ocean spray and volcanic activity.

# **Monitoring Results**

Figures 39 and 40 illustrates the  $PM_{10}$  monitoring in 2020, while Figures 41 and 42 shows the same values spatially. Annual averages ranged from 10.0 to 12.3 µg/m³, which are all below Metro Vancouver's annual  $PM_{10}$  objective.

Widespread exceedances of Metro Vancouver's 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  objective were experienced in 2020. The Metro Vancouver 24-hour objective was exceeded on September 11 at all stations with the exception of Hope, which exceeded on September 12. All stations exceeded the objective each day until September 18 with the exception of Burnaby-South, Richmond-Airport, and Abbotsford-Airport, which exceeded until September 17. As discussed in the  $PM_{2.5}$  section, the 2020 year was heavily impacted by extensive wildfires burning in Washington, Oregon and California.

On October 31, the Langley station exceeded the short-term  $PM_{10}$  objective for one day, which was likely related to firework emissions on Halloween.

Improvements in PM₁₀ concentrations have occurred in the last two decades, however widespread exceedances were measured in September 2020 due to wildfire smoke.

Table 9 gives the frequency distribution of  $PM_{10}$  concentrations for the year. It can be seen that Hope and Burnaby North experienced the greatest frequency of elevated  $PM_{10}$  concentrations.

The seasonal trend of monthly average PM₁₀ was similar to previous wildfire years, with the highest concentrations occurring during hot and dry periods of the summer (Figure 43). The highest average and peak level concentrations were experienced in the month of September. These trends were a result of wildfire smoke impacts to the region.

The long-term  $PM_{10}$  trends (2001 to 2020) are shown in Figure 44 with the annual average trend provided on the left and the short-term peak trend is on the right. The annual average  $PM_{10}$  trend shows a general improvement in the last 20 years. The peak trend, represented by the 99th percentile of the 24-hour rolling average shows a trend of degradation in the most recent years where wildfire impacts have been experienced (2015, 2017, 2018 and 2020). The years 2017, 2018 and 2020 were influenced by widespread wildfire smoke that covered the region. The 2005 peak was the result of a large fire in Burns Bog in Delta.

A series of diurnal plots are shown in Figure 45 for each  $PM_{10}$  monitoring station. The plots show the differences between weekdays and weekends along with differences between summer and winter.

Historically most stations exhibit higher concentrations on weekdays than weekends, likely the result of greater traffic volumes (road dust) and work related activities (outdoor burning, agricultural activities, industrial processes, etc.).

Maximum 24-Hour Rolling Average Annual Average



Figure 39: Inhalable particulate (PM₁₀) monitoring, 2020.



Note: Stations contained within the grey area denote an exceedance of an objective.

#### Figure 40: Inhalable particulate (PM₁₀) monitoring, 2020.



Figure 41: Annual average inhalable particulate (PM₁₀) in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 42: Short-term peak inhalable particulate (PM₁₀) in the LFV, 2020.

								2		ate at
				Uth	ANT IN			Airpo	Mill	AITPO
		000	dt .	3 ⁵⁰ .	AND .	4		nor	toro.	KOTO.
PM10 Conc.	1 h		No uno	N. whe	N. M	ົ່ຈ	. chi	, v	⁵¹	<b>o</b> `
(ug/m3)	<i>م</i> .	Q,	Q.r.	QU.	$\sqrt{2}$	*0,	6×0	b ₀ ,	Þ _O .	
0 to <12.5	6574	7428	7822	3290	7395	7571	7006	7476	5989	
12.5 to <25	1638	1070	725	1124	1035	911	1417	1049	2081	
25 to <37.5	56	41	34	79	52	19	83	25	155	
37.5 to <50	17	23	12	17	51	20	25	22	28	
50 to <62.5	8	29	8	9	40	22	41	15	53	
62.5 to <75	36	34	51	10	47	26	26	53	15	
75 to <87.5	37	9	11	55	14	27	8	10	8	
87.5 to <100	7	6	11	15	8	6	10	5	9	
100 to <112.5	7	11	7	8	7	6	8	6	6	
112.5 to <125	6	23	6	4	7	14	7	4	11	
125 to <137.5	5	24	8	7	6	26	7	5	43	
137.5 to <150	6	8	6	6	8	5	8	38	13	
150 to <162.5	29	5	32	8	48	4	7	22	13	
162.5 to <175	21	6	15	36	15	4	30	11	3	
175 to <187.5	8	7	11	8	8	5	26	7		
187.5 to <200	10		8	9		6	6			
200 to <212.5				7		11				
>=212.5										
Missing	319	60	17	4092	43	101	69	36	357	
Data										
Completeness	96%	99%	100%	53%	100%	99%	99%	100%	96%	

Table 9: Frequency distribution of 24-hour rolling average inhalable particulate (PM₁₀), 2020.



Figure 43: Monthly average (left) and short term peak (right) inhalable particulate (PM₁₀), 2020.



Figure 44: Annual average (left) and short term peak (right) inhalable particulate (PM₁₀) trend, 2001 to 2020.



*Data completeness requirements were not met at this site in summer.

Figure 45: Diurnal trends inhalable particulate (PM₁₀), 2020.

Black carbon (BC) is carbonaceous material formed by the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels, biofuels, and biomass, and is emitted directly in the form of fine particles (PM_{2.5}). BC is a major component of "soot", a complex light-absorbing mixture that also contains some organic carbon.

The terms black carbon and soot are sometimes used interchangeably. Although BC has a very short residence time in the atmosphere (about a week), it is a strong absorber of solar radiation and can absorb much more energy than carbon dioxide (CO₂). As a result, BC is considered a "short-lived climate forcer". Black carbon contributes to the adverse impacts on human health, ecosystems, and visibility associated with fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}).

#### Sources

Mobile sources are the largest contributors of BC emissions in the LFV, emitting over 80% of the BC emissions in the region. Non-road engines (primarily diesel fuelled), heavy duty vehicles, rail and marine vessels are significant sources of BC emissions. Other

Maximum 1-Hour Average



Figure 46: Black carbon monitoring, 2020.

significant sources in the region are biomass burning activities, including agricultural burning, open and prescribed burning, wildfires and residential heating.

## **Monitoring Results**

Figures 46 and 47 illustrates the results of continuous BC monitoring for 2020. Figure 46 displays the value of the maximum 1-hour and 24-hour average as well as the annual average for each station with the same information shown in a bubble plot in Figure 47.

There are no provincial, federal or Metro Vancouver objectives for black carbon. The highest 1-hour average BC concentration occurred at North Vancouver-Second Narrows.

In Figure 48 the seasonal trends for BC shows average values higher in September with the highest peak level occurring in July and September.

Black carbon is generally greater on weekdays compared with weekends, shown in Figure 49. This trend is especially evident at the Vancouver-Clark Drive station where greater amounts of BC are measured in the winter and on weekdays compared with weekends.







Figure 48: Monthly average (left) and short term peak (right) black carbon, 2020.



Figure 49: Diurnal trends black carbon, 2020.

Ultrafine particles (UFP) consist of a combination of suspended solids and liquid droplets having aerodynamic diameters less than 0.1 microns (100 nanometers). These particles are measured based on their numbers (units of  $10^3 \text{ #/cm}^3$ ) in the atmosphere rather than fine particulate matter that is measured based on its mass ( $\mu$ g/m³).

Ultrafine particles are relatively short-lived, as compared to longer-lived PM_{2.5} particles, which may persist in the atmosphere for up to several weeks. The short lifetime for UFP results from their very high number concentrations upon emission. Levels may peak near strong UFP sources such as busy freeways. These exceptionally concentrated UFP rapidly agglomerate (stick together) with each other and with larger particles (e.g. PM_{2.5}) to yield particles with diameters larger than 0.1 microns. Agglomeration, dispersion, and advection are the dominant atmospheric processes determining the UFP spatial distribution. Deposition (settling onto surfaces) plays a minor role in the UFP spatial distribution because gravity does not have a strong influence on UFP. Typically, the UFP level decreases exponentially to reduced levels within 500 metres of a strong source.

# Sources

There are several sources of UFP, including manufacturing, combustion sources, and nucleation events. It is generally recognized that smaller particles are more harmful to human health. Unlike larger particles, UFP can penetrate pulmonary tissue, enter the bloodstream, and circulate throughout the body. Thereby, UFP can damage a number of internal systems that may be inaccessible to larger particles.

# Maximum 1-Hour Average Annual Average Vancouver-Clark Drive 21 105 0 50 100 150 Concentration (10³ #/cm³)

Figure 50: Ultrafine particle monitoring, 2020.

## **Monitoring Results**

Ultrafine particle monitoring has not been conducted in the region prior to a near-road air quality monitoring study. The results from the near-road monitoring study are the first collected in the Metro Vancouver region due to availability of new monitoring technology and interest in these particles from a health perspective.

Figure 50 illustrates the results of continuous UFP monitoring for 2020. The figure displays the value of the maximum 1-hour and annual average for the single UFP station. There are currently no federal, provincial or regional air quality objectives for UFP.

In Figure 51 the seasonal trends for UFP shows average values higher in the winter months with the highest peak level occurring in February and lowest peak and average in May.

Ultrafine particles are generally greater on weekdays compared with weekends, shown in Figure 52. The winter weekday trend is the most prominent with a peak count of ultrafine particles in the morning corresponding with traffic.



Figure 51: Monthly average (left) and short-term peak (right) ultrafine particles, 2020.



Figure 52: Diurnal trends ultrafine particles, 2020.

Total reduced sulphur (TRS) compounds are a group of sulphurous compounds that occur naturally in swamps, bogs and marshes. They are also created by industrial sources such as pulp and paper mills, petroleum refineries and composting facilities. These compounds have offensive odours similar to rotten eggs or rotten cabbage, and at high concentrations can cause eye irritation and nausea in some people.

#### Sources

Most public complaints regarding these odours are associated with composting facilities and with the petroleum refining and distribution industry located along Burrard Inlet. A few periodic inquiries also occur as a result of natural emissions from such locations as Burns Bog in Delta.

#### **Monitoring Results**

Figure 53 illustrates the TRS measurements in 2020. Average levels continued to be near or below detectable limits. Peak levels during 2020, indicated by the maximum 1-hour concentrations, exceeded the Desirable Objective for a total of fifteen hours and the Acceptable Objective for three hours. The occurrences of elevated TRS are of short duration and generally during the night or early morning. The exceedances occurred in January, February, September, November and December.



Figure 53: Total reduced sulphur monitoring, 2020.

Ammonia (NH₃) can contribute to the formation of fine particles when chemical reactions occur between ammonia and other gases in the atmosphere including sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). The resulting ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulphate particles are efficient at scattering light and can impair visual air quality with a white haze.

## Sources

The largest contribution to ammonia in the LFV comes from the agriculture sector. The majority of ammonia emissions come from cattle, pig, and poultry housing, land spreading and storage of manure, and fertilizer application.

# **Monitoring Results**

Continuous measurements of ammonia were made at four sites in the monitoring network in 2020. The 2020 data are presented in Figure 54, shown as the maximum 1-hour average, maximum 24-hour rolling average and annual average ammonia concentrations. There are no applicable objectives for ammonia.

Continuous measurements of ammonia began in 2005. Due to the relatively short period for which data are available, no clear long-term trend in ammonia is evident.



#### Figure 54: Ammonia monitoring, 2020.

Non-continuous samples are collected in accordance with the National Air Pollution Surveillance (NAPS) program. After collection, samples are transported to and analyzed in a federal laboratory in Ottawa to determine pollutant concentrations.

Analysis results of non-continuous (integrated) sampling from the federal laboratory can take considerable time. Therefore, analysis of non-continuous results will be conducted when available and appended to this report.

# **Particulate Sampling**

Non-continuous 24-hour (daily) PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ samples are collected on filters every sixth day depending on the site. Non-continuous particulate samples are collected at a few monitoring stations in the LFV and pollutant concentrations are determined. A detailed analysis is conducted by the federal laboratory for some of these stations (Port Moody, Burnaby South, Abbotsford Airport and Vancouver-Clark Drive).

Using specialized PM speciation instrumentation, additional detailed information about the chemical composition of  $PM_{2.5}$  is obtained from a subset of stations in the network (Burnaby South, Abbotsford Airport and Vancouver-Clark Drive) as a result of analyses carried out by the federal NAPS program. From the 24-hour samples collected at these sites, the various compounds that form  $PM_{2.5}$  are identified.

# Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) refers to a combination of organic chemicals. A large number of chemicals are included in this group but each individual compound is generally present at relatively low concentrations in air compared to other common air contaminants. The gaseous VOC present in the air can originate from direct emissions and from volatilization (*i.e.* changing into the gas phase) of substances in the liquid or solid phase.

Locally, some VOC can be pollutants found in urban smog and are precursors of other contaminants present in smog such as ozone and fine particulates. Some materials in this class (*e.g.* carbon tetrachloride) can contribute to depletion of the stratospheric ozone layer and may contribute to climate change. Other VOC (*e.g.* benzene) can pose a human health risk.

Sources of VOC in Metro Vancouver include, but are not limited to emissions from the combustion of fossil fuels, industrial and residential solvents and paints, vegetation, agricultural activities and cannabis production, petroleum refineries, fuel-refilling facilities, the burning of wood and other vegetative materials, and large industrial facilities.

Under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act some VOC are included in the Toxic Substances List.

Emissions of some VOC are managed under permits and industry-specific regulations within Metro Vancouver.

Non-continuous 24-hour (daily) sampling of VOC is conducted every sixth or twelfth day on a national schedule at several sites in the LFV. In cooperation with the federal National Air Pollution Surveillance (NAPS) program, canister sampling of VOC has been conducted in the LFV since 1988. Canisters sent to the federal laboratory are analyzed for up to 175 VOC. These data can then be used to help determine the emission sources contributing to contaminants in the air.

In addition to the canister sampling, continuous measurements of total hydrocarbons (THC) are made at the Burnaby North and Burnaby-Burmount stations (results not shown). Both of these are adjacent to petroleum industry facilities.

Network history and collaboration with the federal government on non-continuous sampling are provided in Section H.

When light between an object and the eye of an observer is scattered and/or absorbed by particles and gases in the air, views can look hazy or even be fully obscured. The term visual air quality refers to the impacts air contaminants have on our ability to see through the atmosphere, affecting the appearance of views including the distance at which the elements of a scene can be clearly seen. It does not refer to the direct effects of clouds, fog, rain or mist on a view.

Visual air quality studies conducted in the LFV have concluded that the major contributor to visual air quality impairment in the LFV is PM_{2.5} and have shown that visual air quality degradation occurs at relatively low air contaminant concentrations, below Metro Vancouver's ambient air quality objectives for PM_{2.5}. However, the effects of visual air quality impairment can have different characteristics in different locations within the airshed due to the air contaminants present.

For example, in more urbanized areas of the western LFV, nitrogen dioxide emitted when fuels are burned

contributes to the yellow-brown discolouration of the view. Further east in the LFV, visual air quality impairment usually occurs as white haze due to the presence of PM_{2.5}. Sources of particulate matter contributing to visual air quality impairment include anthropogenic activities as well as natural sources such as windblown dust, soil, sea salt and smoke.

# **Monitoring Program**

To assess visual air quality in the LFV, Metro Vancouver, FVRD, BC Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy (BC ENV) and Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) jointly established a visual air quality monitoring network and reporting metrics. Continuous measurements of light scattering and the species responsible for light absorption are complemented by particulate speciation sampling, meteorological measurements and images of views along specific linesof-sight. Measurements of views or both views and air contaminants are typically made at the monitoring locations identified in Figure 55.



Figure 55: Visual air quality monitoring locations in the LFV, 2020.
Light scattering measurements are made using nephelometers for visual air quality analysis in five locations. Aethalometers and nitrogen dioxide analyzers are also located at these sites and are used to characterize light absorption. Analysis of monitoring data to reconstruct light extinction has indicated that scattering by particles generally has the most influence on visual air quality in the LFV. Modelling work has determined that the highest contributions to extinction, and consequently visual air quality degradation, in the LFV on the most impaired visual air quality days are generally from particulate nitrate and organic matter. However, observations have shown that intense wildfire smoke can also cause severe impairment. The extent of the influence of other species, such as particulate sulphate, on visual air quality degradation is dependent on meteorological conditions.

Automated digital cameras are operated at the locations shown in Figure 55. Cameras in Chilliwack, Abbotsford, Pitt Meadows, Burnaby, Richmond and Lions Bay provided images in 2020. The camera in Vancouver did not provide images in 2020. The camera in Vancouver required maintenance, which could not be completed in 2020 due to restrictions related to COVID-19. Images are captured at 10 or 30 minute intervals along specific linesof-sight with recognizable topographical features at defined distances.

## **Visual Air Quality Pilot Project**

A visual air quality pilot project was established in the LFV by the BC Visibility Coordinating Committee (BCVCC). The BCVCC was established in 2006 and is a collaborative venture between Metro Vancouver, FVRD, ECCC, Health Canada and BC ENV. An objective of the pilot project is to determine the actions necessary to protect and improve visual air quality in the LFV.

- The establishment and ongoing operation of a visual air quality monitoring network;
- The development of a visual air quality reporting tool and recommendations for a visual air quality goal;
- The identification of the causes and impacts of impaired visual air quality in the LFV;
- An improvement of our understanding of the economic drivers for visual air quality management; and
- The creation of a strategy to engage and inform stakeholders and members of the public about visual air quality issues.

## Visual Air Quality Rating

The visual air quality rating (VAQR), with descriptors of excellent, good, fair, poor or very poor, is the reporting metric developed by the BCVCC, to enhance outreach about visual air quality in the LFV and to provide mechanisms to track changes in visual air quality. The VAQR was launched in 2015 and was reported at sites shown in Figure 55 in 2020.

The VAQR reflects residents' perceptions of visual air quality conditions. Historical images from visual air quality monitoring network cameras were used to survey residents in Metro Vancouver and FVRD to relate perceived visual air quality to measured air contaminant concentrations and the estimated resulting optical characteristics of the atmosphere along the line-of-sights to the views.

Visual air quality conditions recorded by the camera in Chilliwack in 2020 are shown in Figure 56.

Images from the visual air quality monitoring cameras can be viewed at: <u>http://www.clearairbc.ca/community</u>.

Key components of the pilot project include:



Figure 56: Images showing good and very poor visual air quality ratings at the Chilliwack site in August and September 2020.

#### Purpose

An understanding of meteorology is integral to understanding and forecasting air quality and visual air quality patterns. The state of the atmosphere determines pollutant dispersion and the resultant ground-level concentration. Meteorology is observed at LFV air quality monitoring network stations for several purposes:

- To allow for a characterization of meteorological patterns throughout the LFV.
- To assist with the linkage between pollutant emission sources and ambient concentrations.
- To provide data to be used as input in dispersion modelling.
- To provide real-time data to numerous agencies including Environment Canada, which are used for weather and air quality forecasting in the region.

It should be noted that the LFV network's primary purpose is for the collection of air quality measurements and secondary purpose is for meteorological observation. Attempts have been made to site meteorological instruments to provide representative observation, however due to restrictions at some stations, not all instruments are sited to capture spatially representative measurements.

#### **Monitoring Program**

Various meteorological parameters are observed as part of the LFV air quality monitoring network (see Section C Table 2). Meteorological parameters observed in the network include:

- wind speed and direction
- air temperature
- relative humidity
- precipitation
- barometric pressure
- incoming solar radiation
- net radiation

Wind speed and direction observations allow for the characterization of pollutant transport and dispersion and are used to understand the relationships between pollutant sources and measurements at air quality monitoring stations.

Air temperature and incoming solar radiation measurements can be used to determine the potential for ozone formation during the summer. Ozone concentrations are dependent on sunshine to cause photochemical reactions among air pollutants. Higher air temperatures are necessary for these reactions to occur.



Humidity is important in the formation and growth of visibility reducing particles, and its measurement is a key to understanding the many factors responsible for visual air quality degradation.

Precipitation can remove particles from the atmosphere and may help explain differences in air quality from one part of the region to another. In addition, precipitation data are used by Metro Vancouver's Wastewater Collection and Watershed Management functions.

## **Meteorological Observations**

Figure 57 shows the annual precipitation totals for 2020 at Lower Fraser Valley air quality monitoring network stations. The greatest precipitation was observed near the local mountains. Historical 30-year climate normals (1981-2010) obtained from Environment Canada are also shown in Figure 57 for several stations. Figure 58 displays the seasonal variation as observed by the LFV air quality network stations (shown as a gray band). Historical 30year climate normals (1981-2010) obtained from Environment Canada are also shown in Figure 58 for Vancouver International Airport, Port Moody and Chilliwack.

Compared to climate normals, monthly precipitation in 2020 was drier in February, March, April, and October, and was wetter in January and December.

Figure 59 illustrates the seasonal variation of air temperatures observed throughout the monitoring network stations. The hourly maximum and minimum, daily maximum and minimum, and average temperatures are given with the range in values shown as bands. Also shown in Figure 59 are the 30-year climate normals (1981-2010) for Environment Canada's Vancouver International Airport and Agassiz stations.

The data observed in 2020 indicate that average temperatures recorded in January, February, March, June and July were cooler than the 30-year average. During these months, lower averages and daily minimums were experienced compared with the climate normals. The lowest air temperatures were measured in January. September and December were on average warmer than normal. The highest air temperatures were measured in August.

Table 10 provides the average temperature along with the lowest and highest hourly air temperatures observed throughout the year. Air temperatures are milder near the water and exhibit a greater range inland. The highest hourly temperature in 2020 was 35.0°C observed at Maple Ridge and Burnaby-Burmount.

Table 11 gives the frequency distribution of hourly air temperature for the year. Stations located inland, such as those in eastern parts of Metro Vancouver and the Fraser Valley Regional District exhibit the greatest frequency of both very low and high air temperatures.

Wind patterns vary between stations as shown by the frequency distributions in Figure 60. The distributions are shown as a "wind rose", which is a bar chart in a polar format. The direction of the bar indicates the direction from which the wind is blowing, the colour indicates the wind speed class and the length of the bar indicates the frequency of occurrence.

Figure 60 shows observed annual wind roses for selected stations including (in order of west to east): Horseshoe Bay, Richmond-Airport, Burnaby North, Pitt Meadows, Abbotsford Airport, Chilliwack, and Hope. The patterns shown during 2020 reflect the predominant winds in those areas. Richmond exhibits a predominant easterly wind with a smaller component from the west, and very little wind from either the north or south. Horseshoe Bay shows wind patterns aligned with Howe Sound with a strong north-south component.

The climate of the region in 2020 included wetter than normal winter months, a cool and dry spring, a normal summer with a hotter than normal September and typical fall.

Burnaby North shows several northerly wind components along with a predominant east-north east component. This wind pattern is reflective of the North Shore mountain wind flows and drainage flow from Indian Arm. Pitt Meadows shows a somewhat similar pattern with predominant directions from the valleys of Pitt Lake and Alouette Lake. Abbotsford, Chilliwack and Hope experience similar wind flow patterns, with strong east-west components driven by the channelling of winds in the narrower portion of the Fraser Valley.

Figures 61 to 64 show wind roses for winter, summer, spring and fall, respectively. The contrast between winter and summer can be seen in Figures 61 and 62 with winds predominantly from the east in winter switching to southwest in summer. The more westerly flow seen in the summer is the development of a daytime sea breeze during anti-cyclonic (high pressure) weather.



Figure 57: Annual precipitation totals in the LFV, 2020.



Note: The range of values observed at LFV air quality network stations are shown as a blue band and Environment Canada climate normals are shown as dotted lines.

#### Figure 58: Total monthly precipitation in the LFV, 2020.





Note: LFV air quality network stations are shown as colour bands and Environment Canada 30-year climate normals are shown as dotted lines.

Figure 59: Monthly air temperatures in the LFV, 2020.



Figure 60: Selected annual wind roses throughout the LFV, 2020.

#### Table 10: Air temperature in LFV, 2020.

Station	Hourly Maximum	Hourly Minimum	Annual Average			
	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)			
Burnaby-Burmount	35.0	-9.0	11.5			
Maple Ridge	35.0	-10.3	10.5			
Coquitlam	34.7	-9.4	10.7			
Chilliwack	34.6	-13.5	10.8			
Abbotsford-Mill Lake	34.6	-11.9	10.6			
Agassiz	34.6	-13.4	11.1			
Pitt Meadows	34.5	-9.9	10.4			
Mission	34.2	-13.0	10.5			
Abbotsford Airport	34.2	-13.2	10.4			
Норе	34.1	-15.4	10.2			
Burnaby-Kensington Park	33.8	-8.7	10.6			
North Delta	33.5	-10.1	10.2			
Annacis Island	33.4	-8.2	11.6			
Langley	33.4	-11.1	10.3			
Burnaby North	33.2	-8.6	10.6			
Surrey East	33.2	-10.5	10.7			
Vancouver-Clark Drive	32.9	-7.8	11.2			
Burnaby South	32.7	-9.3	10.7			
Burnaby-Capitol Hill	32.5	-10.1	9.4			
N. Vancouver-Mahon Park	31.7	-8.0	10.5			
Vancouver-Templeton	31.5	-8.9	10.7			
Burnaby Mountain	31.3	-10.8	9.2			
Richmond South	31.2	-8.9	10.2			
Port Moody	30.9	-9.3	10.6			
N. Vancouver-2nd Narrows	30.9	-7.7	10.8			
Horseshoe Bay	29.5	-6.9	10.5			
Tsawwassen	29.5	-9.6	10.1			
Vancouver-Portside	29.3	-7.5	11.1			
Richmond-Airport	28.8	-8.4	10.7			



Figure 61: Winter (Jan, Feb, Dec) representative wind roses throughout the LFV, 2020.

enic y.																						
PISTE TO TO TO																						
LOJ HAINOS				34	33	118	527	1375	1639	1103	1356	1187	773	446	143	43	2		2			100
Jody Strong				31	35	28	406	1507	1742	1089	1413	1318	827	295	82	5						100
N DIOISO				51	22	108	689	1556	1459	1109	1378	1185	760	348	94	19	2		4			100
APR It.		16	47	44	23	178	754	1537	1291	1181	1447	1045	583	369	146	65	19	с	36			90.6
, top		14	34	37	40	118	658	1536	1380	989	1302	1092	737	433	253	96	32	e	30			99.7
L'assent		20	4	36	3	207	882	1380	1292	1194	1373	1051	626	386	171	99	18	4	7			99.9
The Stop			2	52	18	151	724	1358	1639	1250	1630	1186	569	164	33	5						100
ate The also				44	25	50	422	1260	1730	1054	1405	1389	789	421	140	45	œ	2				100
W DIO STORY				20	29	53	704	1762	1372	1061	1345	1412	670	223	77	14			42			99.5
HOOL HERING			55	42	31	194	730	1607	1220	1105	1425	1088	623	393	168	63	21	с	16			99.8
XX BUOU			S	53	17	128	789	1580	1337	1124	1365	1107	627	371	176	64	16	4	21			99.8
SOUT SIT				49	22	68	490	1543	1633	1101	1528	1288	722	255	54	7			24			99.7
the att			27	31	12	263	766	1570	1268	1157	1362	991	606	359	199	62	90	4	18			99.8
UCURAN, TOIR	6	63	37	32	37	198	1062	1581	1021	988	1234	941	666	370	225	86	29	9	199			97.7
to the second			37	34	46	226	777	1440	1355	1294	1414	964	579	362	140	65	17	с	31			9.66
[*] ON _T N				43	18	91	710	1630	1449	1147	1434	1172	686	291	87	19	2		5			99.9
INH TON STREET				45	22	74	707	1675	1412	1070	1319	1114	702	305	108	26	4	-	200			97.7
Unou Sale				44	22	64	684	1667	1423	1066	1322	1096	714	311	108	28	4	-	230			97.4
the the			17	40	18	248	1168	1801	1123	1225	1210	966	568	258	79	22	4		7			99.9
MODENN.				48	4	4	434	1464	1614	1186	1325	1151	763	423	206	65	19	4	27			99.7
HIN TOL			13	46	53	260	789	1554	1302	1176	1356	982	586	357	185	72	25	4	24			99.7
S DIOUIII			e	49	16	74	606	1680	1462	1176	1376	1152	676	351	113	36	5		3			100
HETUS TO .				57	33	230	630	1571	1456	1180	1527	1113	632	263	68	14	2		8			99.9
OW THEI			33	31	38	118	676	1537	1405	1171	1418	1097	634	383	133	59	15	2	34			99.6
			28	21	20	245	1304	1584	1297	1338	1091	929	514	235	6	14	4		70			99.2
SMOLIN SMOLIN			26	38	28	173	769	1682	1345	1188	1420	1040	572	320	113	39	8	-	22			99.7
The Tool In the the		21	99	32	27	166	735	1684	1158	991	1342	1079	694	385	248	86	8	2	19			99.8
VUOJEUIS, TOOLES			e	53	18	249	783	1373	1405	1069	1382	1188	069	363	155	39	2		6			99.9
HARRY N				26	37	93	699	1491	1516	1093	1414	1207	752	341	111	21	-		12			99.9
M⊗				49	20	64	709	1758	1346	1149	1344	1119	664	379	125	43	6	2	4			100
Air Temp (deg C)	< -15	-15 to -12	-12 to -9	-9 to -6	-6 to -3	-3 to 0	0 to 3	3 to 6	6 to 9	9 to 12	12 to 15	15 to 18	18 to 21	21 to 24	24 to 27	27 to 30	30 to 33	>=33	Missing	Data	Completeness	(%)

Table 11: Frequency distribution of hourly air temperature, 2020.

2020 Lower Fraser Valley Air Quality Monitoring Report 63



Figure 62: Summer (Jun, Jul, Aug) representative wind roses throughout the LFV, 2020.



Figure 63: Spring (Mar, Apr, May) representative wind roses throughout the LFV, 2020.



Figure 64: Fall (Sep, Oct, Nov) representative wind roses throughout the LFV, 2020.

# **Network History**

Air monitoring in the region began in 1949, when the City of Vancouver established a dustfall monitoring network. Monitoring for total suspended particulate was added in later years. Following the Pollution Control Act (1967), provincial air quality programs initiated monitoring of dustfall and total suspended particulate in other areas of the region.

In 1972, provincial and municipal air quality responsibilities were transferred to Metro Vancouver, including operation of air quality monitoring programs. In 1998, a Memorandum of Understanding established cooperative management of the monitoring network by both Metro Vancouver and the Fraser Valley Regional District.

Continuous monitoring of gaseous pollutants began in 1972 under the auspices of the federal National Air Pollution Surveillance (NAPS) program. Several new stations were established to measure  $SO_2$ ,  $O_3$ , CO,  $NO_x$  and VOC. Over the years, stations and equipment have been added or removed in response to changing air quality management priorities. Mobile Air Monitoring Units and portable instruments provide added flexibility to carry out measurements at many locations. Some monitoring is part of co-operative programs with industry and other governments.

# **Specialized Monitoring Initiatives**

Specialized air quality monitoring studies complement the monitoring network. The studies typically allow for characterization of air quality at finer spatial scales, such as at the neighbourhood scale, and allow investigation of air quality problems on the local scale. The regional monitoring network may not be ideally suited to address local scale issues and therefore performing specialized local air quality studies is an important component to characterizing air quality in the LFV.

A Mobile Air Monitoring Unit (MAMU) that is capable of monitoring particulate and gaseous pollutants along with meteorology is utilized throughout the region to conduct specialized air quality studies. In addition to MAMU, Metro Vancouver utilizes small mobile units along with several portable air quality monitors.

In March 2020, Metro Vancouver's mobile air monitoring unit (MAMU) began a year-long air quality monitoring study at Musqueam's Indian Reserve No. 2 lands in Vancouver. In cooperation with Musqueam's public works department, MAMU was located beside the Musqueam Cultural Pavilion, near the shore of the north arm of the Fraser River. The monitoring will provide information on air quality in the Musqueam community and support Metro Vancouver's Iona Island Wastewater Treatment Plant Biosolids Dewatering Facility project.

A specialized study site was established at Metro Vancouver's Waste-to-Energy facility in Burnaby, in late 2020. The specialized study site includes the measurement of NO₂, SO₂ and hydrochloric acid (HCl) as well as meteorological data. As part of the study, HCl measurements were also added to the Burnaby South monitoring station.

## **Monitoring Network Partners**

Several partners contribute to the on-going management and operation of the Lower Fraser Valley Air Quality Monitoring Network. The government partners include:

- Fraser Valley Regional District
- Environment and Climate Change Canada
- BC Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy

Other monitoring network partnerships:

- The Vancouver International Airport Authority provides partial funding for the Vancouver International Airport station (T31).
- Parkland Refining (BC) Ltd. provides funding for the Burnaby North (T24) and Capitol Hill (T23) stations.
- Trans Mountain Pipeline LP provides funding for the Burnaby-Burmount (T22) station.
- Port of Vancouver provides funding for the Tsawwassen (T39) station in Delta.

Metro Vancouver continues to operate and maintain the monitoring stations and equipment, and to collect realtime data from the regional monitoring network on behalf of all partners.

# **Federal Government**

Metro Vancouver co-operates with the federal government by providing field services for three major nation-wide sampling programs under the National Air Pollution Surveillance (NAPS) program of Environment Canada.

- Canister sampling of VOC has been conducted in the LFV since 1988. The federal government supplies equipment and Metro Vancouver staff provide field exchange of canisters, calibration and routine maintenance. Sample canisters are sent to the federal laboratory in Ottawa, for analysis of up to 175 VOC.
- A second program involves dichotomous particulate sampling at three sites where two size fractions: 10 to 2.5  $\mu$ m (coarse), and under 2.5  $\mu$ m (fine) are collected every sixth day for detailed chemical analysis in Ottawa.
- A PM_{2.5} speciation sampling program, initiated in 2003, includes sampling at the Vancouver-Clark Drive, Burnaby South and Abbotsford Airport stations where samples are taken every sixth day and sent to Ottawa to be analyzed for various particulate species.

# **Quality Assurance and Control**

Air quality monitoring data is regularly reviewed and validated. Technicians perform regular inspections and routine maintenance of the monitoring equipment and stations. In addition, technicians perform major repairs to any instrument in the network, as required. Through the data acquisition system, technicians can check on instruments remotely prior to site visits. This system also allows for calibration of the instruments either automatically or upon demand.

Continuous air quality monitors are subject to performance audits and multi-point calibration every four to six months. In addition, all other instruments in the network are subjected to annual and/or biannual calibrations. All reference materials and quality control procedures meet or exceed Environment Canada and/or US Environmental Protection Agency requirements. Metro Vancouver coordinates quality assurance procedures and activities with both the provincial and federal government.



# Section I – Wildfires, Air Quality Events and Climate Change

In recent years, wildfire activity has increased in severity, become more widespread and linked to a changing climate. Wildfires produce considerable amounts of smoke that can be transported great distances. Wildfire smoke is a complex mixture of many gases and small particles. The mixture can change quickly depending on the weather, what is burning, the temperature of the fire, and how far the smoke has travelled. Of all the pollutants in wildfire smoke, fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) poses the greatest risk to human health.

Locally, the presence of wildfire smoke can result in two differing outcomes for ground-level ozone production. Wildfire smoke can either enhance or inhibit ozone production depending on the amount of smoke present. The mixture of chemical contaminants in wildfire smoke includes ozone precursors, which can enhance ozone production. A past study by Teakles et al. (2017)⁵ indicated that a wildfire smoke event in 2012 was responsible for an enhancement of 8-hour ozone concentrations at coastal BC sites by as much as 10 ppb. Conversely, if wildfire smoke becomes dense enough, the smoke can block solar radiation, decrease air temperatures and inhibit the production of ozone. Both effects of inhibition and enhancement of ozone due to smoke have been experienced in the LFV.

## Wildfires and Air Quality

Historically, episodes of degraded air quality due to smoke from wildfires outside the region have been infrequent. Since 2015, however, wildfire smoke impacts have increased significantly. In 2015 eight air quality advisory days occurred due to wildfire smoke, primarily associated with large fires north of Pemberton. There were no air quality advisories in 2016 or 2019, while 2017, 2018 and 2020 experienced significant and lengthy smoke-related air quality impacts due to wildfires burning throughout the Pacific Northwest, including BC. There were 19 advisory days in 2017, 22 advisory days in 2018, and 13 advisory days in 2020.

In 2018, the total number of fires in British Columbia were nearly twice as many as 2017. In 2018, the total area burned was over three times greater than the 10-year

average with 13,543 km² burned (Table 12). The 2018 wildfire season was one of the worst in British Columbia's history, with the largest area burned. This led to the most air quality advisory days that the region has experienced in a single year.

In both 2019 and 2020, the total number of fires and area burned was well below the 10-year average in BC. However, in 2020 Washington, Oregon and California states had active wildfire seasons.

Year	<b>Total Fires</b>	Area Burned (km ² )
2015	1,858	2,806
2016	1,050	1,004
2017	1,353	12,161
2018	2,117	13,543
2019	825	2,114
2020	670	1,454
10-year average	1,352	3,489

# Table 12. Total fires and area burned in BritishColumbia.

Figure 65 shows summertime exceedance days due to elevated  $PM_{2.5}$  in four wildfire years: 2015, 2017, 2018 and 2020. The colour corresponds to the maximum  $PM_{2.5}$  24-hour rolling average with dark red signifying concentrations greater than 150  $\mu$ g/m³.

In 2020, after a quiet wildfire season in BC with below average wildfire activity, the Lower Fraser Valley was severely impacted by smoke in September from wildfires burning in Washington, Oregon and California (Figure 66).

On labour day (September 7) a chain of events including extreme winds, hot temperatures and record drought conditions led to explosive wildfire growth in Washington, Oregon and California resulting in some of the largest fires on record in these states.

On September 8 smoke from wildfires burning in eastern Washington reached some parts of the Lower Fraser Valley and Metro Vancouver issued an air quality advisory due to elevated levels of fine particulate matter. Metro

⁵ Teakles, A.D., So, R., Ainslie, B. et al. (2017) Impacts of the July 2012 Siberian fire plume on air quality in the Pacific Northwest. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 17, pp. 2593-2611.

Vancouver's 24-hour  $PM_{2.5}$  objective was exceeded at three stations: North Vancouver-Second Narrows, Port Moody and Abbotsford Airport. Light smoke can be seen covering the region on September 8 in Figure 66.

The fine particulate matter advisory was continued on September 9 and an advisory for ground-level ozone was added. Metro Vancouver's PM_{2.5} objective was exceeded at more than half of the monitoring stations throughout the region.

The ozone advisory was cancelled the following day (September 10) while the  $PM_{2.5}$  advisory was continued although air quality improved with lower  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations.

Over the course of these days the number of wildfires and size of the fires in Washington, Oregon and California increased dramatically. Strong eastward winds blew smoke from these fires over the Pacific Ocean, which resulted in the generation of an extensive plume of smoke larger than the state of California. The daily sequence of the growth of the smoke plume can be seen on satellite imagery in Figure 66. As the smoke plume grew larger it also moved northward, while being fed by wildfires in all three states.

By September 11 the extensive smoke plume reached the Lower Fraser Valley and fine particulate matter levels increased substantially. By early afternoon all monitoring stations throughout the region were in exceedance of Metro Vancouver's PM_{2.5} 24-hour objective.

For nine straight days every location within the region experienced elevated levels of  $PM_{2.5}$  and exceedances of the 24-hour objective. The highest 24-hour average  $PM_{2.5}$  was measured in Hope on September 15 with a concentration of 200  $\mu$ g/m³. On September 19 the 11-day air quality advisory was ended due to cleaner marine air flowing into the region.



Daily Maximum 24-Hour Rolling Average Fine Particulate Matter  $(PM_{2.5})$  Conc.

25-50 μg/m³ 50-75 μg/m³ 75-100 μg/m³ 100-150 μg/m³ >150 μg/m³

2015	July					August				Septemb	er		
Burnaby-Kensington Park N. Vancouver-2nd Narrows Port Moody Chilliwack Burnaby South Pitt Meadows N. Vancouver-Mahon Park Langley Hope Richmond-Airport Horseshoe Bay Tsawwassen Mission Abbotsford Airport Vancouver-Clark Drive			14	21	28		14				/ 14	21	28
2017	July	_				August				Septemb	er		
Burnaby-Kensington Park N. Vancouver-2nd Narrows Port Moody Chilliwack Burnaby South Pitt Meadows N. Vancouver-Mahon Park Langley Hope Richmond-Airport Horseshoe Bay Tsawwassen Mission Abbotsford Airport Vancouver-Clark Drive	1		14	21	28			21	28		/ 14	21	28
2018	July	7	14	21	28	August	14	21	28	Septemb	<b>er</b> 7 14	21	28
Burnaby-Kensington Park N. Vancouver-2nd Narrows Port Moody Chilliwack Burnaby South Pitt Meadows N. Vancouver-Mahon Park Langley Hope Richmond-Airport Horseshoe Bay Tsawwassen Mission Abbotsford Airport Vancouver-Clark Drive													20
2020	July	7	14	21	28	August	14	21	28	Septemb	9 <b>er</b> 7 14	21	28
Burnaby-Kensington Park N. Vancouver-2nd Narrows Pott Moody Chilliwack Burnaby South Pitt Meadows N. Vancouver-Mahon Park Langley Hope Richmond-Airport Horseshoe Bay Tsawwassen Mission Abbotsford Airport Vancouver-Clark Drive													

Figure 65: Comparison of exceedances days on four wildfire influenced years: 2015, 2017, 2018 and 2020.



Figure 66: Satellite imagery taken from NASA Worldview during days impacted by wildfire smoke in 2020.

#### **Climate Change**

Climate projections indicate the region will experience hotter, drier summers and wetter, warmer winters. A warming climate is likely to increase frequency and duration of wildfires and associated smoke impacts, while also increasing in-region ground level  $O_3$  formation through the intensity and duration of summer heatwaves.

A study of the extreme 2017 wildfire season in British Columbia, found that human-induced climate change contributed greatly to the extreme warm temperatures, high wildfire risk, and large burned areas (Kirchmeier-Young, Gillett, Zwiers, Cannon, & Anslow, 2019⁶). The authors concluded that as the climate continues to warm, it can be expected that extreme wildfire seasons like 2017 in BC will become more likely in the future.

Public awareness of air quality and health has also grown with the recent summer wildfire smoke impacts. Since 2017, Metro Vancouver has been working with local health authorities, BC Centre for Disease Control, Health Canada, the BC ENV, the FVRD and experts from outside BC to develop communication materials for residents on wildfire smoke health impacts and interventions for reducing these impacts.

Metro Vancouver is also looking at further developing collaborations, such as working with member jurisdiction

on provision of clean air shelters, to ensure that people will be better protected from the health impacts of wildfire smoke going forward.

Metro Vancouver's updated air quality management plan, the Clean Air Plan¹, considers the increasing impacts of wildfire activity when developing strategies and actions to reduce health risks for Metro Vancouver residents. In parallel, Metro Vancouver's Climate 2050 Roadmaps identifies actions that will help the region adapt to climate-related impacts on regional air quality.



Human-Induced Climate Change on an Extreme Fire Season. *Earth's Future*, 7(1), 2-10. doi:10.1029/2018EF001050

⁶ Kirchmeier-Young, M. C., Gillett, N. P., Zwiers, F. W., Cannon, A. J., & Anslow, F. S. (2019). Attribution of the Influence of