

**GREATER VANCOUVER SEWERAGE AND DRAINAGE DISTRICT (GVS&DD)  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

**BOARD MEETING**

**Friday, February 28, 2025**

**9:00 am**

**28<sup>th</sup> Floor Boardroom, 4515 Central Boulevard, Burnaby, British Columbia**

**Webstream available at <https://metrovancouver.org>**

**REVISED AGENDA**

**A. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**

**1. February 28, 2025 Meeting Agenda**

That the GVS&DD Board adopt the agenda for its meeting scheduled for February 28, 2025 as circulated.

**B. ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES**

**1. January 31, 2025 Meeting Minutes**

That the GVS&DD Board adopt the minutes for its meeting held January 31, 2025 as circulated.

*pg. 6*

**C. DELEGATIONS**

**D. INVITED PRESENTATIONS**

**E. CONSENT AGENDA**

*Note: Directors may adopt in one motion all recommendations appearing on the Consent Agenda or, prior to the vote, request that an item be removed from the Consent Agenda for debate or discussion, voting in opposition to a recommendation, or declaring a conflict of interest with an item.*

## 1. ZERO WASTE COMMITTEE REPORTS

### 1.1 Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated Terms of Reference

*pg. 10*

#### **Executive summary**

The Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee (Public/Technical Advisory Committee) provides advice and input on the development of an updated regional solid waste management plan. This report provides an updated Terms of Reference for the committee, including the following elements:

- Members who have missed multiple meetings may be removed from the committee.
- Following a member's resignation, the organization that member represented can recommend a replacement from the same organization.

Other updates include listing additional sectors that committee members may represent, and additional details on the support available to members to reduce barriers and enable participation.

Metro Vancouver recently ran a recruitment process to add new members to the Public/Technical Advisory Committee. The timing of updating the Terms of Reference would roughly align with the timing of welcoming new members to the committee.

#### **Recommendation**

That the GVS&DD Board receive for information the updated Terms of Reference for the Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee as presented in the report [dated January 17, 2025](#), titled "Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated Terms of Reference".

## 2. FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORTS

### 2.1 Consideration of Updating Development Cost Charge Waivers to Include Inclusionary Housing Units

*pg. 19*

#### **Executive summary**

In October 2023, the MVRD Board directed staff to review the Metro Vancouver DCC waiver framework with the aim of continuing to support affordable rental housing. This report presents proposed changes to the DCC waiver framework to include waiving DCCs for affordable units that are delivered by the private sector, and turned over to a non-profit operator (i.e. inclusionary units).

Since 2010, the Metro Vancouver DCC Waiver framework has been successful in supporting the creation of many affordable housing units, and is projected to support up to 2,500 units per year. If DCC waiver eligibility is expanded to inclusionary housing units, the number of units benefiting from DCC waivers is anticipated to increase by 281 to 361 units per year over 10 years. The expansion could also result in a 4.0% to 4.4% reduction in rents, and increase the supply of new below-market rental units by 5.3% to 6.7%. The financial impact of expanding the program to inclusionary housing units is estimated to be \$5.4 million to \$7.0 million per year.

The Liquid Waste, Water, Regional Parks and Regional Planning Committees have received information reports outlining proposed changes, with an opportunity to provide feedback. All feedback received is included in this report for consideration by the Finance Committee and MVRD/GVWD/GVS&DD Boards. There was general support for actions that incent and support affordable housing, however, concerns were expressed regarding the funding source to offset the waived DCC revenue and the impact it would have on existing rate payers. Overall there was a desire for more information regarding options for making up the foregone revenue including continued advocacy to the Province for infrastructure funding.

#### **Recommendation**

That the GVS&DD Board:

- a) receive for information the report dated February 5, 2025, titled “Consideration of Updating Development Cost Charge Waivers to Include Inclusionary Housing Units”, and;
- b) direct staff to bring back additional financial analysis to the Finance Committee, including options to mitigate impacts of foregone Development Cost Charge (DCC) revenue on ratepayers and critical infrastructure, while maximizing affordable housing benefits of the DCC waiver framework.

## **2.2 Consideration of a Development Cost Charge Reduction for Intensive Agriculture**

*pg. 33*

### **Executive summary**

This report outlines a proposed approach to address concerns raised by the agriculture industry about the impacts of Metro Vancouver Development Cost Charges (DCCs) on Intensive Agriculture. Metro Vancouver’s DCC framework currently only has one non-residential rate, which may not be suitable for Intensive Agriculture developments given the small demand on infrastructure relative to their size of buildable area. There are four member jurisdictions that have an Intensive Agriculture rate for municipal DCCs, and these are relatively lower in comparison to the other non-residential categories.

In January 2025, a DCC work plan was endorsed by the Finance Committee that includes an update to residential and non-residential definitions, including consideration of Intensive Agriculture developments, for the next regional DCC bylaw update in 2027. As an interim measure, this report is seeking direction to develop a reduced DCC rate for Intensive Agriculture developments that meet criteria for low environmental impact. This could be achieved through a DCC reduction bylaw, which is permitted through the *Local Government Act*. If approved, staff will provide further analysis and draft a DCC reduction bylaw for Committee and Board discussion for approval Spring 2025.

**Recommendation**

That the GVS&DD Board direct staff to advance work to analyze and propose a Development Cost Charge reduction for Intensive Agricultural developments that have a low environmental impact for Board consideration.

**2.3 Funding from the Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund**

pg. 38

**Executive summary**

Metro Vancouver has the opportunity to apply for funding through the Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund (CHIF) direct delivery stream, which could result in \$250 million in federal funding through the provincial stream for the Iona Island Wastewater Treatment Plant projects (Iona projects). The federal government designated funding to the Iona projects in the 2024 Fall Economic Statement; however, this contribution, starting in 2025–2026, is subject to Metro Vancouver meeting the conditions of the fund. To do this, Metro Vancouver will likely be required to lengthen the existing in-stream protection for its Development Cost Charge (DCC) program from 12 months to 24 months, which requires changes to provincial legislation. Metro Vancouver may also be expected to expand its affordable housing waiver framework to include inclusionary units provided by the private sector and turned over to not-for-profit housing providers to operate.

If in-stream protection were to be extended by an additional 12 months, the estimated foregone DCC revenue for Metro Vancouver is estimated to be \$220 million, which would be roughly offset by the \$250 million grant for the Iona projects. In addition to potentially satisfying the CHIF requirements, the proposed extension of the in-stream protection also responds to the concerns of the development community regarding the impact of DCCs. The federal government has not yet determined if Metro Vancouver’s proposed measures will be accepted. In addition, the federal government’s approval for these measures is directly linked to member municipalities’ ability to apply for CHIF. Staff will report back as soon as there is further clarity.

**Recommendation**

That the GVS&DD Board receive for information the report dated February 5, 2025, titled “Funding from the Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund”.

**F. ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA**

**G. REPORTS NOT INCLUDED IN CONSENT AGENDA**

**H. MOTIONS FOR WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

**I. OTHER BUSINESS**

**1. GVS&DD Board Committee Information Items and Delegation Summaries**

*pg. 42*

**J. RESOLUTION TO CLOSE MEETING**

*Note: The Board must state by resolution the basis under section 90 of the Community Charter on which the meeting is being closed. If a member wishes to add an item, the basis must be included below.*

That the GVS&DD Board close its meeting scheduled for February 28, 2025 pursuant to section 226 (1) (a) of the *Local Government Act* and the *Community Charter* provisions as follows:

90 (1) A part of a council meeting may be closed to the public if the subject matter being considered relates to or is one or more of the following:

- (e) the acquisition, disposition or expropriation of land or improvements, if the council considers that disclosure could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the municipality;
- (j) information that is prohibited, or information that if it were presented in a document would be prohibited, from disclosure under section 21 of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*; and
- (g) litigation or potential litigation affecting the municipality.

**K. ADJOURNMENT**

That the GVS&DD Board adjourn its meeting of February 28, 2025.

**GREATER VANCOUVER SEWERAGE AND DRAINAGE DISTRICT  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District (GVS&DD) Board of Directors held at 9:03 am on Friday, January 31, 2025, in the 28<sup>th</sup> Floor Boardroom, 4515 Central Boulevard, Burnaby, British Columbia.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Burnaby, Chair, Director Mike Hurley  
(departed at 9:04 am)

Anmore, Vice Chair, Director John McEwen

Burnaby, Director Pietro Calendino

Burnaby, Director Sav Dhaliwal

Coquitlam, Director Craig Hodge

Coquitlam, Director Teri Towner

Delta, Director Rod Binder

Delta, Director Dylan Kruger

Electoral Area A, Director Jen McCutcheon

Langley City, Director Paul Albrecht

Langley Township, Director Steve Ferguson

Langley Township, Director Eric Woodward

Maple Ridge, Director Dan Ruimy\*

New Westminister, Director Nadine Nakagawa

North Vancouver District, Director Lisa Muri

Pitt Meadows, Director Nicole MacDonald

Port Coquitlam, Director Brad West

Port Moody, Director Meghan Lahti

Richmond, Director Chak Au

Richmond, Director Malcolm Brodie

Richmond, Director Bill McNulty

Surrey, Alternate Director Mike Bose for Director  
Harry Bains

Surrey, Director Doug Elford

Surrey, Director Gordon Hepner (arrived at  
10:44 am)

Surrey, Director Pardeep Kooner

Surrey, Director Brenda Locke\*  
(departed at 9:04 am)

Surrey, Director Rob Stutt

Vancouver, Director Rebecca Bligh\*

Vancouver, Director Lisa Dominato

Vancouver, Director Sarah Kirby-Yung\*

Vancouver, Director Mike Klassen (arrived at  
10:44 am)

Vancouver, Director Peter Meiszner

Vancouver, Alternate Director Brian Montague  
for Director Ken Sim

Vancouver, Director Lenny Zhou

West Vancouver, Director Mark Sager

White Rock, Director Megan Knight

Commissioner Jerry W. Dobrovlny  
(Non-voting member)

\* denotes electronic meeting participation as authorized by the *Procedure Bylaw*

**MEMBERS ABSENT:**

North Vancouver City, Director Linda Buchanan

**STAFF PRESENT:**

Dorothy Shermer, Corporate Officer

Catherine Grosson, Legislative Services Coordinator, Board and Information Services

**A. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**

**1. January 31, 2025 Meeting Agenda**

**It was MOVED and SECONDED**

That the GVS&DD Board adopt the agenda for its meeting scheduled for January 31, 2025 as circulated and immediately address item J – Resolution to Close Meeting.

**CARRIED**

**J. RESOLUTION TO CLOSE MEETING**

**It was MOVED and SECONDED**

That the GVS&DD Board close its meeting scheduled for January 31, 2025 pursuant to section 226 (1) (a) of the *Local Government Act* and the *Community Charter* provisions as follows:

- 90 (1) A part of a council meeting may be closed to the public if the subject matter being considered relates to or is one or more of the following:
- (a) personal information about an identifiable individual who holds or is being considered for a position as an officer, employee or agent of the municipality or another position appointed by the municipality;
  - (e) the acquisition, disposition or expropriation of land or improvements, if the council considers that disclosure could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the municipality; and
  - (g) litigation or potential litigation affecting the municipality.

**CARRIED**

**It was MOVED and SECONDED**

That the GVS&DDD Board recess its meeting of January 31, 2025.

**CARRIED**

9:04 am the meeting was recessed.

10:44 am the meeting was reconvened, with Chair Hurley and Director Locke absent and Directors Hepner and Klassen in attendance.

**B. ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES**

**1. November 29, 2024 Meeting Minutes**

**It was MOVED and SECONDED**

That the GVS&DD Board adopt the minutes for its meeting held November 29, 2024 as circulated.

**CARRIED**

**2. December 13, 2024 Meeting Minutes**

**It was MOVED and SECONDED**

That the GVS&DD Board adopt the minutes for its special meeting held December 13, 2024 as circulated.

**CARRIED**

**C. DELEGATIONS**

No items presented.

**D. INVITED PRESENTATIONS**

No items presented.

**E. CONSENT AGENDA**

**It was MOVED and SECONDED**

That the GVS&DD Board adopt the recommendation presented in the following item as presented in the January 31, 2025 MVRD Consent Agenda:

1.1 Award of RFP 24-391 for Columbia Forcemain Cured in Place Pipe Rehabilitation

**CARRIED**

The item and recommendation referred to above are as follows:

**1.1 Award of RFP 24-391 for Columbia Forcemain Cured in Place Pipe Rehabilitation**

Report dated January 10, 2025 by George Kavouras, Director, Procurement, Procurement & Real Estate Services, and Joan Liu, Division Manager, Liquid Waste Services Collection System, seeking GVS&DD Board approval to execute the award of RFP 24-391 for Columbia Forcemain Cured in Place Pipe Rehabilitation.

*Recommendation:*

That the GVS&DD Board:

- a) approve the award of RFP 24-391 for Columbia Forcemain Cured in Place Pipe Rehabilitation in the amount of up to \$14,304,211.91 (exclusive of taxes) to Michels Canada Co., subject to final review by the Commissioner;
- b) authorize and approve a budget reallocation from the 2025 approved GVS&DD Budget in the amount of \$3,000,000 from the VSA Emergency Backup Power project to the Columbia Forcemain (CLT) Rehabilitation Project; and
- c) authorize the General Manager, Procurement and Real Estate to execute the required documentation once the General Manager, Procurement and Real Estate is satisfied that the award should proceed.

*Adopted on Consent*

**F. ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA**

No items presented.



**G. REPORTS NOT INCLUDED IN CONSENT AGENDA**

**1.1 Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Trucked Liquid Waste Amendment Bylaw No. 386, 2025**

Report dated December 20, 2024 from Dana Zheng, Program Manager, Policy, Planning and Analysis, Liquid Waste Services, seeking GVS&DD Board approval of trucked liquid waste fees for 2025 and adoption of *Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Trucked Liquid Waste Amendment Bylaw No. 386, 2025*.

**It was MOVED and SECONDED**

That the GVS&DD Board give first, second and third reading to *Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Trucked Liquid Waste Amendment Bylaw No. 386, 2025*.

**CARRIED**

**It was MOVED and SECONDED**

That the GVS&DD Board adopt the *Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Trucked Liquid Waste Amendment Bylaw No. 386, 2025*.

**CARRIED**

**H. MOTIONS FOR WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

No items presented.

**I. OTHER BUSINESS**

**1. GVS&DD Board Committee Information Items and Delegation Summaries**

**K. ADJOURNMENT**

**It was MOVED and SECONDED**

That the GVS&DD Board adjourn its meeting of January 31, 2025.

**CARRIED**

(Time: 10:45 am)

CERTIFIED CORRECT

---

Dorothy Shermer, Corporate Officer

---

Mike Hurley, Chair

---

To: GVS&DD Board of Directors

From: Hadir Ali, Legislative Services Coordinator, Board and Information Services

Date: February 6, 2025 Meeting Date: February 28, 2025

Subject: **Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated Terms of Reference**

---

### **ZERO WASTE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

That the GVS&DD Board receive for information the updated Terms of Reference for the Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee as presented in the report dated January 17, 2025, titled “Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated Terms of Reference”.

---

At its February 6, 2025 meeting, the Zero Waste Committee considered the attached report titled “Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated Terms of Reference”, dated January 17, 2024. Upon adopting the recommendation, the Committee amended the report date and recommendation date, as presented above in underline style, to correct a typographical error.

The committee was also notified of a correction to the Attachment 1 of the report titled “Updated Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Terms of Reference”. A change has been made to Attachment 1 to correct the following text “Members who feel they have been unfairly removed front the committee will have an opportunity to appeal to the chair.” To the following “Members who feel they have been unfairly removed from the committee will have an opportunity to appeal to the chair.” The correct attachment is now attached to the report.

This matter is now before the Board for its consideration.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

1. “Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated Terms of Reference”, dated January 17, 2025.

74065893

---

To: Zero Waste Committee

From: Stephanie Liu, Program Manager, Solid Waste Community Engagement

Date: January 17, 2025 Meeting Date: February 6, 2025

Subject: **Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated Terms of Reference**

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

That the GVS&DD Board receive for information the updated Terms of Reference for the Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee as presented in the report dated January 17, 2025, titled “Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Updated Terms of Reference”.

---

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee (Public/Technical Advisory Committee) provides advice and input on the development of an updated regional solid waste management plan. This report provides an updated Terms of Reference for the committee, including the following elements:

- Members who have missed multiple meetings may be removed from the committee
- Following a member’s resignation, the organization that member represented can recommend a replacement from the same organization.

Other updates include listing additional sectors that committee members may represent, and additional details on the support available to members to reduce barriers and enable participation.

Metro Vancouver recently ran a recruitment process to add new members to the Public/Technical Advisory Committee. The timing of updating the Terms of Reference would roughly align with the timing of welcoming new members to the committee.

### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to provide the Board with an updated Public/Technical Advisory Committee Terms of Reference.

### **BACKGROUND**

Metro Vancouver is updating the regional solid waste management plan, building on the strengths of the current plan and identifying opportunities to further advance waste reduction, recycling, greenhouse gas reduction, and a circular economy. The first meeting of the Public/Technical Advisory Committee was held in June 2022 after the Board approved the formation of the committee in 2021. The Public/Technical Advisory Committee fulfils a provincial requirement as part of the plan update process.

---

The committee was formed following a call for applications in 2021, with members approved by the GVS&DD Board. Members represent a range of backgrounds and diversity of life experiences, and provide advice and input on the development of an updated solid waste management plan for the duration of the plan update process. Currently, the Zero Waste Committee chair and vice-chair serve as the Public/Technical Advisory Committee chair and vice-chair. Metro Vancouver recently ran a recruitment process in late 2024 to add new members to the committee.

### **UPDATED TERMS OF REFERENCE**

The updated Public/Technical Advisory Committee Terms of Reference are included as Attachment

1. Key changes include:

- Members who have missed multiple meetings may be removed from the committee
- Following a member's resignation, the organization that member represented can recommend a replacement from the same organization.

Members of the Public/Technical Advisory Committee are approved by the GVS&DD Board, following a publicly advertised recruitment process. The updated Terms of Reference allow the Board to consider replacements for committee members from the same organization. An example scenario would be when a member leaves the organization – the organization previously represented by that member can recommend a replacement from their organization, for consideration by the Board. This ensures the committee can continue its work with uninterrupted participation from interested and engaged member organizations – particularly those representing underrepresented or equity-denied communities.

Committee members are expected to make best efforts to attend all committee meetings. The updated Terms of Reference provides an option to remove members who have missed multiple meetings. This is important to ensure committee membership is valued. Metro Vancouver will work with members to identify any barriers to participation, and, where possible, offer accommodations and support to enable participation.

To ensure the Public/Technical Advisory Committee represents a broad cross section of industries, organizations, communities in the region, there are a number of sectors identified in the Terms of Reference as potential sectors represented by committee members. Additional sectors have been added to this list, including health care, housing, urban Indigenous people, and youth, to recognize the importance of these sectors to the solid waste management plan update process and Metro Vancouver's efforts to reach out to these groups.

### **ALTERNATIVES**

This is an information report. No alternatives are presented.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Activities related to developing the regional solid waste management plan, coordination of the Public/Technical Advisory Committee, are covered under the approved Solid Waste Services budget.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee provides advice and input on the development of an updated regional solid waste management plan. An updated Terms of Reference for the committee allows the Board to consider replacement members from the same organization without additional formal recruitment processes, and allows the removal of members who have missed multiple meetings. The Public/Technical Advisory Committee continues to play a key role in bringing a variety of perspectives and experiences to the ongoing process of developing an updated solid waste management plan for the region.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Updated Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee Terms of Reference.

## **REFERENCES**

1. [Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee web page](#)

72606653

# Solid Waste Management Plan

## Public/Technical Advisory Committee

Terms of Reference  
Updated January 17, 2025

### 1. PURPOSE

For the duration of the solid waste management plan update, Metro Vancouver will engage with governments (including First Nations), government and regulatory agencies, waste and recycling industry representatives, waste producers, businesses, communities of interest, and Metro Vancouver residents to review and update the current [Integrated Solid Waste and Resource Management Plan](#) (2011). The updated solid waste management plan will build on the strengths of the current plan and identify opportunities for accelerated waste reduction and recycling, while reducing greenhouse gases and promoting a circular economy.

The purpose of the solid waste management plan public/technical advisory committee (committee) is to provide a forum for contribution from individuals from a range of backgrounds to inform the review and update of the solid waste management plan. The purpose of these terms of reference is to describe role of the committee.

### 2. TIMEFRAME

The committee will exist for the duration of the solid waste management plan update process.

### 3. SCOPE OF WORK

The committee will receive and review information, and advise on topics related to the development of the solid waste management plan. Committee members will be invited to pose questions, engage in discussion, and provide comments for consideration as the plan is developed. Potential topics for engagement with the committee include the circular economy, waste reduction and recycling, greenhouse gas emissions reduction, residuals management, asset and risk management, innovation, resilience, affordability, and collaboration and engagement.

A separate First Nations engagement strategy will help ensure a collaborative government-to-government engagement approach with First Nations whose territories include all or part of the Metro Vancouver region as well as a community engagement approach with urban Indigenous organizations, off-reserve and non-status First Nations, Métis, and Inuit.

### 4. MEMBERSHIP

The committee will be composed of members representing a diversity of sectors and interests, who bring a variety of personal qualities, perspectives, and experiences to solid waste and recycling issues.

The following is a list of sectors/interests that could be represented by committee members:

- Adjacent regional district elected official
- Agriculture
- Circular economy

- Construction and demolition
- Extended producer responsibility programs
- Food service
- Government agencies and health authorities
- Healthcare
- Housing
- Large waste generators (e.g. academic institutions, school boards, transportation hubs, entertainment sector)
- Multi-family residences (e.g. residents, landlords, property management associations)
- Non-governmental/non-profit organizations and environmental stewardship groups
- Organics processing
- Public members-at-large (e.g. youth, seniors, and multicultural, accessibility, and resident/community associations)
- Recycling industry
- Reduction/repair/refill industry
- Retail/grocery
- Small- and medium-sized businesses, and business improvement associations, chambers of commerce, boards of trade
- Tourism and hospitality
- Underrepresented or equity-denied groups
- Urban Indigenous people
- Waste industry
- Workers/unions
- Youth

The following is a list of possible desired personal qualities, perspectives and experience of committee members:

- Demonstrates community/committee involvement and the ability to work collaboratively with others (e.g. openness to different/opposing views)
- Demonstrates personal commitment to zero waste, circular economy goals, sustainability, and climate action
- Demonstrates the ability to advance innovation
- Experienced with waste, waste reduction and recycling (i.e. lived experience, technical expertise, or both)
- Belongs to a community that is typically underrepresented (e.g. women, LGBTQ2S+, Indigenous persons, immigrants, visible minority, persons with disabilities, youth, etc.)
- Represents the interests/perspectives of a group of people/sector

To encourage diversity, equity<sup>1</sup>, and inclusion on the Solid Waste Management Plan Public/Technical Advisory Committee, financial support is available to enable member participation, including funding for attending meetings, transportation allowance, child care, access to technology, and more.

---

<sup>1</sup> Equity is the fair distribution of opportunity, privilege and resources to meet the needs of all people, regardless of age, ability, gender, income, education level, culture, geographic location or background. Equity recognizes that services and resources can also be distributed based on need. Adopting an equity-centered approach ensures that equity-denied groups are not disproportionately impacted by Metro Vancouver operations and that initiatives within Metro Vancouver's mandate have the opportunity to contribute to the advancement of equity in the region.

The elected Co-Chair of the Solid Waste and Recycling Industry Advisory Committee will automatically be a member of the Public/Technical Advisory Committee.

## **5. SELECTION PROCESS**

Membership will last the duration of the solid waste management plan update process. Committee members should be prepared to participate through the full term.

Committee members with the exception of the chair and vice-chair will be filled through a call for applications. Potential committee members who belong to typically underrepresented or equity-denied communities will be identified through recruitment, with support mechanisms put in place to lower barriers to participation. Metro Vancouver may recruit new committee members during the solid waste management update process as required.

Calls for applications will be promoted publically, and shared with our member jurisdictions and partners. Potential committee members will be asked to specify their sector/interest during the call for applications and an individual could identify more than one sector/interest. Not all sectors/interests will necessarily be represented on the committee, and more than one individual could be selected to represent a sector/interest. Following receipt of applications, the applicants will be evaluated based on the personal characteristics outlined in the above section on membership. Member jurisdiction staff are engaged through other channels, and therefore are not considered for membership on the Public/Technical Advisory Committee. Recommendations for members will be reviewed by the Independent Consultation and Engagement Panel in advance of the recommendations being considered by the Zero Waste Committee and Board in closed meetings, before being released to the public.

## **6. CHAIRS**

The committee chair and vice-chair will be members of the Zero Waste Committee, recommended by the Board Chair and selected by the Board in a closed meeting. The committee vice-chair may fulfil the role of the chair in the event that the chair is unavailable.

## **7. ADVISORY ROLE OF COMMITTEE**

The role of the committee is advisory to Metro Vancouver. No votes will be held to determine the group's position on issues or recommendations to Metro Vancouver. Where consensus exists, it will be noted; minority opinions will be considered to have merit and will be noted.

## **8. MEETINGS**

- a. Meetings will be held approximately 4–6 times per year for the duration of the solid waste management plan update process.
- b. The meeting dates and times will be determined by the chair and vice-chair in consultation with committee members, and will be scheduled at intervals relevant for the solid waste management plan development.
- c. Meeting times may be held during normal business hours.
- d. The chair and vice-chair will work with Metro Vancouver staff to draft meeting agendas and coordinate meeting materials, which will be circulated to the committee in advance of meetings.
- e. The meetings will be structured to encourage dialogue and collaboration on relevant issues within the constraints of the planned agendas.
- f. Meeting notes and action trackers will be kept for each meeting. Meeting notes shall not reflect the names of individual speakers or their stance on issues; rather, they shall reflect



the issues discussed, significant points of view on the issues and the resolutions or actions to be taken.

- g. Meetings will be held virtually or in person at Metro Vancouver offices located at 4515 Central Boulevard in Burnaby, British Columbia or an alternative location.
- h. Virtual meetings will be livestreamed on the committee website and the recording will be posted on the website after each meeting. In-person meetings will not be recorded or livestreamed and will not have an online participation option.
- i. If a minimum of 5 members are not in attendance at a meeting, the committee chair and vice-chair may choose to defer or cancel the meeting.
- j. Meetings will be open to any individual who wishes to observe the discussions either in person or through the livestream link, although only committee members will be provided standing to participate in the discussion. Non-members may request an opportunity to present to the committee through two weeks' advance written submission for consideration by the chair and vice-chair.
- k. The committee may invite groups and subject matter experts to present and provide advice and feedback on specific agenda items, at the discretion of the chair and vice-chair.
- l. Metro Vancouver staff are not members of the committee, but will attend meetings to provide information on various topics, respond to questions, take meeting notes, etc.
- m. All committee agendas will be published and publicly available in advance of meetings, and meeting notes posted thereafter on Metro Vancouver's website.
- n. Metro Vancouver will coordinate the venue and meeting logistics, invitations, notetaker, refreshments, and other requests received for the committee.
- o. Committee members may be reimbursed by Metro Vancouver for reasonable, out-of-pocket expenses associated with participating in meetings.

## 9. WORK PLAN

An annual work plan for the committee will be developed by Metro Vancouver staff based on deliverables in the solid waste management plan development. The work plan will be reviewed annually by the committee, and will guide development of meeting agendas.

## 10. CODE OF CONDUCT

This code is intended to serve as a framework to guide the spirit and intent of how members are expected to deliver on the committee's purpose and objectives in an ethical and respectful manner.

- a. *Respect and Collaboration*: Discussions and debates shall take place in an atmosphere of mutual respect and solutions-oriented collaboration, recognizing the value of different perspectives and seeking to understand the interests and needs of all affected parties.
- b. *Transparency*: It is expected that all members speak honestly and transparently, engaging in good-faith dialogue and sharing information openly to encourage fact-based dialogue.
- c. *Treatment of other Members*: Members have a duty to treat other members with respect during committee meetings. Specifically, members have a duty to avoid:
  - disrupting meetings by making continual interruptions or whispered asides
  - making offensive or abusive remarks directed at other members
  - impugning the motives of other members or supporting staff
  - ignoring the legitimate direction of the chair or vice-chair

Members who object to the behaviour of another member as identified in this code of conduct are asked to identify their concerns immediately to the committee chair and vice-chair. A member whose behavior repeatedly does not meet the code of conduct requirements may be asked to resign or be removed from the committee by the chair or vice-chair.

## **11. MEMBERSHIP ATTENDANCE AND RESIGNATION**

Members are expected to make best efforts to attend all committee meetings. Members who miss half or more of the meetings in a calendar year, without reasonable explanation, may be removed from the committee. Members should inform Metro Vancouver staff if there is a specific reason for the missed meetings. Members who feel they have been unfairly removed from the committee will have an opportunity to appeal to the chair.

Members wishing to resign from committee membership should provide written notice of their intent to resign, including the effective date of their resignation, addressed to the committee chair and vice-chair.

Following a member's resignation, the organization represented by that member can recommend a replacement from the same organization. A formal call for applications would not be required. The recommended replacement would be considered by the Zero Waste Committee and would require approval by the Board.

Members who relocate to outside of the Metro Vancouver Regional District during their membership on the committee must notify Metro Vancouver staff of their relocation and their intent to continue on the committee or to resign. It is expected that members have interests in or connections to the Metro Vancouver region.

## **12. BUDGET AND RESOURCES**

Funding for general meetings is provided by Metro Vancouver. Any additional funding for special projects or studies is subject to Metro Vancouver approval.

## **13. MEDIA PROTOCOL**

Media requests will be directed to Metro Vancouver's media relations team. Individual members will not speak on behalf of the committee, unless it has been discussed and approved by the committee chair and vice-chair in advance.

The committee chair and vice-chair will be the chief spokespeople on behalf of the committee. For high profile issues, the role of spokesperson rests with the Board chair, vice-chair or the chair of the Zero Waste Committee. On technical matters or in cases where an initiative is still at the staff proposal level, a senior staff member is the appropriate chief spokesperson.

## **14. DECLARING CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

Committee members must declare any conflicts of interest, real or perceived, at the outset of the process or as soon as it becomes known to the member.

To: Finance Committee

From: Jessica Hayes, Program Manager, Housing Policy and Planning,  
Regional Planning and Housing Services

Date: February 5, 2025 Meeting Date: February 13, 2025

Subject: **Consideration of Updating Development Cost Charge Waivers to Include  
Inclusionary Housing Units**

---

### RECOMMENDATION

That the GVS&DD / GVWD / MVRD Board:

- a) receive for information the report dated February 5, 2025, titled “Consideration of Updating Development Cost Charge Waivers to Include Inclusionary Housing Units”, and;
  - b) direct staff to bring back additional financial analysis to the Finance Committee, including options to mitigate impacts of foregone Development Cost Charge (DCC) revenue on ratepayers and critical infrastructure, while maximizing affordable housing benefits of the DCC waiver framework.
- 

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In October 2023, the MVRD Board directed staff to review the Metro Vancouver DCC waiver framework with the aim of continuing to support affordable rental housing. This report presents proposed changes to the DCC waiver framework to include waiving DCCs for affordable units that are delivered by the private sector, and turned over to a non-profit operator (i.e. inclusionary units).

Since 2010, the Metro Vancouver DCC Waiver framework has been successful in supporting the creation of many affordable housing units, and is projected to support up to 2,500 units per year. If DCC waiver eligibility is expanded to inclusionary housing units, the number of units benefiting from DCC waivers is anticipated to increase by 281 to 361 units per year over 10 years. The expansion could also result in a 4.0% to 4.4% reduction in rents, and increase the supply of new below-market rental units by 5.3% to 6.7%. The financial impact of expanding the program to inclusionary housing units is estimated to be \$5.4 million to \$7.0 million per year.

The Liquid Waste, Water, Regional Parks and Regional Planning Committees have received information reports outlining proposed changes, with an opportunity to provide feedback. All feedback received is included in this report for consideration by the Finance Committee and MVRD/GVWD/GVS&DD Boards. There was general support for actions that incent and support affordable housing, however, concerns were expressed regarding the funding source to offset the waived DCC revenue and the impact it would have on existing rate payers. Overall there was a desire for more information regarding options for making up the foregone revenue including continued advocacy to the Province for infrastructure funding.

## **PURPOSE**

To seek GVS&DD / GVWD / MVRD Board feedback on proposed new directions for Metro Vancouver's Development Cost Charge (DCC) Waiver Framework, and direction to conduct further financial analysis to mitigate any potential impacts of foregone DCC revenue on ratepayers and critical infrastructure, while maximizing affordable housing benefits of the DCC waiver framework.

## **BACKGROUND**

At its October 27, 2023 meeting, the MVRD Board gave third reading to bylaws to revise DCC rates and implement a regional parkland acquisition DCC, based on the principle of ensuring new development in the region fund the cost of the infrastructure expansion required to service growth. The DCC rates approved included transitioning, over three years, to a 1% assist factor, such that new growth would pay for 99% of infrastructure required to accommodate growth. As part of implementing revised DCC rates, the Board directed staff to conduct reviews of the DCC program, including a review of the DCC waiver program with the aim of supporting rental housing and incentivizing affordable housing.

Following the halting of Housing Accelerator Fund dollars earmarked for Metro Vancouver member jurisdictions as a result of concerns expressed by the Federal Housing Minister about proposed DCC rate increases, there were discussions with the Federal government around measures to mitigate the impact of increased DCCs, particularly on the delivery of rental and affordable housing. The proposed expansion of DCC waiver eligibility to include inclusionary housing units as described in this report was identified as a potential interim strategy to support affordable housing as per the Board's resolution and in response to the Federal government's concerns. Metro Vancouver is exploring more comprehensive measures as part of the review and update of DCC rates for 2027, as well as ongoing advocacy for infrastructure funding.

In response to the Board's direction, Metro Vancouver conducted an analysis to assess the costs/benefits associated with expanding eligibility for DCC waivers to private (for-profit) developers building affordable rental housing units to be transferred to a non-profit or public entity upon completion, to ensure that it is possible for the benefit of the DCC waiver to flow through to non-profit partners in the form of realized housing affordability benefits (i.e. lower rents and/or more units). This report provides an overview of proposed amendments to the DCC waiver bylaws stemming from this analysis, including providing DCC waivers for inclusionary housing units, and other amendments to simplify implementation of the waivers.

## **CONSIDERATION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING DCC WAIVERS FOR INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS**

In recent years, BC local governments have been using tools such as inclusionary housing and density bonusing to require the provision of affordable housing units within private developments, often as a condition of rezoning. Six of the 19 municipalities that have DCC Bylaws in Metro Vancouver currently waive or reduce DCCs for affordable rental housing, and it is anticipated that, with the introduction of new provincial housing legislation to enable inclusionary zoning powers, there will be an increasing number of projects in which for-profit and not-for-profit entities collaborate in projects with a mix of market and below-market housing. As a result of these changes and in response to MVRD Board direction, Metro Vancouver is exploring possible adjustments to its DCC waiver framework to better enable and incent inclusionary units.

Currently, regional liquid waste and water DCCs are waived for not-for-profit rental housing that is:

- Owned, leased or otherwise held by a not-for-profit society, BC Housing, CMHC, a non-profit municipal housing corporation, or a registered charity at the time of any application for, or issuance of, a waiver of DCCs;
- Operated as rental housing for people who meet eligibility criteria;
- Governed by the terms of an agreement and/or covenant with the Province of British Columbia, BC Housing, CMHC or a municipality regarding the operation of the housing and stipulating how the dwelling units will be managed and occupied; and
- Geared toward households who meet or fall below the applicable Housing Income Limits (HILs) as defined by BC Housing.

In addition, Metro Vancouver’s existing framework includes provisions to reduce DCCs by 50% for not-for-profit student housing. The intent of the DCC waivers and reductions has been to support the development of affordable housing by non-profit entities. To that end, the bylaws require that applicants either own or lease the affordable units at the time of the application for or issuance of the DCC waiver (typically at the time of building permit issuance). The intent of the requirement for ownership or possession of the affordable units at the time of the application was to ensure any foregone DCC revenue directly supported non-profit affordable housing, without relying on private agreements to secure housing commitments that the region cannot enforce or effectively monitor.

In October 2023, the MVRD Board directed staff to review the DCC waiver framework with the aim of supporting rental housing and incentivizing affordable housing. Concurrently, Metro Vancouver has received an increasing number of enquiries as to whether privately owned affordable units that will be transferred to a not-for-profit upon completion qualify for a waiver of regional DCCs. Under the currently waiver Bylaw, the not-for-profit must have fee simple ownership or an enacted lease at the time of the application or issuance of the waiver. Additionally, through its engagement with the development industry on DCCs, Metro Vancouver has received feedback requesting that Metro Vancouver revisit the DCC waiver bylaws to better facilitate the delivery of inclusionary units.

**LEGAL CONTEXT AND DCC WAIVER BYLAW HISTORY**

Metro Vancouver can, by bylaw, waive or reduce DCCs for certain types of development under provincial legislation (*Local Government Act* and *GVS&DD Act*), including:

- **Not-for-profit rental housing:** This includes supportive living housing;
- **For-profit affordable rental housing:** Local governments can waive or reduce DCCs for these types of developments;
- **Small lots:** Local governments can waive or reduce DCCs for subdivisions of small lots that are designed to have low greenhouse gas emissions; and
- **Low environmental impact:** Local governments can waive or reduce DCCs for developments that are designed to have a low environmental impact.

Since 2010, Metro Vancouver has waived DCCs for not-for-profit rental housing. From 2010-2018, Metro Vancouver also waived DCCs for for-profit rental housing where rental rates were set at 20% below the lesser of CMHC average market rents for the municipality or region. In 2018, when a separate DCC waiver bylaw was introduced, applicability of the DCC waiver was limited to not-for-

profit rental housing only. As such, DCCs cannot currently be waived if not-for-profit affordable housing units are delivered by a private entity (inclusionary housing). On May 25, 2018, the GVS&DD Board adopted *Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Development Cost Charge Waiver or Reduction for Not-for-Profit Rental Housing Bylaw, No. 314, 2018* (Reference 1). At this time, the Board, in consultation with its members, decided to limit the DCC waiver to not-for-profit rental housing only. On October 26, 2018, the GVS&DD Board enacted an amending bylaw, *GVS&DD Bylaw, No. 322, 2018*, to establish additional requirements and conditions for a 50 per cent reduction of DCCs for Not-for-Profit Student Housing.

To support housing affordability within the region, and ease of administration for municipalities within Metro Vancouver, TransLink also adopted a bylaw in 2018 to provide a waiver for not-for-profit affordable rental housing and reduction for not-for-profit student housing from DCCs consistent with the waiver and reduction provided by GVS&DD.

On April 28, 2023, the GVWD Board adopted *Greater Vancouver Water District Development Cost Charge Waiver or Reduction for Not-for-Profit Rental Housing Bylaw, No. 256, 2022* (Reference 2). The frameworks for liquid waste and water DCC waivers are identical. When the new parkland acquisition DCC is introduced in 2025, it is proposed to also provide DCC waivers to affordable rental housing under the same conditions as the existing bylaws.

#### **SCOPE AND FINDINGS OF THE DCC WAIVER BYLAW REVIEW**

Metro Vancouver assessed the costs and benefits associated with expanding eligibility for DCC waivers to private (for-profit) developers building affordable rental housing units to be transferred to a non-profit or public entity upon completion. The review included modelling the ability of mixed-market residential developments to absorb DCC payments for affordable housing units in a market development, and the impact of these DCC payments on the provision of affordable units required through negotiations/agreements with local governments (e.g. secured via an inclusionary zoning bylaw, density bonus, or negotiated at the time of rezoning). The objective of the review was to identify whether the DCC payments impact the viability of the project and the ability of the private developer to produce the agreed upon affordable/inclusionary units at below-market rates. The review also analyzed whether the benefit of a DCC waiver would be likely to be passed down from the private developer to the not-for-profit owner or operator.

The DCC waiver review found that, given the increasing number of developments in the region in which for-profit and not-for-profit entities collaborate to include a mix of market and below-market housing, the waiver framework should be adjusted to enable DCC waivers for this type of development, provided there are mechanisms in place to ensure that the benefit of the waiver flows through to the not-for-profit entity. Such mechanisms could include using contract terms that require the transfer of units at cost or a specified price, with no provision for land cost or developer profit. This recommendation is aligned with the findings of Metro Vancouver's recent Inclusionary Housing Policy Review study (Reference 3), which found that inclusionary housing policies were most viable and worked most effectively where the inclusionary units are sold at below-market rates to a non-profit organization for ongoing ownership, management, and operations. Selling the units at a reduced price to a not-for-profit housing provider facilitates retention of the units in the affordable market, improves long term affordability, and reduces the need for monitoring.

If the DCC waiver eligibility is expanded to inclusionary housing units that are built by a private developer and then turned over at or below cost to a non-profit (or government) upon completion, the number of affordable units receiving a DCC waiver is anticipated to increase between 281 to 361 units per year in the 10-year period between 2025 and 2034. A review found waiving Metro DCCs for inclusionary units could reduce break-even rents for affordable housing projects by 4.0% to 4.4%. Alternatively, waiving Metro Vancouver DCCs for inclusionary housing could increase the supply of new below-market rental units by between 5.3% and 6.7% with the same equity invested by affordable housing developers. There are a number of factors which make it difficult to accurately predict the mix of rent reductions or increased housing supply, because how the savings from the DCC waiver are applied will vary from project to project based on site capacity, the financial resources of the non-profit entity, and other factors. There is also no reliable way to predict whether there will be new projects that would not otherwise have been built because of the change. For the waiver to be effective it must be coupled with inclusionary housing policies that limit the sale price upon transfer of the units to the non-profit partner, to ensure that the cost savings are passed on to the non-profit entity and not the private developer.

The study found that with appropriate oversight, it is possible to ensure that the foregone DCC revenue will translate into affordable housing benefits, in the form of lower rent, more units, or some combination thereof. Though the legislation permits DCCs to be waived for for-profit rental housing units, the current review did not consider development projects that include a mix of market units and below-market units all developed and owned by a private for-profit entity (e.g. purpose-built rental housing buildings incorporating below-market rental units). The proposed revised bylaw definitions to enable a waiver of DCCs for inclusionary units is outlined in Table 1 below.

**Table 1: Proposed Amendment – Waiver of DCCs for Inclusionary Units Turnkeyed to Not-for-Profit Entities**

Bylaw Section	Proposed Change	Rationale
Definition of “Not-for-Profit Affordable Housing”	<p>Modify the definition of “Not-for-Profit Affordable Housing” to include affordable rental units in a mixed-market or mixed-tenure project (e.g. inclusionary housing units) built by a private developer, where there is an obligation to turnkey the affordable rental units to a not-for-profit entity upon completion, provided there is a means to ensure that the benefit of the waiver flows through to the not-for-profit entity.</p> <p>A DCC waiver will only be provided for the units where there is a binding agreement obligating the developer to turnkey the units via sale or long-term lease to not-for-profit entity, where there is an agreement</p>	<p>Currently, the definition states that the units that will be built must be “owned, leased, or otherwise held” by a Not-for-Profit Society, BC Housing, CMHC, a Non-Profit Municipal Housing Corporation or a Registered Charity at the time of any application for, or issuance of, a waiver of DCCs.</p> <p>Expanding eligibility for the DCC waivers to affordable rental units built by for-profit entities that will be turnkeyed to a not-for-profit at cost, under strict contract terms, is aligned with the original intent of the bylaws to support not-for-profit affordable housing, and ensures that foregone</p>

	<p>with Province, BC Housing, CMHC, or municipality regarding operation of the units, and where the cost of the units transferred to the not-for-profit entity does not include any land cost or developer’s profit (but can include a reasonable project management fee). Verification would be obtained in the form of municipal confirmation that agreements or regulations are in place which restrict the sale price of the units to the not-for-profit entity.</p>	<p>DCC revenue are translated into tangible affordable housing benefits for the region.</p>
--	--	---

**PROPOSED ADDITIONAL HOUSEKEEPING AMENDMENTS**

In addition to bylaw amendments being considered to enable DCC waivers for inclusionary units, the DCC waiver bylaw review also considered amendments to simplify implementation of the existing framework, as outlined in Table 2.

**Table 2: Proposed Additional DCC Waiver Bylaw Housekeeping Amendments**

Bylaw Section	Proposed Change	Rationale
Definition of “Not-for-Profit Affordable Housing”	Clarify eligible not-for-profit entities within the existing definition of Not-for-Profit Affordable Rental Housing	Add ‘Member Jurisdiction’, ‘Metro Vancouver Housing Corporation’, ‘British Columbia Housing Management Commission’, ‘Provincial Rental Housing Corporation’, ‘Vancouver Affordable Housing Agency’ to the list of public bodies described in the definition of Not-for-Profit Rental Housing.
Section 3.1	Remove the household income criteria for eligible Not-for-Profit Affordable Rental Housing Developments (i.e. requirement that units be occupied by households with incomes at or below BC Housing’s Housing Income Limits (HILs))	<p>Eliminating the requirement for specific rent rates or income limits as long as there is an agreement in place with the Province, BC Housing, CMHC, or municipality regarding management of units for the purpose of providing affordable housing, will streamline the administration of the DCC Waiver, and eliminate the need for ongoing compliance monitoring of eligible rents.</p> <p>This change would make the DCC waiver automatic for units developed, owned, and operated by a not-for-profit entity, subject to confirmation of units being non-market rental. Confirmation would include evidence of provincial or federal affordable housing funding OR a statutory declaration that units will be below-market rental OR an agreement or covenant with the Province, BC Housing, CMHC, or a municipality. This approach would remove the need to evaluate varying yet overlapping affordability and income criteria for non-profit affordable rental housing, which is already being assessed and secured by other levels of government.</p>



<p>Section 3.1</p>	<p>Remove the thirty percent affordability threshold for an entire not-for-profit affordable rental housing development to receive a DCC waiver</p>	<p>Currently, the DCC waiver framework makes an entire project eligible for a DCC waiver if at least 30% of the units meet the household income requirement (based on BC Housing HILs). Removing this threshold in favour of a simplified system that relies on the affordability and income mix stipulated through senior government funding or municipal policy will simplify administration and monitoring.</p> <p>In addition, nearly all waivers granted to non-profits to date have been for 100% of units in the development (i.e. non-profit affordable housing projects rarely fall short of providing at least 30% of the total units at affordability levels suitable for HILs incomes).</p>
<p>Section 3.2</p>	<p>Replace 50% DCC reduction for Not-for-Profit Student Housing with 100% DCC waiver</p>	<p>In 2018, when amendments were made to the DCC waiver framework to enable a 50% DCC reduction for not-for-profit student housing, it was assumed that most student housing units would not pay DCCs as a result of the statutory exemption for units less than 29 square metres in size. However, the <i>GVS&amp;DD Act</i> and <i>LGA</i> specify that such units must be ‘self-contained’ (with kitchen and bathroom) to be exempt from DCCs. As a result, dormitory-style student housing units are subject to the per square foot DCC rates as they do not meet the current bylaw definition of a self-contained Dwelling Unit. Residences for upper year students that tend to be suite style and can include studio, one bedroom and larger apartments are technically eligible for the 50% reduction, but to date, no such reductions have been granted under the existing bylaws.</p> <p>Consequently, there is very little DCC revenue from student housing projects and it would be administratively simpler to waive DCCs for all student housing units, provided the housing is primarily intended for occupancy by students and is owned/operated by a post-secondary institution.</p> <p>Introducing a new definition of “student housing unit” that comprises housing units that have shared living quarters (kitchen, living, bath), e.g. double, triple, quad-style rooms, and ensuring that these units are eligible for a DCC waiver will simplify administration given that DCCs are already not payable for most new student housing units as they are typically under 29 m<sup>2</sup> in area, and exempt from DCCs as per the <i>GVS&amp;DD Act</i> and <i>LGA</i>. Though student housing units may rent at near-market rates, student housing operated on a cost recovery basis takes pressure off the local rental housing</p>

		market and reduces transportation demand and GHG emissions.
--	--	---

Several illustrative examples comparing the calculation of DCCs under the current waiver bylaw with those owing with the proposed changes are shown in Attachment 1.

**ENGAGEMENT SUMMARY**

Staff consulted with stakeholders including member jurisdictions, industry stakeholders and other levels of government. A summary of feedback received through consultation and engagement is summarized below.

**Advisory and Standing Committee Feedback**

The draft proposed framework was reviewed at the October 11, 2024, Regional Planning Advisory Committee, November 21, 2024 Regional Finance Advisory Committee (RFAC), and February 7, 2025 Regional Engineers Advisory Committee meetings, with members supportive of the proposed direction and providing the following comments:

- In implementing the waivers, ease of administration and verification processes are important for municipalities;
- Supportive of mechanisms to ensure the benefits of DCC waivers for inclusionary housing flow to non-profits;
- Supportive of reduced complexity in the proposed definitions; and
- Desire for additional opportunities to engage and provide feedback and coordinate on development cost related matters.

Staff also held individual meetings with municipal staff upon request and heard generally supportive feedback regarding alignment of the proposed changes with existing municipal policies.

In addition, proposed changes were presented at the January 9, 2025 Regional Planning Committee, January 15, 2025 Liquid Waste Committee and Water Committee, and February 5, 2025 Regional Parks Committee meetings. The discussion included the following comments and feedback:

- Concerns regarding scale of revenue loss and impact on critical services (e.g. infrastructure, parks);
- Concerns regarding impact of proposed changes on existing ratepayers;
- Supportive of advocacy to the Province for infrastructure funding to replace revenue loss related to DCC waivers that support affordable housing;
- Desire to clarify that DCCs are an essential source of funding to support growth, and that DCC waivers may therefore need to be recouped from other sources;
- Avoidance of any administrative burden or additional costs resulting from negotiating agreements or aligning inclusionary housing policies to new requirements important to municipalities;
- Supportive of actions that demonstrate willingness to respond to feedback received from the development industry, given their important role as partners in delivering below-market housing under current conditions;

- Supportive of actions that further incentivize delivery of inclusionary housing units; DCC waivers may increase feasibility of municipal requirements for affordable units within market developments;
- Post-Secondary Institutions constructing student housing projects outside their core campus areas should remain eligible for a DCC waiver;
- Noted that several municipalities do not provide DCC waivers for affordable housing given the impact on critical funding for infrastructure; some use alternative growth-related funds (e.g. Affordable Housing Reserve Funds) to provide grants that offset the cost of DCCs;
- Interest in exploring granting DCC waivers/reductions without any specific alternative funding source, as a practice that supports the creation of affordable rental housing; and
- Desire to receive more information regarding options for making up the foregone revenue related to DCC waivers.

**External Stakeholder Feedback**

Staff additionally consulted with external stakeholders including representatives from the private development industry and non-profit housing sector. Correspondence summarizing the proposed changes and inviting written feedback was also sent to the Urban Development Institute (UDI), BC Non-Profit Housing Association (BCNPHA), and TransLink in January 2025.

*Development Sector*

- Consideration should be given to for-profit developers retaining ownership of inclusionary units; and,
- Consideration should be given to for-profit purpose-built rental housing incorporating below-market rental units.

*Not-for-Profit Housing Sector*

- Supportive of mechanisms to ensure the benefits of DCC waivers for inclusionary housing flow to non-profits; and,
- Consideration for DCC waivers or reduced DCC rates should be given to other not-for-profit residential and non-residential spaces (i.e. child care spaces, long-term care homes, institutional spaces, etc.).

**NEXT STEPS**

Staff have been directed by the Board to conduct periodic reviews of the DCC bylaws including a review of economic impacts and measures to support rental housing and to incentivize affordable housing and report back with recommendations. The proposed updates to the DCC waiver framework outlined in this report for consideration are one aspect of the work underway to further this objective. Should staff be directed to prepare bylaw amendments, these will come forward to the respective Committees and Boards in April 2025.

**ALTERNATIVES**

1. That the GVS&DD / GVWD / MVRD Board:
  - a) receive for information the report dated February 5, 2025, titled “Consideration of Updating Development Cost Charge Waivers to Include Inclusionary Housing Units”, and;

- b) direct staff to bring back additional financial analysis to the Finance Committee, including options to mitigate impacts of foregone Development Cost Charge (DCC) revenue on ratepayers and critical infrastructure, while maximizing affordable housing benefits of the DCC waiver framework.
2. That the GVS&DD / GVWD / MVRD Board receive for information the report dated February 5, 2025, titled “Consideration of Updating Development Cost Charge Waivers to Include Inclusionary Housing Units”.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The Metro Vancouver DCC waiver review is within the Regional Planning and Housing Services work program and was considered as part of the Board-approved budget which includes a \$50,000 for external consultant support.

Based on historical DCC waivers, it is projected that between 1,950 and 2,500 affordable units will benefit from a DCC waiver each year on average between 2024 and 2033 under the current waiver definitions. If the DCC waiver eligibility is expanded to projects that are built by a private developer and then turned over at cost to a non-profit (or government) upon completion (i.e. inclusionary housing), the review found the number of affordable units benefitting from a DCC waiver is anticipated to increase by an average of 281 to 361 units per year between 2024 and 2033. The total estimated ten-year impact on DCC revenues to Metro Vancouver of these additional units receiving the DCC waiver would range between about \$54.3 million and \$69.7 million between 2024 and 2033 (or \$5.4 million to \$7.0 million per year), representing 0.8% to 1.0% of average annual DCC revenue, as shown in Table 3. The table below also provides a breakdown of projected impact of DCC waivers on Metro Vancouver DCC revenues by entity (GVS&DD, GVWD, and MVRD). These projections assume that the same number of affordable rental housing projects would come forward absent the DCC waiver. Absent the DCC waiver, it is likely that fewer projects containing affordable rental housing units would be viable.

**Table 3: Impact on Metro Vancouver DCC Revenues**

Projected Affordable Rental Units Per Year by Type of Applicant	Lower Scenario (# of Units, 2024 to 2033)	Higher Scenario (# of Units, 2024 to 2033)
Current DCC Waiver Eligibility (Not-for-Profit Affordable Rental Housing)	1,969	2,527
Proposed DCC Waiver Eligibility (Inclusionary Housing Units)	281	361
Total Affordable Rental Units Receiving Waiver	2,250	2,888
Projected Impact of DCC Waivers on Metro Vancouver <u>Total DCC Revenues</u>	Lower Scenario (Total \$, 2024 to 2033)	Higher Scenarios (Total \$, 2024 to 2033)
Estimated Additional Foregone Revenue Based on Proposed Eligibility Expansion	\$54.3 million	\$69.7 million

Projected Impact of DCC Waivers on Metro Vancouver <u>DCC Revenues by Entity</u>	Lower Scenario (Total \$, 2024 to 2023)	Higher Scenarios (Total \$, 2024 to 2033)
<b>Liquid Waste – GVS&amp;DD</b>		
Estimated Additional Foregone Revenue Based on Proposed Eligibility Expansion	\$20.7 million	\$26.6 million
<b>Water – GVWD</b>		
Estimated Additional Foregone Revenue Based on Proposed Eligibility Expansion	\$30.8 million	\$39.5 million
<b>Parkland Acquisition – MVRD</b>		
Estimated Additional Foregone Revenue Based on Proposed Eligibility Expansion	\$2.8 million	\$3.6 million

In practice, there are a variety of ways that the foregone revenue resulting from DCC waivers to support affordable rental housing can be accommodated. In its DCC Best Practices Guide (Reference 4), the Province of BC recommends that the cost associated with DCC waivers and reductions be entirely supported by ‘existing development’. Under this approach, the cost associated with the DCC waiver program would need to be funded through water sales, liquid waste levy, or tax requisition (i.e. existing development), which would result in updates to future budget years in the Five-Year Financial Plan, with an average annual cost per household of between \$3 to \$4.

Alternative approaches include absorbing the foregone revenue within the program itself, or identifying granting or other sources of funding to defray the foregone revenue. These approaches could be explored as part of the work program to review and update DCC rates for 2027.

To establish current practices relating to DCC waivers, Metro Vancouver recently surveyed municipalities to better understand how the financial impacts of DCCs that are waived and/or reduced under Section 563 of the *Local Government Act* are considered by member jurisdictions. Of the responses received, one municipality stated that DCC waivers are considered as foregone revenue, four municipalities funded DCC waivers through other growth-related funds (e.g. Affordable Housing Reserve Fund or other), and two municipalities responded that the value of DCC waivers is entirely supported by existing development/taxpayers (i.e. aligning with the Province’s Best Practices guide recommendation).

**CONCLUSION**

Since 2010, Metro Vancouver has waived DCCs for affordable rental housing, for a time for not-for-profit and for-profit affordable rental housing, and since 2018, only for not-for-profit affordable rental housing. Metro Vancouver has assessed the costs/benefits associated with expanding eligibility for DCC waivers to private (for-profit) developers building affordable rental housing units to be transferred to a non-profit or public entity upon completion (e.g. inclusionary housing). Recognizing that the private developer is likely in receipt of other benefits or incentives (e.g. increased market residential density) in exchange for the provision of the inclusionary units, and to ensure that the benefit of the waiver flows through to non-profit partners in the form of realized housing affordability benefits (i.e. lower rents and/or more units), it is recommended that the waiver framework be adjusted to enable DCC waivers for this type of development, with strict

terms in place (e.g., requiring the transfer of units to the non-profit partner at cost with no provision for land cost or profit). Several additional housekeeping amendments are also outlined in the report, to improve the efficiency and ease of administration.

Staff are now seeking feedback from the Finance Committee and Board on proposed amendments to Metro Vancouver's development cost charge (DCC) waiver framework, and direction to complete further financial analysis, including options to mitigate impacts of foregone DCC revenue on ratepayers and critical infrastructure, while maximizing affordable housing benefits of the DCC waiver framework.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

1. Example Calculation of DCC Affordable Housing Waivers (Current Framework Compared with Proposed).

#### **REFERENCES**

1. [GVS&DD Development Cost Charge Waiver or Reduction for Not-for-Profit Rental Housing Bylaw No. 314, 2018 - Unofficial Consolidation \(metrovancover.org\)](#)
2. [GVWD Development Cost Charge Waiver or Reduction for Not-for-Profit Rental Housing Bylaw No. 256, 2022 - Unofficial Consolidation \(metrovancover.org\)](#)
3. [Inclusionary Housing Policy Review and Regional Model Policy Framework \(metrovancover.org\)](#)
4. [Development Cost Charge Best Practices Guide \(Province of BC\)](#)

70971926

EXAMPLE CALCULATION OF DCC WAIVERS (CURRENT FRAMEWORK COMPARED WITH PROPOSED)

Scenario Description	Eligibility for DCC Waiver Under Current Bylaw	Eligibility for DCC Waiver Under Proposed Bylaw Changes
Local government-owned land will be leased to a non-profit housing agency and developed into a 100-unit social housing building. The non-profit housing agency will operate the entire building. 30 of the units will rent at BC HILs (Housing Income Limits), and 70 of the units will rent at low end of market rents.	All 100 units will be eligible for a waiver of DCCs.	All 100 units will be eligible for a waiver of DCCs.
For-profit developer plans to develop a 70-unit mixed-use strata, with an additional 30 units of social housing which will be turned over to the City upon completion at no cost as turnkey social housing (separate air space parcel to be owned by the City and operated by a non-profit housing provider).	Not eligible for a waiver of DCCs as the development does not meet the current definition of not-for-profit affordable rental housing.	The 30 social housing units will be eligible for a waiver of DCCs.
For-profit developer plans to develop a 70-unit mixed-use strata, with an additional 30 units of social housing which will be sold to a non-profit housing provider at market value upon completion.	Not eligible for a waiver of DCCs as the development does not meet the current definition of not-for-profit affordable rental housing.	Not eligible for a waiver of DCCs as the development does not meet the definition of not-for-profit affordable rental housing.
For-profit developer plans to develop a 85-unit mixed-use strata, with an additional 15 units of inclusionary housing which will be sold to a non-profit housing provider at below market value upon completion.	Not eligible for a waiver of DCCs as the development does not meet the current definition of not-for-profit affordable rental housing.	The 15 inclusionary housing units will be eligible for a waiver of DCCs.
Post-secondary institution plans to develop student housing divided into: 60 dormitory-style units smaller than 312 sq.ft. (without a kitchen or bathroom), 40 units of self-contained dwelling units larger than 312 sq.ft. (with their own kitchen and bathroom).	The 60 dormitory-style units under 312 sq.ft. are not exempt from DCCs as they are not 'self-contained'. The 40 self-contained dwelling units larger than 312 sq.ft. would be eligible for a 50% reduction of DCCs.	The 60 dormitory-style units under 312 sq.ft. and the 40 self-contained dwelling units larger than 312 sq.ft. would be eligible for a 100% waiver of DCCs.

This page is intentionally blank



To: Finance Committee

From: Heather McNell, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer, Policy and Planning

Date: February 5, 2025

Meeting Date: February 13, 2025

Subject: **Consideration of a Development Cost Charge Reduction for Intensive Agriculture**

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

That the GVWD/GVS&DD/MVRD Board direct staff to advance work to analyze and propose a Development Cost Charge reduction for Intensive Agricultural developments that have a low environmental impact for Board consideration.

---

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report outlines a proposed approach to address concerns raised by the agriculture industry about the impacts of Metro Vancouver Development Cost Charges (DCCs) on Intensive Agriculture. Metro Vancouver's DCC framework currently only has one non-residential rate, which may not be suitable for Intensive Agriculture developments given the small demand on infrastructure relative to their size of buildable area. There are four member jurisdictions that have an Intensive Agriculture rate for municipal DCCs, and these are relatively lower in comparison to the other non-residential categories.

In January 2025, a DCC work plan was endorsed by the Finance Committee that includes an update to residential and non-residential definitions, including consideration of Intensive Agriculture developments, for the next regional DCC bylaw update in 2027. As an interim measure, this report is seeking direction to develop a reduced DCC rate for Intensive Agriculture developments that meet criteria for low environmental impact. This could be achieved through a DCC reduction bylaw, which is permitted through the *Local Government Act*. If approved, staff will provide further analysis and draft a DCC reduction bylaw for Committee and Board discussion for approval Spring 2025.

### **PURPOSE**

To seek Finance Committee and GVWD/GVS&DD/MVRD Board direction to undertake further analysis to develop a DCC reduction for Intensive Agricultural developments that have a low environmental impact and bring this back for Committee and Board consideration.

### **BACKGROUND**

In November 2024, Metro Vancouver met with agriculture industry representatives including Metro Vancouver's Agricultural Advisory Committee and the Greenhouse Growers Association over their concerns regarding the impacts of Metro Vancouver's DCC costs on Intensive Agriculture developments. Intensive Agriculture refers to development such as greenhouses, retail nurseries, manufacturing and processing plants for agriculture related products, facilities used for intensive livestock purposes, and commercial businesses located within agricultural zones. Representatives

expressed gratitude for the meetings, but were disappointed to have not been consulted during the development of the DCC rate update last year, noting the new DCC rates are significantly impacting the viability of agricultural development in the region. Metro Vancouver staff committed to addressing any unforeseen implications of the DCC program as soon as possible. This report provides an overview of the proposed short- and long-term solutions to address Intensive Agriculture through Metro Vancouver’s DCC waiver/reduction bylaw and DCC program update.

**ADDITIONAL CONTEXT**

**Metro Vancouver DCC Program Update**

In January 2025, the Finance Committee endorsed a workplan to review and update Metro Vancouver’s DCC program. This includes work in 2025 to review DCC rate categories, analyze differences in infrastructure demand and use, and propose updates to DCC rates based on new categories as well as new population projections and capital program costs. This work would inform the 2026 budget process to implement changes to the DCC program in 2027, with new rates taking effect in 2028. This work will provide a long-term solution to develop fair DCC rates for Intensive Agriculture development in the region that adequately captures the costs of infrastructure demand for this type of development. As an interim measure, Metro Vancouver staff propose an update to the DCC Waiver/Reduction Bylaw to provide a reduction for Intensive Agriculture development that has a low environmental impact. This will help to address the immediate needs of the agricultural sector for Intensive Agriculture developments that are not already exempt from regional DCCs (e.g., developments that have some impact on water or sewer infrastructure).

**DCC Considerations for Intensive Agriculture**

In conversations with Metro Vancouver, the agricultural industry has raised concerns around how Metro Vancouver’s non-residential DCC rate is broadly applied to all commercial activity while the impact on growth infrastructure from agricultural uses can vary greatly. This variation is amplified based on the particulars of agricultural structures, such as greenhouses or nurseries that cover a large area of land and primarily use rainwater collection for operations, which do not use a commensurate amount of water as would other non-agricultural developments of a similar size. The regional DCC rate per square foot is currently based on an average of all non-residential groups which does not appropriately capture Intensive Agriculture’s impact on infrastructure. This is resulting in a significant financial impact on new/expanded greenhouses to the point that this DCC rate could prevent intensive agricultural development within the region. As one of the key strategies in *Metro 2050* is to protect and strengthen agricultural viability, it is important to address this unintended consequence of the regional DCC program in the immediate term (through expanding the waiver/reduction bylaw), and to find an appropriate long-term solution and appropriate rate through the review and update of the DCC program.

In addition, the employment projections used for developing the Metro Vancouver non-residential rate did not include agriculture, and Metro Vancouver Water Services estimates that agriculture comprises a small percentage of projected growth for non-residential uses. More data is needed to quantify the impact of Intensive Agriculture on growth infrastructure, and this work will be completed as part of the DCC Program update, in addition to further refining non-residential categories and definitions. Given that any update to the regional DCC bylaw will require review by

the Provincial Inspector, this is a lengthy process and is best addressed through the comprehensive update in 2027.

In the meantime, an interim solution is needed to address Intensive Agriculture developments that occur before the new DCC rates are implemented in 2028.

**Interim Solution: Expand the DCC Waiver/Reduction Bylaw to Include Intensive Agriculture with Low Environmental Impact**

The *Local Government Act* outlines two situations where DCCs may not apply or fully apply: exemptions and waivers/reductions. DCC exemptions can apply in specific circumstances. For example, when a development does not impact or add an additional burden on infrastructure, or a building permit value is below \$50,000. Metro Vancouver was able to work with one Intensive Agriculture applicant who was able to prove, with a Qualified Professional report, that the proposed greenhouse development would not place an additional burden on infrastructure as all water used was from collected rainwater and was therefore exempt from regional DCCs. However, for future applications that may have some impact on infrastructure, it is important for Metro Vancouver to have a solution to ensure that the viability of agricultural development is not impacted before the DCC Bylaw is updated in 2027. This could be done in the interim through a partial waiver/reduction.

Waivers/reductions are permitted, pursuant to a waiver/reduction bylaw, only for developments that are not-for-profit rental housing, for-profit affordable rental housing, or designed with a low environmental impact. The only applicable category for Intensive Agriculture would be for developments designed with a low environmental impact. To create a reduced DCC for Intensive Agriculture developments that have a low environmental impact, staff would need to establish the following as per the *Local Government Act* section 563:

- a definition of what constitutes an eligible Intensive Agriculture development or class of eligible developments;
- the requirements or criteria to be met (such as lower water use measures); and
- the reduced rate (e.g., amount or percentage of DCC fees to be waived).

Staff propose to work with member jurisdictions with Intensive Agriculture developments, and to analyze potential impacts to regional infrastructure, to develop these criteria and bring back a proposed DCC waiver/reduction bylaw for Committee and Board consideration in Q2 of 2025.

**ALTERNATIVES**

1. That the GVWD/GVS&DD/MVRD Board direct staff to develop a Development Cost Charge reduction for Intensive Agricultural developments that have a low environmental impact for Board consideration.
2. That the Finance Committee receive for information the report dated February 5, 2025, titled “Consideration of a Development Cost Charge Reduction for Intensive Agriculture.”

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

In the Metro Vancouver region there are four municipalities that have a specific Intensive Agriculture DCC rate; Richmond, Surrey, Delta and Pitt Meadows, and rates range from \$0 to \$9.24 per square metre based on 2025 rates. Metro Vancouver's non-residential rate for water and parks combined is \$59.63 per square metre based on 2025 rates, which would encompass Intensive Agriculture developments. Further, over the past 5 years, the number of building permits under this category can range from zero to over 4 per year with a development size range of just over 150 square meters of buildable area to over 101,000 square meters of gross floor area. This indicates significant variability in Intensive Agriculture developments, which creates difficulty in assessing future foregone DCC revenues and financial implications.

Should the Board choose alternative one, further analysis is required to determine the criteria and the applicable DCC rate for Intensive Agriculture development that would be eligible for a DCC reduction. Further analysis of financial implications will be provided should the Board direct staff to pursue the development of DCC partial waiver/reduction for Intensive Agriculture development.

## **CONCLUSION**

Metro Vancouver has met with agricultural industry representatives over their concerns regarding the impacts of Metro Vancouver's DCC costs on Intensive Agricultural developments (such as greenhouses) who noted the current rates are threatening the viability of agricultural development in the region. While Metro Vancouver is undertaking a work plan to update its DCC program, the changes will not take effect until 2028. In the meantime, an interim solution is proposed for Board consideration. Staff propose undertaking further analysis to develop criteria for a DCC partial waiver/reduction for Intensive Agriculture developments that can be shown to have a low environmental impact, that is, a low impact in terms of water use. Staff recommend Alternative 1 to direct staff to undertake the work to develop a DCC reduction for Intensive Agriculture for Board consideration.

73557712

This page is intentionally blank

To: Finance Committee

From: Jean Lawson, Program Manager Intergovernmental Relations  
Harji Varn, Chief Financial Officer/General Manager, Financial Services

Date: February 5, 2025 Meeting Date: February 13, 2025

Subject: **Funding from the Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund**

---

### RECOMMENDATION

That the GVWD/GVS&DD/MVRD Board receive for information the report dated February 5, 2025, titled "Funding from the Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund".

---

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Metro Vancouver has the opportunity to apply for funding through the Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund (CHIF) direct delivery stream, which could result in \$250 million in federal funding through the provincial stream for the Iona Island Wastewater Treatment Plant projects (Iona projects). The federal government designated funding to the Iona projects in the 2024 Fall Economic Statement; however, this contribution, starting in 2025–2026, is subject to Metro Vancouver meeting the conditions of the fund. To do this, Metro Vancouver will likely be required to lengthen the existing in-stream protection for its Development Cost Charge (DCC) program from 12 months to 24 months, which requires changes to provincial legislation. Metro Vancouver may also be expected to expand its affordable housing waiver framework to include inclusionary units provided by the private sector and turned over to not-for-profit housing providers to operate.

If in-stream protection were to be extended by an additional 12 months, the estimated foregone DCC revenue for Metro Vancouver is estimated to be \$220 million, which would be roughly offset by the \$250 million grant for the Iona projects. In addition to potentially satisfying the CHIF requirements, the proposed extension of the in-stream protection also responds to the concerns of the development community regarding the impact of DCCs. The federal government has not yet determined if Metro Vancouver's proposed measures will be accepted. In addition, the federal government's approval for these measures is directly linked to member municipalities' ability to apply for CHIF. Staff will report back as soon as there is further clarity.

### PURPOSE

To inform the GVS&DD / GVWD / MVRD Board regarding opportunities to pursue funding from the Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund and subsequently requesting a lengthening of the in-stream protection period to a maximum of 24 months.

### BACKGROUND

In April 2024, the federal government established the Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund (CHIF) that supports the construction and upgrade of water, wastewater, stormwater and solid waste infrastructure. The fund is being delivered via two streams: \$1 billion through the direct delivery

stream, and \$5 billion through bilateral agreements with the provinces/territories. For the \$5 billion provincial/territorial stream of the fund, British Columbia is being allocated \$590.7 million over the next 10 years.

### **Provincial Stream**

In December, the federal government specified in the 2024 Fall Economic Statement that \$250 million in funding will be dedicated to the Iona Island Wastewater Treatment Plant through the provincial stream of CHIF, subject to the conditions of the fund. The provincial government has been negotiating the terms of this agreement, but it has not yet been finalized. Metro Vancouver will likely be required to lengthen the existing in-stream protection for its Development Cost Charge (DCC) program from 12 months to 24 months, which would necessitate changes to provincial legislation. Metro Vancouver may also be expected to expand its affordable housing waiver framework to include inclusionary units provided by the private sector and turned over to not-for-profit housing providers to operate.

### **Direct Delivery Stream**

To be eligible to receive funding through the direct delivery stream, municipalities and regional governments are required to adopt two conditions:

1. Adopt zoning for "four units as-of-right" per lot in all low-density residential areas with municipal servicing (i.e., water and sewer) in communities with populations greater than 30,000; and
2. Implement a three-year freeze on increasing development charges above the rates that were in effect as of April 2, 2024 (when CHIF was initially announced) in municipalities or regional governments with populations greater than 300,000, according to Statistics Canada.

Therefore, the federal government has tied regional districts' DCCs to municipalities' ability to apply for CHIF. However, on a case by-case basis, the federal government may take into consideration alternative measures adopted to reduce the cost of construction of new homes and encourage developers to build more homes. Metro Vancouver has proposed lengthening the in-stream protection period for the DCC program from 12 months (currently permitted provincially) to 18 or 24 months, subject to Board approval, to fulfil the requirements of this program and make eligible both Metro Vancouver and its member municipalities for funding. The federal government has not yet provided a response determining Metro Vancouver's eligibility.

The application period for this program closes on March 31, 2025.

### **Funding Eligibility and Required Changes**

Metro Vancouver has been working closely with member jurisdictions, the development community and the Province of British Columbia to explore lengthening the in-stream protection period for the DCC program from 12 months (currently permitted provincially) to 18 or 24 months. If implemented, the estimated foregone DCC revenue could range from \$70 million to \$220 million, which is based on Regional Planning's dwelling unit projections and Metro Vancouver's DCC rates as per the 3 year phase-in. The foregone revenue, under the current financial framework would need to come from existing ratepayers if CHIF funding or other third-party funding is not received.

In addition, the Province of BC would need to make a legislative change in the *Local Government Act* to adjust the in-stream projection. To meet the timeline of the end of Metro Vancouver's current in-stream protection, the provincial government would need to pass enabling legislation within the first two weeks of parliament reconvening this spring.

If Metro Vancouver accepts the terms of this program, once finalized, and lengthens the in-stream protection period for its DCC program, Metro Vancouver's member municipalities with a population of under 300,000 would become eligible to apply for funding through CHIF.

Staff will report back as soon as more information is available.

### **ALTERNATIVES**

As this is an information report, no alternatives are provided. Given that there are many uncertainties and interdependencies for CHIF right now, staff wanted to provide an update to the Board and an opportunity to provide input or feedback on the opportunity.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Metro Vancouver has modelled two scenarios, using regional planning data and the current DCC rate structure, with respect to extending the in-stream protection to 18 or 24 months, which includes the 12 months of existing in-stream protection. If implemented, the estimated foregone DCC revenue could range from \$70 million to \$220 million, which under the current financial framework would need to come from existing ratepayers if CHIF funding or other third-party funding is not received.

On an overall basis, the \$250 million in funding dedicated to the Iona Wastewater Treatment Plant would roughly replace the overall \$220 million in DCC foregone revenue. However, when examining the Sewerage Area implications, because the Iona projects, under the current cost apportionment bylaw is mostly allocated to the Vancouver Sewerage Area (VSA), the impact of the \$250 million for the Iona projects would have more of an impact on VSA. Over the 2025-2029 Financial Plan, with the shift of revenue via DCCs to the federal funding for the Iona projects, Metro Vancouver could still achieve the overall HHI of 5%. However, by sewerage area, there would be a slight increase for the Fraser Sewerage Area (FSA) (at 0.1% - 0.6% increase) and other sewer areas (at most a 0.1% increase), offset with a decrease in VSA (0.1% - 0.9% HHI decrease).

The trade-off the Board will be asked to consider is accepting the \$250 million for the Iona projects and in exchange, foregoing \$220 million in DCC revenue, potentially expanding the DCC waiver program, and as a result, unlocking the ability of member municipalities to apply for CHIF.

### **CONCLUSION**

This report provides information on the potential funding available through the Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund the subsequent changes the Metro Vancouver Board would need to make to the current DCC program. The implication is that the Federal Government would be providing \$250 million for the Iona Wastewater Treatment Plant, however, it is estimated that Metro Vancouver would be forego between \$70 million to \$220 million in DCC revenues.



This page is intentionally blank

---

## COMMITTEE INFORMATION ITEMS AND DELEGATION SUMMARIES

Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District  
Board Meeting Date – Friday, February 28, 2025

---

This information item, listing recent information received by committee, is provided for the GVS&DD Board's information. Please access a complete PDF package [here](#).

### Zero Waste Committee – February 6, 2025

*Delegations:*

No delegations presented

*Information Items:*

- E1 2023 Annual Solid Waste and Recycling Statistics
- E2 2025 Zero Waste Conference and National Zero Waste Council Update

### Finance Committee – February 13, 2025

*Delegation Summaries:*

- C1 Ray VanMarrewyk, Director at BC Greenhouse Growers' Association and BC Agriculture Council  
Subject: Consideration of Development Cost Charge Reduction for Intensive Agriculture  
Executive Summary provided